Faculty responds to anti-union editorial

The letter you could not find in The Globe

over faculty unionization, the Globe and Mail on its editorial page, has been a consistent and outspoken critic of the growing organization of post-secondary faculty. It singled out the York University Faculty Association (YUFA) in two negative editorials last December.

To present their side of the case. YUFA delegated the association's vice-chairperson, Virginia Hunter, to reply in a letter to the editor of the Globe.

If you saw that letter, then you are only getting half the story. One-third to be precise. Two-thirds of the letter was, shall we say, "edited" out.

A spokesperson for the Globe said the letter was cut because it was hard to understand and whatever the letter was trying to say was contained in the first paragraph.

As a service to our readers, we are printing below the original version of Hunter's letter. The sections in bold are those deleted by the Globe.

In the continuing controversy responsible for that fascinating Collective Agreement at York University. I mean, who could be more responsible than the Co-Chairperson of the Collective Bargaining Committee (i.e. chief organizer), Co-Ordinator of the Collective Agreement, and finally a member of the Negotiating Committee. First, let me admit quite frankly that it has been two years of damned hard work - a thankless task. Over and over I have wondered silently and even asked aloud what reward would in the end be mine. When would my colleagues qua brothers and sisters send me some flower or perhaps buy me a bottle of Scotch? When indeed! After all, what they see in that fascinating document is only the codification of working conditions they have had for years, academic freedom, tenure, participation in University governance, sabbati-

Come on now, you clever rogue, did you not know we professors have sabbaticals every seven years? Take myself, now. I'm on sabbatical leave and busy writing I write to you as one of those a book so that I can some day face



the rigorous evaluation of my peers for promotion to full Professor. I ask you, seriously, how could I do that over the Christmas holidays with papers to mark or even in the summer with new courses to prepare or articlesreviews to write? But surely you knew all this. Even in the last month an article appeared in your very own paper about the growing interest of industrial unions in sabbaticals for workers. I wonder where they got the idea. You knew, you knew. To repeat, it has been a thankless task. No one

has even been appreciative of maternity leave at full pay or adoption leave, probably for obvious reasons: in practice we had this anyway. I can only hope these benefits may serve as an example to other unions to seek the same.

In sum, I was beginning to believe my reward would be "in Heaven", as they say. And then your splendid editorial(s). Not one but two in succession. Thank you. Did even the despised postal workers or the irresponsible high school teachers merit such attention? And yet I remember well when they were the subject of your "concern". And well you might have been concerned, for the difference unionization made to the postal workers and teachers in wages, working conditions, and militancy was truly astounding. Now, we all know from those editorials of former times the attitude of the Globe and Mail to workers in the public sector, particularly teachers, and among them university teachers. A fortiori, how much more franatic you must be about unionized university teachers, even if our salaries have not undergone stunning improvement. The reason that I say thank you then is that you make it more than clear that any "hopes" you entertain about the future of the Universities may not be so easily realized. Think, for instance, of the details you so cunningly selected for your exposé: tenure, University governance, sabbatical leaves, and even traditional hours of work. (What, by the way, is the three-term year?) You reveal to me and to all who can put two and two together that this is just what the Globe and Mail editor(s) and behind him-her-them Blundering Bill (and his minions of Doctors' Hospital, Gray Coach, and Provincial Parks "fame") want to get rid of, the traditional practices and working conditions of the Universities. It won't be so easy now, will it? In any case, some of us knew this long ago. Hence the union. Hence, for the most part, the status quo. Hence the thanklessness. But thank you for my reward at last.

And may I suggest a reward for you - a sabbatical, a time of contemplation and some hard work as well, so that you may some day return to your readership full of the new knowledge, new methodologies, and new ideas which we, as teachers, bring to our students after a vear's sabbatical leave. Now we won't expect a book of you, but perhaps a little intellectual honesty - for the first time.

Engineering is one thing. Engineering for us is quite another.

There's nothing dull about engineering your own challenge. And that's where your Engineering career in the Canadian Armed Forces begins. From there, your career possibilities are unlimited. In the Canadian Forces, the different engineering disciplines are divided into 5 major classifications

Maritime Engineering Military Engineering Land Ordnance Engineering Aerospace Engineering Electronic and Communications Engineering.

You'll work with varied and sophisticated equipment on challenging projects in many parts of the world, face the responsibilities of leadership entrusted to you as an officer in the Canadian Armed Forces, and you'll enjoy the opportunity of working in all fields of engineering without being overly limited to any one.

Accepted qualified applicants will be given officer rank on entry, and an excellent salary along with many benefits. Security, promotions and opportunities for post-graduate training all add up to a worthwhile and personally rewarding career. If that's what you're looking for, it's time we got together.

Write, including your engineering qualifica-tions to date, to the Director of Recruiting and Selection, National Defence Headquarters, Armed Forces Recruiting Centre, listed under 'Recruiting" in the Yellow Pages.



