By Mr. Calder, K.C.:

Q. At what time did you leave for St. Sulpice?—A. We must have left at about ten o'clock to go to Mr. Duval's home where we waited for Mrs. Duvalwho was then in bed, and we had to wait while she dressed. Mr. Duval was keen about bringing his wife along because that day happened to be the twentieth anniversary of their marriage.

By the Chairman:

Q. At what hour was that?—A. It was late enough to retire; it was about eleven o'clock when we left there.

By Mr. Calder, K.C.:

Q. You reached St. Sulpice between eleven o'clock and midnight, I suppose? -A. It was about that time.

Q. Mr. Duval knew at that time that the barge Tremblay was involved, and that it must be at St. Sulpice? Then it is not true that the did not know the barge was involved? And that he discovered it when his car rounded the corner and the headlights were turned on the wharf?—A. If he knew that before leaving he would not have had to go to St. Sulpice.

Q. It is not true then that he was ignorant of the barge Tremblay and only discovered it as the headlights of his automobile were turned on the wharf, that is not for certain that the barge would be moored at the wharf?—A. I am not required to defend Duval. I was waiting to see him.

Q. When you reached St. Sulpice did you go to the wharf?—A. I personally?

Q. Yes.-A. No, I remained in my little corner in the automobile.

Q. Mrs. Duval remained in the automobile also?-A. Yes.

Q. Did Mr. Duval go to the wharf alone?—A. Yes.

Q. Did he report to you, after speaking to the persons at the wharf?-A. Yes. He returned and said that the officers of the Liquor Commission arrived there before us, that they had seized the barge, that he must go and make a report on the matter to his chief.

Q. Where did he go then?-A. He went to the village of St. Sulpice to telephone, which is about a mile farther down.

Q. Did he go there on foot or in the car?—A. He went there in the car.

Q. He went with you and Mrs. Duval?-A. Yes.

Q. He returned and reported what Mr. Bisaillon had said?—A. Yes.

Q. Did he state to Mr. Bisaillon that you were concerned in the matter? -A. Yes, he said, "I am after telling him you were with me."

Q. This was of no import because the Customs officials in this case were the Liquor Commission's officers, who had made the seizure? You never had made a claim for the informer's reward?-A. No, not yet. I have spoken about it to the inspectors.

Q. After having telephoned, did you return to the wharf?—A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Duval went there again?—A. Yes, he went there again. Q. Then he reported to you afterwards? What did he say when he returned? —A. He told me that the officers of the Liquor Commission were responsible, that Bisaillon had advised him to leave them in charge.

Q. Did he phone only once or did he phone twice to Bisaillon?---A. I am under the impression he phoned twice, I can't swear as to that. I believe that the officer of the Liquor Commission refused to recognize the seizure, to begin

A. Yes, I remained in the automobile.