

topping the
at the same
ve seen more
al courts that
isters I ever
d be, let the
handle their
representative”
appoint the
veral classes;
exhorters, S.
ives of Trus-
onstitute the
wards would
membership,
harers of the
and yet the
well.

goaded on by dangerous and turbulent levellers. These two extremes create all the trouble; but their conduct on both sides works the cure of the mischief; people get weary of it and put it down. Now this power of a chairman complained of is practically possessed by the chairman of all bodies; but both theirs and ours are amenable for their acts, and they ought to be. If a chairman of a meeting sees that a resolution is unconstitutional, he should refuse to put it; but if his ruling is reported to be in conflict with the rights of the laity, there should be a court for trying the question, in which the laity should compose a moiety of the members. If a chairman refuse to put a motion which conflicts with connexional authority and unity, he deserves respect and commendation; but if he does it barely out of stupid adherence to his own preferences in matters unessential, he deserves to be arraigned and deprived of his office.

CHAIRMAN TO

CONCESSION TO THE EPISCOPALS.

electing the
revent is the
ng a vote, or

The preference and wishes of the Episcopal type of Methodism deserve to be considered in a plan for unification, and the introduction of some of its characteristics would be not a concession merely, but real elements of strength, energy, and usefulness.

There is no
een done by
in the world
y when they
cially when

THE EPISCOPAL OFFICE.

of this feature;

First, then, as to the Episcopal office itself: The change in the original Canada Conference from a permanent Episcopacy to that of an annual Presidency arose from no dissatisfaction with the Episcopacy, but on the principle of compromise for peace sake, the