

made. I do want to say that Ambassador Porter is, of course, a very old friend of mine and somebody whose judgment I respect enormously.

Assistant Secretary Enders is also one of my most valued assistants who has had a very major role in designing certain aspects of our economic policy. We are dealing here with two of the superior officers in the Foreign Service.

Mr. Hargreaves: One final question.

Q. Mr. Schweid, Associated Press: Mr. Secretary, in response to the Pike Committee, isn't this a bit of a surrender, and even though the names won't be attached, won't it have a chilling effect on dissenting views? After all, the junior officer's views are going to be conveyed to Congress even without his name.

Wouldn't he be wiser to just go along and present a united front so at least his agency seems unified?

A. The Secretary: I think you should wait until my response is published. We will not submit documents, even without names. We may give a summary of all the dissenting views from all sources that were received. No officer's recommendations will be submitted with or without names.

We are prepared to give a general summary of all dissenting views on a subject, but we are not going to segregate individual opinions.

Mr. Hargreaves: Thank you very much, Mr. Secretary, Mr. Minister.
