

	<i>l.</i>	<i>s.</i>
Brought over	8,056,545	0
" Two-fifths of a year's interest on		
" 7,000,000 <i>l.</i> at 5 <i>per cent.</i>	140,000	0
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Deduct	8,196,545	0
	7,000,000	0
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Premium	1,196,545	0
	<hr/>	<hr/>
At 6 <i>per cent.</i>		
" 7,000,000 <i>l.</i> of 3 <i>per cents.</i> , at 50 <i>l.</i>	3,500,000	0
" Annuity of 245,000 <i>l.</i> at the		
" above price of 1359 <i>l.</i> and a		
" fraction, for each 100 <i>l.</i> of		
" this annuity for 29 years, or		
" about 13 $\frac{1}{2}$ years purchase	3,309,721	10
" Lottery Tickets	147,000	0
" Two-fifths of a year's interest on		
" 7,000,000 <i>l.</i> at 6 <i>per cent.</i>	168,000	0
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	7,124,721	10
Deduct	7,000,000	0
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Premium	124,721	10
	<hr/>	<hr/>

So that if the News paper had any authority for stating the above, as the proposed terms of the loan, the Public would give, almost 2 *per cent.* premium, to borrow money, on a fund equal to 6 *per cent.* never redeemable; but the above mode of calculation, will do for whatever may be the real terms of the loan.