

Jordan, of Leland Stanford Junior University; President Hall, of Clark University, Massachusetts; the Honourable James Bryce, the British Ambassador at Washington; Professor Finlay, who is at the head of the Department of Education at Manchester; Sir Donald Macalister, the head of the University of Glasgow; and Dr. J. G. Adami, of McGill University.

It is unfortunate that the limits imposed on a magazine article make it impossible to quote at length from the thoughtful letters of those who have expressed their opinion. Almost all of the points brought up in their letters have been touched upon in the early part of this paper. The gist of these letters is given in the following paragraphs—occasionally the letters are quoted from. When it seems advisable to do so, some of the points made in the letters are commented upon or criticized.

(a) LETTERS FAVOURING AN URBAN SITE.

PRESIDENT HADLEY, of Yale University, regrets "very greatly that it seems impossible to give a general opinion on the merits of urban and rural locations for Universities". He has reluctantly come to the conclusion that "a location in the country is an advantage to an institution while it remains small but tends to interfere with its development". This statement is based upon an observation of the history of universities in Europe and in the United States. In the United States, for example, many of the small country colleges have found it necessary to abandon any attempt to develop as universities and have remained small colleges. With the exception of Princeton and Leland Stanford, all the older American universities have been associated, from their commencement, with towns of from 10,000 to 300,000 inhabitants.

Because of the peculiar conditions which surround it, it is by no means certain that the development of a Canadian university placed in the country will follow the same course as has been followed by similarly-situated European and