THE MONETARY TIMES

lune	20,	1908.

a-od s, re

15

te

he

nt re re by he

as

as ere in

nt.

in

led ıg, es, ng

190

118

13:1:::::::::::::

...

133

::1

19-

1

	1000	1		1000	1	-	-
Textile	Trades.	.*.					1.
Cause of Accidents.	mauco.	1.23	Killed.	his	1	niure	4
Cause of				131		•	
the muching holding at a	2.19	1904	1905	1906		1905	- T. 10
Injured by machinery, belting, etc. Injured by a loom		2		I		13	
Injured by a loom		••	201	**	2	5	
Injured by a picker Injured by a shuttle		•••		**	I	2	
a trand bar a spindle					I	I	
tind by an elevator		1 to 1				2	. ••
njured by an building					ī	ī	•••
Falling from a building				100	i	Ĩ.	
Collapse of a bunding Injured by a drawing frame Run over by train					1.1	2	
Run over by train			I	1.4.4	1.		
ignition of cotton Falling material				I			
Palling material							3
Unclassified		I	· · · · .		2	2	
and the second	1			-	-	-	-
Total		3	2	3	23	30	46
1. The second			_	-		_	-
Food and Toba	cco Pre	bara	tion.			1.5	
Cause of Accidents.		12.36	Killed.	11-1	In	jured.	1.1
cause of inconstants.	1. 2.			1. 2	6121123	The set of	
			1905	1906	1904	1905	1906
njured by machinery, belting, etc		I		5	12	23	27
alling from vehicles		••	2			IO	
alling from vehicles alling from a ladder alling in various ways not specified		50	**			3	
alling in various ways not specified		3		4	9	6	14
njured by bursting of bottles un over by cars		I		** :-	2	4	
un over by cars		I	T	••			
njured by elevators calded by hot water		·:	I	1	4	6	2
calded by hot water	********	••			3	4	10
ajured by falling of tree		••	I	**		1	
ajured by live stock		*.*	I	**	**	2	2
rushed by goods in workshop, etc.			•••	••			
njured by a knife or tools		••	. ** :	1	I	2	
njured by a douh mixer		••		11	I		
xplosion of as, etc					1		7
browned mothered in grain bin Electric shock Dropped dead while fighting fire				3	••) ••	
mothered in grain bin		**	**	2			••
ropped dead while fighting fire .		••	••	12.			I
tailway accident				1.			••
allway accident				I			
alling material , nclassified		•••	"r	1			1.1.1
Inclassified			-	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total	1940 C	6	9	20	55	76	. 79
Iotai		_	_	12		1	
	Tundan					1.	1.1
Leather			Killed.		In	jured.	
Cause of Accidents.							
Markets Include the South of the		1904	1905	1900			1900
ajured by machinery, belting, etc		I	× 4	2	-1	6	11
surned in a fire		**.	2			**	
ajured by tools							
ajured by machinery, belting, etc nurned in a fire njured by tools				I			2
nclassified		I		3	3		
	all all a		- 1	÷			1
Total			6	3	4	7	13
	2. 3. 19 1	-	-	-	-		-
	5 10	. +		1	919	-	1.
				1.			1
and the second se							

RESULTS TO SIXTEEN BRITISH FIRE OFFICES.

A list of forty-one British Fire Insurance Companies was published last month, whose paid-up capital is $\pounds 7,836,646$, and fire reserves $\pounds 29,864,025$ apart from other reserves. From this list which we find in the Post Magazine, we take the figures (partial) for the sixteen companies doing business in Canada :-

					1 C
Year 1907.	Net premiums.		Per cent. expense.	Total outgo.	Fire reserves.
1cal 1907.	premiums.	1035.	capyara		6
Alliance	70 F 2 F 2 6 70	42.6	37.4	80.0	2,000,000
Atlas	1 020 447	45-5	36.4	81.9	676,215
Caledonian		46.8	36.8	83.6	148,545
Commercial Union		49-3	36.4	85.7	2,196,119
Guardian		56.0	34.0	00.0	- 749,850
Law, Union & Crown		36.4	35-3	71.7	50,000
Liverpool & London		50.6	33.2	83.8	1,060,000
	657,293	42.1	35-9	78.0	420,000
London & Lancashire		48.3	33.2	81.5	590,000
North British & Mercant		51.6	33-3	84.9	2,413,013
Northern		40.1	35.0	84.1	1,434,234
Norwich Union		52.0	36.1	88.1	1,287,095
Phoenix		47.9	34.2	82.1	950,000
Royal		47.9	34-3	82.2	1,500,000
Scottish Union	642 502	55.6	34.0	85.6	300,000
Sun	1.477.643	48.9	35-9	84.8	591,057
	23,189,616	48.16 a	v. 35.00 av.	83.16 av	17,366,128
	-31-391010				

From this tabulation we learn that the average rate of loss to these companies in 1907 was 48.16, and the average ratio of expense 35 per cent. of the premiums—a very credit-able result. No company has an outgo of more than 90 per cent., and the average is 83.16, which leaves 16.84 per cent. to be applied to dividend and reserve.

