

Breakfast quiet.
A motion has been made in the House of Commons to adjourn over for the Easter holidays from March 22nd to April 2nd.

The Times Paris special states that the Ministry announced on Monday evening was upset by a Bonapartist who influenced MacMahon to oppose the appointment of the Duke d'Orléans Pasquier, and the Bishop of Orléans in behalf of the clergy, who also opposed M. Wallon.

The Times correspondent says in the conference on Monday MacMahon, while urging M. Buffet to enter the Ministry, several times threatened to resign. His words of a contemplated coup d'état by Bonapartists are in circulation and cause some uneasiness.

LONDON, March 11.

MACMAHON SECURES A CABINET.
It is officially announced that a Ministry has been formed as follows: M. Buffet, Minister of the Interior; M. Dufour, Minister of Justice; M. Léon Say, Minister of Finance; M. Wallon, Minister of Public Instruction; Vicomte de Maux, Minister of Agriculture; Duke de Caes, Minister of Foreign Affairs; General de Cassy, Minister of War; Admiral De Montaigne, Minister of Marine; M. Callaux, Minister of Public Works.

THE CABINET'S PROGRAMME.
M. Dufour has drawn up a programme for the new Ministry, as follows: The Assembly, on meeting after the Easter holidays, to vote the budget; the Senatorial elections to be held next September; the dissolution of the Assembly to follow in October; Government to demand the maintenance of the present electoral system, and to raise the state of siege in all the departments except the Seine, Rhone and Bouches du Rhone.

THE NEW HAMPSHIRE ELECTION.
Returns from all but eight towns in New Hampshire render it certain that a Republican victory has been achieved, and that Cheney, though not elected Governor by the people, is sure of office.

THE SCHOONER LOUIS.
The schooner *Louis*, of 200 tons, for Havana, was abandoned on Friday, sinking. The captain and crew were rescued by the steamer *Nederland* from Antwerp for Philadelphia.

THE PARLIAMENT OF CANADA.

(To Morning Papers.)

OTTAWA, March 10.

To-day, after the introduction of private bills, Hon. Mr. Mitchell asked if it was the intention of the Government to consider the advisability of taking over the Canadian Branch Bank of Montreal, the same in connection with the International.

Hon. Mr. Mackenzie answered that he did not deem it in the public interest that the Government should be burdened by the management of a bank. The Government intended adding branch lines by having them run on the same lines as the International, which would have to be returned when no further business was committed.

Dr. Forbes asked if the Government intended establishing a Marine Hospital at Liverpool, N. S., this summer, and if not, when?

Hon. D. A. McDonald said the matter was under consideration.

Mr. Flagg moved for the correspondence with the Hon. Secretary of the Reciprocity Treaty with the United States. He gave the history of all negotiations since 1856, and condemned the Government for allowing the provision to complete enlargement of Canada by 1850. He alluded to Senator Brown's previous course on this question, and alleged he was now inconsistent in supporting the United States, instead of waiting for them to approach Canada.

After recess some private bills were advanced, and the debate on Mr. Costigan's resolution, under New Brunswick School Law was then resumed.

Hon. Mr. Mackenzie expressed sympathy for the New Brunswick Catholics, but contended that the House could not interfere with Provincial legislation which had the highest Council of the Empire considers within competence of local legislation. He moved an amendment declaring that endorsement by Imperial Parliament on Provincial rights would be an infraction of the Provincial Constitution, and would be fraught with danger to autonomy of each of the Provinces, and that the House ought not to lay its hands thereon.

Mr. Costigan moved amendment to amend, seconded by Hon. Mr. Blake that the Queen be petitioned to call attention to New Brunswick legislation to the matter, and request them to amend the law.

Mr. Costigan moved amendment of the debate.

Hon. Mr. Mackenzie objected, and amendment was lost. Feb 30. Naps 124. Debate then proceeded.

LEGISLATURE OF NEW BRUNSWICK.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

(By Telegraph to the Tribune.)

FREDERICTON, March 10.

Mr. Robinson introduced a bill to incorporate Farmers Wooded Manufacturing Company of New Brunswick.

