They are the words of a Father to his children, of a God to his its cowardly blow, and it supposed we should immediately fall creatures, of a tender master to his beloved disciples, and chosen friends. They are words snoken at the most solemn moment, under the most affecting circumstances, on the evo of a surrowful departure, to the teachers who were to evangelize the whole world. They are therefore words of truth, clear simple, obvious, natural, stating one only fact, announcing only one proposition, proclaiming only one doctrine. " the four Latin words" which have stood the test of time. which no sophistry can refute! no distortion obscure, no incenuity explain away, no impiety destroy. These are the words which Luther himself, (the author of that Religious Comedy called the Reformation, which like all other comedies ended in a mock marriage) declares he could never get over, though strongly inclined through hatred of the Pope, to deny their only legitimate meaning.

These are the words which the same Luther asserts were cruelly tortured by three of the leading Reformers. Hoc EST Corpus Meum

## THIS IS MY BODY.

" Carlostadius" said he, "ex his sanctis verbis misere detorquot pronomen Hoe . Zuinglius verbum Est macerat : Œcolampadius autem nomen Corpus torture subjicit," miserably distorts the Pronoun THIS; Zuinglius macerates the verb 19; whilst Œclampadius puts on the rack the substantive Bony.

These, we repeat, are the sacred words held up to derision in the columns of the Guardian. But whilst we fling back the wanton insult with all the contempt it deserves, we are not sorry to be forced to say so much upon a subject with which we believe few readers in the Guardian are at all acquainted.

Well: against such a venerable article of our Creed, the Guardian, wantonly and deliberately publishes a vulgar, offensive, and unchristian attack, and having thus wounded us in a most sensitive part, it expected that we should be silent, or that if we ventured to remonstrate, it should be

"With bated breath and whisp'ring humbleness" It publishes an unprovoked attack on our religious institutions, and calls them "nurseries of indolence and vice, and superstition" and yet it has the modesty in the very same article to lec-

"We give the entire text from Lutheris Epist. ad Argen tinenses, " Hoc diffiteri non possum, quod di Carlastaduis aut aluis ante quinquenium, mihi persuadere potuisset, in Sacramento prætor panem et vinam mihi esse, ille me magno heneficio devinctum reddidisset. In hoc materia multum desudares, omnibus nervis extensis me extricare cenatus sum, cum perspicicbum hoc in re Papatui imprimis me valde incommodare posse. Verum ego me captum vidio, mulla evadendi vice relicia est. Textus Evangelii nimis apertus est, et pateus, qui facile convelti non potest, multo minus verbis aut glossis a capite vertiginoso confecus convelli."

by the Archangel that ' with God so wone shall be impossible.' I ture us on the proprieties of language! It directed against us on our knees and thank our rude assailant, and implore him to be more mercuful for the future. Our sisters, our nicces, our relations and dearest friends who have devoted themselves in roligious houses to the service of God, to the relief of the poor to the ministration of the sick, to the instruction of the ignorant are said to be living in " nurseries of indolence, vice, and superstition" and the uncharitable and unmanis bigut who uses this vile language, this dastardly calumny, has the brazen audacity to complain if we retort in " words that burn!"

" Quis tulerit Gracchos de seditione querentes!"

We dare say the Editor of the Guardian never saw a convent in his life. He certainly can know nothing of the interior of a religious institution of this kind, or of the lives of its inmates.-Some lying impostor of the Maria Monk School must be his only source of information, and nevertheless, this arbiter elegantiarum, this literary Chesterfield is shocked at the naturally indignant language of the Cross!

Having made these general observations, we now proceed to examine more minutely the specious pleading of this fractional organ of Presbyterianism. The Editor's first sentence is sufficient to condemn him.

"We have never had any quarrel with the Catholics of Nova Scotia."

Therefore, inconsistent scribbler, your attack upon them-was more heartless, and cold-blooded. If they had ever abused, insulted, or quarrelled with you, there might be some excuse for your onsiaught. But, by your own confession, they have done no such thing, and we may take it for granted, that they would never quarrel with you, if you had not commenced the quarrel yourself.

"We have received from many of them numerous tokens ofrespect and kindness."

A very grateful return, truly, have you made the Catholics for those numerous tokens.

"And we felt bound in common justice, and still more constrained from a sense of gratitude, to show them any little proofs of kindness and good will, which we had in our power to manifest!"

So the Guardian thinks it is "common justice" to abuse his kind neighbour! And he is "constrained" (quelle douce violence!) "from a sense of gratitude" (the Lord deliver us from such gratitude ') " to show them any little proofs of kindness and good will," such as " cakes and wafers" scraps of "Latin words" foul spittle upon every thing we hold sacred, and the attribution of "indolence vice and superstition" to the most enlightened, the purest, and the most active of our Catholic Ladies! These " proofs of kindness" are no doubt exceedingly " httle" but we believe that they were all that the very little mind which inspires the Guardian, " had it in its power to manifest."

Catholics of Nova Scotia, we beseech you to engrave deeply. on the tablets of your memory those little "proofs of kindness" and good will" which the grateful writer of the Guardian has been constrained to manifest in your favour! When the Protestant poet Denham in his Cooper's Hill describes the sacrilegious spoliation of the monasteries and great Catholic Institutions under that monster of crucity and lust, the eighth Henry, he imagines a stranger entering the country, and indignantly asking

"What barbarous invader sack'd thic land ?" and he continues in this manner;

<sup>&</sup>quot;This I am forced to confess that if Carlostaduis or any one else, could have persuaded me before five years, that there was nothing in the Sacrament but bread and wine, he would have placed me under a very weighty obligation. Labouring much in this matter I tried to extricate myself by very means in my power, because I clearly saw that in this affair particularly I could give great annoyance to the Papacy. But I see myself could give great annoyance to the Papacy. But I see myself caught, and no way left for escaping. The text of the Gospel is too plain and open, and it cannot be easily torn to nicces, and least of all by the words or glosses of a disten pered brain."No bad comment from Doctor Martin Luther on "the Four Latin Words" which are sneered at by the Guardian.