

*Motions for Papers***MOTIONS FOR PAPERS**

mediately (b) how many such streams, lakes and potentially involved areas of watersheds have been tested for mercury pollution, and which ones (c) in which has evidence of mercury pollution been found, and of these in which have fish been tested for mercury content (d) of these, in which ones are fish considered (i) safe for human consumption (ii) unsafe and, in the latter case, which species?

3. What reimbursement and/or financial assistance has been made to commercial fishermen (a) for direct losses (b) for indirect losses resulting from mercury pollution (i) by the federal government (ii) those causing pollution?

4. Has the Department of Fisheries and Forestry taken any steps under the Fisheries Act to ban or regulate the discharge of mercury into Canadian waters and, if so (a) what measures were taken (b) do these measures include the enactment of regulations (c) what are these regulations?

5. Is any testing being done of fish for dangerous levels of any of the other elements and, if so, which ones?

6. Are there any elements listed in the Food and Drug regulations, as being dangerous for human consumption, which might be consumed by fish, for which a content in fish is not being tested and, if so, what are they?

Return tabled.

USE OF COMPUTER—DEPARTMENT OF SUPPLY AND SERVICES AND RECEIVER GENERAL OF CANADA

Question No. 2,068—Mr. Robinson:

1. Does the Department of Supply and Services and Receiver General of Canada have the use of a computer in its operations and, if so, to what extent is it utilized?

2. Is the computer programmed 24 hours per day and, if not, for what reason?

3. What is the number of hours planned for utilization of the computer?

4. What has been the annual cost of computer services for each year 1960 to 1970 inclusive?

5. How many programmes are in preparation for the computer at the present time and how will each affect the efficiency of the Department?

Return tabled.

USE OF DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT—DEPARTMENT OF SUPPLY AND SERVICES AND RECEIVER GENERAL OF CANADA

Question No. 2,069—Mr. Robinson:

1. Does the Department of Supply and Services and Receiver General of Canada have data processing equipment (automatic) and, if so, for how long?

2. What was the initial cost of the equipment?

3. What yearly costs are anticipated for data processing in the Department?

Return tabled.

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, Notices of Motions numbers 406, 455, 476, 517 and 519 are acceptable to the government.

Mr. Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House that Notices of Motions numbers 406, 455, 476, 517 and 519 be deemed to have been adopted?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

REPORT ON BRITISH COLUMBIA TOWBOAT INDUSTRY

Motion No. 406—Mr. Howard (Skeena):

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the Report on the Investigation into the Tow-Boat Industry in British Columbia conducted in 1969.

Motion agreed to.

CONFERENCE OF GOVERNMENT SCIENTISTS ON ARCTIC RESEARCH

Motion No. 455—Mr. Harding:

That an humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House copies of all reports, studies, etc., discussed at the recent four day meeting held at Montebello, Quebec between United States and Canadian Government scientists to discuss Arctic research problems sponsored by the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development.

Motion agreed to.

ERNST AND ERNST CONSULTANT REVIEW OF PLANNING STUDY

Motion No. 476—Mr. Burton:

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the consultant study by Ernst and Ernst undertaken for the Department of Regional Economic Expansion regarding planning study as mentioned in answer to Question Number 897 and reported in Hansard of March 18, 1970 at page 5163.

Motion agreed to.

SHARING OF CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS, SASKATCHEWAN PROVINCIAL PARKS

Motion No. 517—Mr. Burton:

That an humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House a copy of an agreement between the Government of Canada and the Government of Saskatchewan providing for the joint sharing of capital costs for the development of provincial parks in Saskatchewan during 1970-71 and 1971-72.

Motion agreed to.