The proper preliminaries having been gone through, Wm. Elder, the member elected to represent the City and County of St. John in place of the late Joseph Coman, was introduced by the Attorney General and Mr. Austin, and having been sworn in by His Honor Judge Weldon, took his seat.

Mr. Rogers introduced a bill to authorize the Trustees of the Grammar School in Harvey, Albert Co., to transfer certain property to the Trustees of District No. 3 for School purposes.

Hon. Mr. Fraser committed the bill to amend Frederickton Boom Company Act. Mr. Blanchard in the chair. The bill is to extend the limits of the present Company from their present upper limits to the mouth of the Macnamara, some ten or twelve miles above Frederickton, to enable them to erect a new boom, and to increase capital stock to seventy-two thousand dollars; to authorize them to enter upon and possess any lands after paying for some of the lands required for the purpose of making sufficient boom and to increase the present boom from seven to eight cents per thousand.

Second Edition.

(Special to the Daily Tribune.)

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

FREDERICTON, March 11.

After routine business, Mr. King introduced a bill to amend the City Council of St. John in paying Prince William street; also, a bill relating to the appointment of the police force, St. John; also, a bill to vest the appointment of Recorder of the City of St. John in the Common Council; also, a bill to amend the City of St. John Assessment Law, with petitions of the Common Council in favor thereof.

Hon. Mr. King introduced a bill to incorporate the County of Northumberland.

Mr. Fiewelling recommended a bill to extend the jurisdiction of the Magistrate's Court to real and personal property, where the title of the land does not come in question. The plaintiff may also abandon part of a claim for bringing within the jurisdiction of the court.

Hon. Mr. King moved a bill to limit the class of contracts over which the jurisdiction was sought to be established, to confine the civil jurisdiction under the bill to the parish of St. John, and to definitely establish the court in which the civil actions provided for shall be tried.

Hon. Mr. McQueen thought such a court as should be established in every parish in the province.

Hon. Mr. Crawford endorsed McQueen's views.

Hon. Mr. Fraser opposed the section of the bill which provided that an attorney's fees not exceeding five dollars may be taxed on the issue of a case by the Magistrate, as it would encourage the attendance of young attorneys at the court, a thing which he did not think desirable.

Mr. Covert also opposed this section, as it would make justice dear and encourage pettifoggery.

Mr. Smith complimented the present Magistrate of St. John on his ability, and would even allow his jurisdiction to be still further extended, but he opposed this section.

Mr. Tweedie opposed the section providing for the taxing of a fee, and thought the matter should be allowed to remain as under the old act. It is *infra dig* for a lawyer to attend these courts, and he thought this provision would encourage them to do so.

Mr. McNeill was in favor of striking out the *aliquot* provision, and Crawford said it was not a matter of course consequence, but it was hardly that a successful litigant should be obliged to pay his lawyer out of his verdict. As the fee is to be taxed on cases of more than twenty dollars only, the provision is a fair one, and only what is provided in the Provincial and St. John Courts.

Hon. Mr. Fraser said he did not understand before the taxing of the fee, but he believed the lawyers would favor the bill, as it would have the effect of keeping cases from going into more expensive courts.

Mr. Smith said that he had been under the same impression as Fraser, and also withdrew his objections, and the bill, with Crawford's amendments, was agreed to.

Mr. Covert committed a bill to reduce the fees of Attorneys, Mr. Costigan in the chair. The mover referred to the general disposition of the House to reduce the charges for collection of small debts. He proposed to reduce fees in cases involving less than \$10, the mode being for the clerk to make up the customary fees and divide by two. He believed the lawyers would favor the bill, as it would not affect the cases attached much importance to the cases affected by the bill.

Mr. Crawford said the present law is not adhered to or the object of the mover would be gained, as an attorney is entitled to only \$6 in cases of less than \$100, under the table of fees set up by the Act of 1860. More, however, is generally paid.

Mr. Covert thought similar liberties would be taken with the law secured by the present bill.

Mr. Phillips thought the bill proposed to cut down the fees too much.

