

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND—His opponent, Laflamme, abandoned the seat on the recount, when he heard of the irregularity that had been committed.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—That is a precedent for Mr. Brunet.

Hon. Mr. McCALLUM—I am only saying that the parties who preached purity of election are the very parties who commenced corruption and have carried it on till this day. I do not accuse my hon. friend of corruption, but I know that he is a strong man in his party, and I would advise him, for the sake of this country and for the sake of all that is good, to influence his party to stop these things so that we will not have to go back to open voting. Then another plank in the platform of 1893 is 'another law for the settler.' I do not know that the settler wants any more law. We are all settlers I suppose, and I do not know what that means. 'The Gerrymander Act.' I suppose they are going to gerrymander us by and by. Is that what they mean by that? The hon. gentleman spoke of that yesterday. They are going to gerrymander us. We are pretty well gerrymandered now. Then 'Senate Reform.' The Almighty has reformed the Senate.

Hon. Mr. FERGUSON—Hear, hear.

Hon. Mr. McCALLUM—I say it with sorrow for these hon. gentlemen, because I give them all respect. They reform the Senate by taking away one of their brightest members—one of the brightest members the government ever had in this Senate. I refer to the late Minister of Justice who, in this House I must say was loved by all. It is true I did not see eye to eye with him. It is true I often considered it my duty and privilege to disagree with him, but I do not think that made any difference so far as his feeling towards me was concerned. I am sure it did not make any difference so far as my feeling towards him was concerned, I hope and trust that he will live to enjoy his new position. They have reformed the Senate by taking the hon. Minister of Justice away from it. I find no fault with their action, and I do not know what gentleman they will put in his place, and it is not my concern, but I will find no fault with him and I think we will get along peaceably. As

Hon. Mr. McCALLUM.

far as I am concerned I have good-will towards all. I wish to say, however, that we want more than sentiment. This Canada of ours is part and parcel of the British Empire, and we are ready and willing to assist in upholding our great and glorious empire, but we do not want to be told 'not a man, not a dollar' for the purpose. Look at the position we stand in to-day. Look at the other colonies of Great Britain. Do we stand as the first colonial possession of the British Crown? Do we stand as well as Australia, New Zealand, and the other colonies? They come forward willingly and support the mother country, but our men had to be dragged to enlist, and when they wanted to send emergency rations to South Africa the government supplied them with dog biscuits. I believe they paid the freight on them and that is about all. Of course there are a great many questions about which there may be difficulty. For instance this question about transportation. The government are in a majority in the Senate I think, and I appeal to them now. It has been said that the Senate stood between the government and the people. I do not say the government intend to do what is wrong, but I would ask the Senate to go on now irrespective of party as I have always done. I opposed the government which I have been supporting all my life whenever I found they did wrong, and if they were still in power I would oppose them to-morrow if necessary. It is true I may not be here very long, perhaps I will soon be with those who have gone before, but I have expressed my feeling in this matter, and I have nothing in heart but a feeling of kindness for all in this chamber. I believe every hon. member has a good feeling for his brother member.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—Hear, hear.

Hon. Mr. McCALLUM—I believe that is the sentiment of us all. I am an old man now and I may not have the privilege of addressing the Senate another session, but I look to the welfare of my country. It is prosperous now. It is prosperous because of the industry and intelligence of the people, and not because of sentiment. Sentiment is very fine, but it is not as good as law.