

gentlemen left. We are few. I see nothing to succeed us but a race of weavers" and penny-a-liners and nothing-a-milers! Perish the thought! Alas! sir, the schools exert no exciting effect on the distinguished representative of No. 2. Their influence on him is eminently soothing and enervating and emasculatory. Indeed, they hypnotize him so completely that, in the Medical Council chamber, it is difficult, nay, it is impossible, to realize the fact that he was sent there to guard the interests of the profession, and not to help the school men and the homœopaths to control the Council to the detriment of the electorate.

Your correspondent is very curious as to why importance has been thrust upon his "little effort," and why I am not content to rest my case, with the electorate, on the official Report of the Council Proceedings. The latter question I will answer in due course, somewhat to his confusion, I fancy. In reply to the first, allow me to say, sir, it was necessary to unload the doctor in order to clear the field for future action. I have now drawn his fire, and no one has been hurt thereby save, perhaps, himself by the recoil of his own gun. Having shown that he can be stung into some semblance of an attempt to explain away his dialectic peculiarities and his ethical eccentricities, the profession will now naturally expect him to say what he can in justification of his far more serious disloyal votes and official blunders.

In my next letter I propose to give my promised paragraph elucidating my averment that Dr. Williams' want of status in regard to truthfulness and honesty in public discussion was decided more than three years ago. I hope also to then conclude my review of his two letters, and of his contentions in Council in regard to the composition of the Executive Committee.

Yours, etc.,

JOHN H. SANGSTER.

Port Perry, February 16th.

MEDICAL MEETINGS.—It appears to us that physicians could employ their time more profitably than attending many of the medical meetings which occur. No worthy object is gained by reading or discussing papers which contain nothing new, and which in general may be found more succinctly stated in standard text-books. How much wider our knowledge, how much broader our culture, how much better doctors we would be, if instead of forever hobnobbing together on time-worn themes, we should devote these hours to literary kings and queens, or to little journeys into the territory of neighboring science.—*American Medico-Surgical Bulletin.*