BRITISH INSURANCE NOTES.

Opposition to Fire Inquests Bill-Workmen and the Accident Hazard.

London, June 5th. The Fire Inquests Bill meets with some opposition from commercial men. They are not fond of jury service and they argue that the expense of the inquiries will be beyond the needs of the situation. It is the traditional the beyond the needs of the situation. It is true that suspicious fires are

exceedingly few, except among certain classes of alien traders, and these are perfectly known to insurance companies. In a word, the opposition assumes that the whole benefit of fire inquests would be to sift suspicious circumstances. But surely inquests would do something to prevent further fires. But There is nothing the British juryman likes better than to add

recommendations to his verdicts. It is the common view that workmen, as they grow older, become more susceptible to accidents. The supposition is not supported by the experience of the great chemical firm of Brunner, Mond & Company. Sir John Brunner finds his men to grow steadier with age and to become less liable to meet with mishaps. Here are his figures. Out of 3,848 men employed in the period 1893-1907, the class of men aged 18 to 25 years had an average rate of accidents of 8½ per cent. Men of from 51 to 55 and over had a percentage of only 2.4 per.

cent. The figures need amplifying to bring out their full mean-ing. But all experience of workmen's accidents is being till in the melting not. It treasured, and ideas about it are still in the melting pot. It premiums, are by no means the cream of the business. The companies exercise a certain selection and some decline to quote, for example, for quarrymen's risks. The domestic ser-vant's calling is being found more perilous than had been estimated estimated.

Scottish offices of all classes are in abundance. Scottish offices of all classes are in abundance. Enters now on the scene a company domiciled in Dublin. The Hibernian Fire & General is its name, and of its $\pounds_{20,000}$ registered capital, $\pounds_{0,000}$ has been subscribed for by its nine directors. Powers are taken to transact any insurance other than employers' liability and life. The exception first named is remarkable at a time when offices of all degrees pursue this Enters new and fruitful line of business, e -

LIFE INSURANCE IN NEW YORK STATE.

Limitation of Expenses by the Armstrong Law-What the Companies Actually Spent.

The Armstrong Law, which became effective about two years ago, provided that the total expenses of life insurance companies operating in New York State should be kept within a certain well defined limit, namely, the total loadings on the premiums plus a special allowance based on the new business of the year. It was thoroughly well tecognized by experts that this limitation was so generous that the most extrava-cently managed company could easily the within it, in fact gantly managed company could easily live within it; in fact, by such the limitation was regarded as a farce. These views have been abundantly confirmed by the publication from the official returns of the life companies lodged with the Insur-ance Department in New York State, which set forth the "limitation" in dollars and cents and the total amount of the expenses for the year 1907. Below are given the results for twelve of the most representative American life offices :

	Expenses		
s,	Permissible	Actual I	Percent-
	Company. By Law.	Expenses.	age.
00	New York Life	\$9,437,433	53
15	Massachusetts Mutual 2,140,043	1,230,988	57
19	Mutual Life of New York 12,001,766	7,285,652	61
50	State Mutual Life 1,155,331'	704,177	61
00	Penn. Mutual Life 3,822,232	2,378,744	62
00	Northwestern Mutual Life 8,162,001	5,276,793	65
00	New England Mutual Life 1,738,006	1,177,886	68
13	Berkshire Life	387,571 -	69
34 95	Equitable Life 12,321,738	8,545,711	69
00	Mutual Benefit Life 3,743,171	2,744,083	73
00	Provident Life & Trust. 1,632,870	1,188,015	73 -
57	Ætna Life 1,961,468	1,455,642	74
28	Total and average. \$67,164,440	\$41,812,695	62

From a careful perusal of the foregoing figures and percentages, it will be observed why such a limitation has been regarded as being so easy for the companies to keep within. It would almost be better if there were no limitation whatever, because such a false standard of economy might be misinterpreted as permitting a company to spend the total amount provided in the regulation, whereas, as a matter of fact, if any company did so it would be regarded as being a most recklessly managed institution. Any provision for limitation of expense should be such as would endeavor to bring about desirable economies rather than satting a false standard of desirable economies, rather than setting a false standard of expense.

FIRE AND MARINE NOTES.

Advices from Dawson City. B.C., state that great damage is being done along Lake Lebarge and at Minto by forest