

"the Defender of the Faith," and advocates, of course, the principles of the Gospel, on which the Church is professedly founded, Jesus Christ Himself being the Head Corner Stone! He must be tried, therefore, by his own principles, and tested by his own profession. Has he evinced these Gospel principles, has he exhibited the Gospel spirit in his "Reply" to my Statement? It was the only mode left me of presenting my case to all the people concerned; nor was it "*unwise*" in me to do so. If Dr. Gray had "*known how* to meet it," he would have met it by a spirit of Christian courtesy; he would have taken an open and honest course, instead of one of misrepresentation and abuse; and he would have passed by the personal charges against himself, in silence; and not have attempted to deny them, by which he has only rendered them more clear and obvious to all discerning minds. He "*claimed the right*," he says, "*of meeting my charges as publicly as I advanced them*;" while he might much better have waived this *claim*, to say nothing of the *manner* in which he has urged it. The whole spirit of his "Reply" is sadly contrasted with the *prayer*, in my behalf, at the end! Can such a prayer come from a *pure* source? Can a fountain send forth, *at the same time*, both sweet water and bitter?

Having done with the "*suppressed*" part of the correspondence, I wish to allude again, in some particulars, to Dr. Gray's letter of Sept. —, 1847, addressed to me at St. Andrews. This letter has been introduced by Dr. Gray to public notice, and it requires to *be* noticed, in this Review, accordingly.

As this letter of Dr. Gray's is written to suit a case, we must refer to the letter to which it is an answer—this letter is mine of Sept. 2, 1847. Dr. Gray's letter is evidently *prospective*, and written with a view to what *might* happen. If any doubt of this were wanting, the act of now publishing these letters, and the *use* made of them, would fully prove it. This renders the offence of publishing a *private* letter still more flagrant. The subject of his letter, as I have before remarked, was not in my mind, when writing warmly and hastily from St. Andrews; nor did I really apprehend at the time any of the difficulties there alluded to. I felt, on the contrary, the highest and most unbounded hope and confidence in the Divine Providence, and could *see* no difficulties, nor were there any, except those which originated from Dr. Gray, and his subordinate, the Cu-

rate o  
sympa  
to this  
I have  
are no  
thems  
most s  
on, an  
toils;  
now to  
even f

Her  
divisi

"An  
"the p  
"rial  
"my  
"Pari  
How t  
fishio  
were k  
ple in  
the P

One  
"nam  
"some  
"am  
"Wh  
"Par  
"ants  
It a  
surre  
work

\* I be  
arling  
characte  
things,  
nature a  
suffers  
means i  
when h  
body, c  
of ador