Mr. Ryan favored the bill and thought the fees proposed would be quite sufficient.

Mr. Stevenson said that under the County Court Act, taken together with this bill, a man who sues for judgment by default is now hardly dealt with than one who contests a suit. He wondered why the mover aimed at lawyers alone. Why not include the fees of the Sheriff, Judges and clerks also.

Messrs. Leighton and Phillips favored the fees being specially stated.

Mr. Crawford thought progress should be reported as the bill was very faulty and no lawyer would do the work for three dollars.

Mr. Fraser said he would vote for the bill, as lawyers will get their pay under any circumstances, and he desired to encourage Mr. Smith in his efforts in behalf of the poor debtor.

Mr. McQueen thought the debtor who allowed judgment to be recorded by default ought to be favored more than those who contended against creditors' claims.

Mr. Tweedie said the measure seemed to please Mr. Smith, and he did not see how it would vote for it.

Mr. Murdoch said a bill should be passed requiring the clerk under a penalty to tax costs as required by law. Then, he thought, the costs would not be too great.

He favored the bill.

Mr. Crawford thought the bill should be referred to a Special Committee.

Mr. Stephenson thought the bill imperfect, as well as inequitable in some of its provisions, and favored progress being reported. The bill was agreed to.

Just before recess Mr. Speaker announced that the amendment to the Costigan resolutions in the House of Commons at Ottawa, directing that Her Majesty be requested to use her influence with the Legislature of New Brunswick to induce it to endeavor to remove the present ground of disincorporation in reference to the School Act, had passed by a majority of forty.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

FREDERICTON, March 11.

Hon. J. A. Beckwith explained that the land had been sold by Mr. Boas for \$800 for school purposes of the neighborhood of St. Mary's settlement. The title had to go to the County Council in trust for school purposes but the land not being in a convenient or central position, they had purchased another lot and now desired to give it sufficient publicity. In question, but were unable to do so without an act of the Legislature. All parties were agreed to let it pass.

A question arose on Hon. J. A. Beckwith moving that the House go into committee on a bill to raise money for the construction of the new Market House and City Hall in Frederickton, whether the bill regarding publishing should be discussed.

Hon. Mr. McInerney contended that as the bill had been burned down since the election of the new Council it was but reasonable that they should have time for consideration and the expression of opinion.

Hon. J. A. Beckwith wished to take no advantage of any one, or press the bill on its merits. The result had not been published was that the bill was burnt in February, so near the date of the meeting of the Legislature that there was no time to give it sufficient publicity.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

Hon. Mr. Seely said that the fact that the bill had been presented in favor of the bill and not against it would cause him to vote against the suspension.

NEW PRINTS!

A Nice Assortment

Printed

Cottons!

JUST OPENED!

J. H. MURRAY & CO'S.

53 King Street.

DIED.

In the Town of Portland, on the 10th inst.

At 10 o'clock, a.m. at St. J. P. M., after a short illness of inflammation of the lungs, Rosser McVey, a native of Derry, Ireland, aged 60 years.

Passed from the residence of his Son-in-law, T. Young, Main street, Portland, at 2 1/2 p.m. Saturday, 10th. Friends and acquaintances are respectfully invited to attend.

(Baton Herald, please copy)

SHIPPING NEWS.

POINT OF SAINT JOHN.

ARRIVED.

Windsor, 11th inst, brig Antwerp, Albinus, Harvey, via St Thomas, steels to Intercourse.

CLEARED.

11th—Star New Brunswick, Hall, 935, Eastport, for Liverpool and other ports, via Cape Breton.

ARRIVED.

At Liverpool, 8th inst, ship Wellington, Carrington, from Glasgow.

At Liverpool, 8th inst, ship Peter Young, Perry, from Liverpool.

At Liverpool, 8th inst, ship M. E. Corning, Mather, from Mobile for St. John.

At Liverpool, 8th inst, ship S. S. Capitan, from Halifax.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 2nd inst, brig Wilmers, Payson, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.

ARRIVED.

At Portland, 8th inst, ship William, for Liverpool.