

Order Paper Questions

3. Was the low bidder awarded the contract for the project and, if not, for what reason?

4. Did the cost of construction exceed the contracted amount and, if so, for what reason?

5. Which members from CIDA and/or the Department of External Affairs visited the job site and were their trips charged to the project?

6. How many Canadians were employed on the project?

Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (Secretary of State for External Affairs): 1. A list of companies was prepared on the basis of competence and normal professional standards from all those registered with CIDA. The criteria for selection were professional qualifications, relevant and related past experience, availability of expertise, ability to undertake the work, overseas experience and language requirements. The list of five companies was presented to the SSEA with CIDA's recommendations for his approval. The construction contract was not awarded by CIDA, the criteria from selection are therefore not on record.

2. (a) (i) Within the financial limits of the project, no proposal call was required. The firm of Tecslut International was selected. (ii) Not applicable to this project as the construction contract was not awarded or financed by CIDA. (b) Not applicable to this project as the construction contract was not awarded or financed by CIDA.

3. Not applicable to this project as the construction contract was not awarded or financed by CIDA.

4. Not applicable as the cost of construction was not paid from CIDA's funds, a comparison of actual versus contracted cost of construction is therefore not on record.

5. Records of visits or travel of CIDA and/or Department of External Affairs members are not maintained by project as travel is related to overall programs involving several specific areas of interest, and records are normally kept on this basis. Travel costs by CIDA and/or Department of External Affairs members are not charged to project funds.

6. Only Canadian citizens or landed immigrants may be employed on CIDA projects under normal circumstances. There is, however, no record of the number employed, as this information is not required for purposes of payment. Payments are made relative to the specific performance within the scope of work and the payment budget or, according to approved rates by discipline and total time worked, depending on the contract. The actual number of individuals employed is the prerogative of the consultant or contractor.

ELECTRIC POWER ELECTROBRAS NORTHEAST DISTRIBUTION PROJECT IN BRAZIL

Question No. 3,227—Mr. Paproski:

1. What criteria were used to award the contracts for consulting services and construction for the Electric Power Electrobras Northeast Distribution Project in Brazil 1969?

2. (a) Who were the (i) consultants invited to submit a proposal (ii) contractors invited to submit a bid (b) who was the successful bidder?

3. Was the low bidder awarded the contract for the project and, if not, for what reason?

4. Did the cost of construction exceed the contracted amount and, if so, for what reason?

5. Which members from CIDA and/or the Department of External Affairs visited the job site and were their trips charged to the project?

6. How many Canadians were employed on the project?

Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (Secretary of State for External Affairs): 1. The evaluation of proposals for consulting services was made by the Government of Brazil and monitored by CIDA, as this project was financed under a development loan agreement through the Inter American Development Bank (IADB). A list of companies was prepared on the basis of competence and normal professional standards from all those registered with CIDA. The criteria for selection were professional qualifications, relevant and related past experience, availability of expertise, ability to undertake the work, overseas experience and language requirements. The list of five companies was presented to the SSEA with CIDA's recommendations for his approval. The construction contract was not awarded by CIDA, the criteria for selection are therefore not on record.

2. (a) (i) Montreal Engineering Co. Ltd., Montreal; Acres International Ltd., Toronto; Surveyer, Nenniger and Chen-evert, Montreal; Shawigan Engineering Co. Ltd. The contract was awarded to Montreal Eng. on the basis of the best proposal. (ii) Not applicable to this project as the construction contract was not awarded by CIDA. (b) Not applicable to this project as the construction contract was not awarded by CIDA.

3. Not applicable to this project as the construction contract was not awarded by CIDA.

4. CIDA has no requirement to maintain records of cost of construction as this was financed through the Inter American Development Bank (IADB).

5. Records of visits or travel by CIDA and/or Department of External Affairs members are not maintained by project as travel is related to overall programs involving several specific areas of interest, and records are normally kept on this basis. Travel costs by CIDA and/or Department of External Affairs members are not charged to project funds.

6. Only Canadian citizens or landed immigrants may be employed on CIDA projects under normal circumstances. There is, however, no record of the number employed, as this information is not required for purposes of payment. Payments are made relative to the specific performance within the scope of work and the payment budget or, according to approved rates by discipline and total time worked, depending on the contract. The actual number of individuals employed is the prerogative of the consultant or contractor.

AKONOLINGA BRIDGE PROJECT IN CAMEROON

Question No. 3,228—Mr. Paproski:

1. What criteria were used to award the contracts for consulting services and construction for the Akonolinga Bridge Project in Cameroon in 1969?

2. (a) Who were the (i) consultants invited to submit a proposal (ii) contractors invited to submit a bid (b) who was the successful bidder?

3. Was the low bidder awarded the contract for the project and, if not, for what reason?

4. Did the cost of construction exceed the contracted amount and, if so, for what reason?

5. Which members from CIDA and/or the Department of External Affairs visited the job site and were their trips charged to the project?

6. How many Canadians were employed on the project?