

Blood and Thunder

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Rm. 35, Student Union Building, UNB Campus
DEADLINE: 5 p.m. Tuesday

January 18, 1985

THE BRUNSWICKAN-7

Inflation?

To those who make a difference:

Something happened to me over the Christmas break that really opened my eyes! I was in the SUB and wanted to buy some gum. I went into the SMOKE SHOPPE and placed 30 cents on the counter, as this was the price I had paid the day earlier. "I am sorry, it's 35 cents" was the reply from the cashier. "But it was 30 cents yesterday," I said in puzzled amazement. At this point the other worker behind the counter said to me in a disgusted tone of voice, "Prices are going up, that's life." The irony of the whole episode is that the CSL store was closed up just two days beforehand. Was the price increase in the making for months previous, or was this one of the results of the reinstatement of the SMOKE SHOPPE's monopoly on the university campus? Will prices continue to go up? (If so, I'll only know of this second hand since I haven't set foot in the SMOKE SHOPPE since that day.) It will be very interesting to see what our V.P. services and our new President have to say about the full reinstatement of the CSL Store. Well gentlemen?

Andy McAllister

Clarify Stamping Rules

This letter is directed to those in charge of last Friday's pub located in the Ballroom. I believe that I speak for myself as well as many others, that the social event was poorly advertised with respect to its admittance regulations. According to its advertisement at various locations on campus, it was to be a Wet & Dry event, therefore allowing all age groups to be admitted with proper stamping. However after purchasing tickets to the event, and planning to attend it with a friend, I was turned away at the door due to Campus Police regulations. The regulations stipulated that you must have been either 19 years of age, or currently enrolled in

a university program. However, it was not advertised as such and many people were turned away as I was. I believe that any further events, such as Friday's pub should be properly advertised with respect to all regulations. If so, no misunderstandings will follow, and everyone involved will be satisfied.

Sincerely,
A concerned student
(and very pissed off)

Project Plug

In my opinion, the purpose of Project Magazine can be best described in the following quotations taken from "Project Magazine: A Prospective,"

"Project Magazine is being undertaken to...unite engineering students...impart to each student a sense of professional responsibility as a member of the Canadian Engineering community."

The magazine will also: "...become an ideal medium for professional organizations, such as Canadian Council of Professional Engineers, to address all Canadian Engineering students."

The magazine inform engineering students of the activities their fellow inter-collegiate student colleagues are participating in. These activities range from frosh weeks, to engineering weeks, to local traditions of the various university Engineering Societies. The first issue, December 1984, also discusses the history of Project Magazine, "Spar and Canada's Role In Space," "The Role of CCPE" (Canadian Council of Professional Engineers), "The Importance of Effective Communications" and "What NSERC?" (Natural Science and Engineering Research Council) is. The first issue gives thanks to sponsors of the magazine and to the deans of engineering schools for their support, without which the ideas, potential and goals of Project Magazine would not be available.

Robert A. Berube

John

Editor:

After making a considerable effort to read last week's front page story on the Federation of Youth, I have finally come to the conclusion that you must have printed it as a joke. The article was layed out like a jigsaw puzzle and the statements it contained were slanted and misleading.

I wholeheartedly approved of your policy prohibiting political figures from controlling the contents of the news in the *Brunswickan*. I also support a policy which limits student politicians to writing columns which are clearly noted to be the OPINION of the author. It is this belief that leads me to ask why the *Brunswickan* assigned this story to Kaye MacPhee, a former Federation of Youth employee who ran against me in the recent Student Union Presidential elections. Surely you could find someone further removed from the subject, and with less of an axe to grind.

I understand that the same story is going to run again on the front page of this issue. Thankfully, this time I have an opportunity to ensure that both sides of the story are fairly presented.

Why my recent political opponent failed to mention in her supposedly impartial reporting was that while the Federation of Youth has certain financial commitments, it has also been pledged funding far in excess of that needed to pay the bills. She purposely entitled her article "Concern over Federation of Youth Finances," not because our funding was in doubt but because she wanted to give the impression that things were in disorder. She further failed to mention that she herself had been paid several hundred dollars by our Federation and was personally involved in the summer activities covered in her story. Prior to publication, I spoke with Kaye about her article and pointed out several instances where her article was incorrect, misleading and slanted. Apparently, I convinced her that her personal

bias did show through, and she made several corrections to eliminate some of the "cheap shots." Obviously she did not go far enough.

To conclude, the only people who are concerned about the Federation of Youth finances are those who are misinformed by article such as that of Ms. MacPhee. Our total outstanding bills are more than covered by funding in excess of twenty five thousand dollars. The next time the *Brunswickan* would like to report on our activities, we hope they send us a reporter who does not have a personal vendetta to pursue, and who is not working as an unwitting propogandist for the people who are opposed in principle to the mere existence of an organization which protects and promotes the rights of youth in this province.

John Bosnitch
The President
NB Federation of Youth

Liberate LBR

Dear Editor:

We would appreciate it if our group could use some space in your column. Thank you.

There will be a general meeting held on Friday, January 18, for all persons interested in joining the Lady Beaverbrook Residence Liberation Organization. This group was formed some time ago by a handful of residence alumni but membership has vastly increased thanks to the help of the Dean of Men's Residences. This group is responsible for such things as painting nasty notes on residence doors and having Don Flemming's car repainted.

At the next meeting we will discuss plans for upcoming events such as the kidnapping of a proctor and the assassination of the resident fellow. Also, at this time we will be trying to increase our membership. Memberships are free and strictly confidential for obvious reasons. All members must meet security requirements and have at least one infraction against the residence system.

The meeting will get underway at 9:00 p.m. at head-

quarters (at the corner of York and DunDonald, diagonally across from the fire station). Everyone is invited to attend. BYOB.

We also would like to encourage groups to organize and help us fight the most undemocratic organization on campus.

Chairman,
LBRLO

Letter slanderous

In the last SRC meeting (14/01/85) a certain letter signed anonymously, was disclosed publicly (ie. was read 'over the air') by Vice President of Administration Larry Long. It described a certain incompetency on the part of former Comptroller Aubrey Kirkpatrick in his dealings with CSL Exchange; and subsequently demands the return of the honorarium and other funds Mr. Kirkpatrick has received in his official capacity, to SRC. This demand from the so-called 'member of the UNB Student Union' is the most ludicrous 'request' that this student has ever witnessed.

A point of clarification is required in order to direct this concern not at some obscure anonymity or anonymous obscurity (whichever you will) but to single out a fraction of the student body to whom the detail of the 'letter' would be made available. In short, the evidence presented in the letter would be immediately accessible to the Student Union Council representatives: the executive in particular. The list may be further shortened by indicating that there are very, very few members of the executive that would even want to entertain such foolishness. It will be shown the misconstrued concern voiced in the letter is nothing short of a slanderous act instigated by one of those select few who doesn't have the 'guts' to admit his slander.

John Bosnitch, former president of the SRC, Larry Long, the reader of the 'letter' and Michael Bennett

continued on p.8