Mr. Long: The Auditor General's audit is a test audit. He does not see all payments, and he did not see these at the time they came in.

Mr. McLean (Charlotte): Would it not be simple to recommend that the embassies do a little double entry bookkeeping?

Mr. Long: They do, there is nothing wrong...

Mr. McLean (Charlotte): I cannot see that they do. I cannot see how they can do double entry bookkeeping and have these cash payments and no receipts, and all this sort of thing. I think it is just sloppy.

Mr. Long: An invoice came in as having been paid. It had signatures on it which were accepted as acknowledgment of payment. It turned out these were not an acknowledgment of payment.

Mr. McLean (Charlotte): Who said it was paid? Did the bookkeeper at the embassy say it had been paid? Did the Ambassador say it had been paid?

Mr. Long: The embassy people and the Ambassador, who would have to take the responsibility, sent it in as a paid voucher and they were then reimbursed...

Mr. McLean (Charlotte): Then why did the Ambassador not make good if he said it was all right and the bill had been paid? If I were the head of a company and I verified a bill and said it had been paid when it had not, I would expect to pay it myself. It seems to me there was sloppy bookkeeping done there.

Mr. Henderson: No doubt there is room for improvement, and that is one of the reasons we feel...

Mr. McLean (Charlotte): I think there should be a recommendation that the embassy keep some books and keep them right.

Mr. Henderson: In my opinion the soundest recommendation, and one which I hope would commend itself to the Committee, is that...

Mr. McLean (Charlotte): You may go out and spot check again and get another one.

Mr. Tucker: Apparently, Mr. Chairman, there is not very much we can do about this now, but can we not take steps to prevent the recurrence of a similar situation?

The Chairman: Mr. Henderson is going to speak about that just as soon as Mr. Stafford

has asked a question. We will then ask Mr. Henderson to move on to the next subject.

Mr. Stafford, you may proceed.

• 1050

Mr. Stafford: I have two or three questions.

The Embassy officials did think they had a receipt; is that not correct?

Mr. Henderson: As Mr. Long explained, yes.

Mr. Stafford: And were all the other receipts that came in marked "paid"? Did you check those to see if this receipt was different?

Mr. Henderson: I take it we would do that Mr. Stokes?

Mr. Stafford: But did you do it? Was this receipt marked differently from the others?

Mr. Henderson: From the same company. I think one of the reasons for our picking Yugoslavia is because you had not included it in your headquarters work, as Mr. Long was saying.

Mr. Stokes: Our reason for selecting Belgrade was that the transportation company had submitted a statement claiming payment of these accounts.

Mr. Stafford: That was to be my next question. Therefore, your spot-check was only successful because the transportation company had asked for the second time for payment? I take it that whether you had made the spot-check or not the department would have been just as aware of the fact that this payment had been asked for?

Mr. Henderson: Oh, yes, I am sure they would have.

Mr. Stafford: So that this is really hindsight rather than foresight. Any checks on balances such as you have mentioned would not obviate any such dishonesty in the future?

Mr. Henderson: It would go a long way to curing it, if I may say so, if someone was going around and looking at the operation of the procedures, reconciling the bank accounts on the spot and doing the usual job that a travelling internal auditor customarily does.

Mr. Stafford: Relative to that, if, on the second request for payment, this account would be just as obvious to the department as