

Judges Act

at which the medicine is sold in any market in Canada by a percentage in excess of the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index, as published by Statistics Canada under the authority of the Statistics Act, for that period, the Board may, by notice in writing, require the patentee to provide the Board with such information and documents concerning the costs of making and marketing the medicine as the Board may specify and as is available to the patentee in Canada or is within the knowledge or control of the patentee, and on the receipt of any such notice, the patentee shall comply therewith within such time as the Board may specify."

(d) by striking out line 42 on page 17 and substituting the following:

"accordance with subsection (5) or (5.1),"

(e) by striking out line 17 on page 20 and substituting the following:

"purpose of the report referred to in"

(f) by striking out lines 2 and 3 on page 23 and substituting the following:

"shall contain

(a) a summary of pricing trends in the pharmaceutical industry; and

(b) the name of each patentee to whom a notice under subsection 41.15(1.1) or 41.16(5.1) was sent during the year and a statement as to the status of the matter in respect of which the notice was sent."

(g) by striking out lines 4 and 5 on page 23 and substituting the following:

"(2) The summary referred to in paragraph (1)(a) may be based on information and".

And that Senate amendment 16(a) be amended to read as follows:

That Clause 15 of Bill C-22 be amended by adding, immediately after line 17 on page 19, the following:

"(12) Where an order is made under paragraph 6(d) in respect of a medicine, the prohibitions set out in subsections 41.11(1) and 41.14(1) cease to apply in respect of the medicine effective on the date of the order."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: There has been a proposal by the Hon. Member for Ontario (Mr. Fennell) that we call in the Members for the vote. Is there unanimous consent for that?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Call in the Members.

The House divided on the motion (Mr. Andre) which was agreed to on the following division:

● (1520)

(Division No. 290)

YEAS

Members

Andre	Corbett	Fennell
Attewell	Cossitt	Ferland
Belsher	Côté	Fontaine
Blenkarn	(Lac-Saint-Jean)	Fretz
Blouin	Crosbie	Friesen
Bourgault	(St. John's West)	Gagnon
Brightwell	Crosby	Gervais
Brisco	(Halifax West)	Gray
Cadioux	Crouse	(Bonaventure—Îles-de-
Champagne	Dantzer	la-Madeleine)
(Champlain)	Darling	Hamilton
Clark	Daubney	Harvey
(Brandon—Souris)	Dorin	Hicks
Coates	Epp	Hnatyshyn
Collins	(Provencher)	Hudon
Cooper		

Jacques	McCrossan
Jelinek	McDermid
Jourdenais	McKenzie
Kempling	McKinnon
King	McKnight
Lanthier	Merrithew
Leblanc	Mitges
Lesick	Nickerson
Lopez	Nowlan
MacDonald	Oberle
(Kingston and	Pennock
the Islands)	Peterson
MacDougall	Plourde
(Timiskaming)	Ravis
Malone	Redway
Mantha	Reid
Marin	Schellenberger
Martin	(Wetaskiwin)
Mayer	Scott
Mazankowski	(Hamilton—Wentworth)
McCain	Siddon

Sparrow
Speyer
Stackhouse
Stevens
Tardif
(Charlesbourg)
Taylor
Thacker
Tupper
Van De Walle
Vankoughnet
White
Wilson
(Swift Current
—Maple Creek)
Winegard
Wise
Witer—90

NAYS

Members

Allmand	Gray	Nystrom
Althouse	(Windsor West)	Ouellet
Angus	Heap	Parry
Axworthy	Hopkins	Riis
Boudria	Kaplan	Robinson
Caccia	Killens	Rompkey
Cassidy	MacLellan	Tobin
Copps	Manly	Young—31
Dingwall	Marchi	
Fulton	McCurdy	
Gagliano	Mitchell	
Gauthier	Nunziata	

● (1530)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I declare the motion carried.

* * *

JUDGES ACT

MEASURE TO AMEND

Hon. Ray Hnatyshyn (Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada) moved that Bill C-88, an Act to amend the Judges Act, be read the second time and referred to a legislative committee.

He said: Mr. Speaker, as I stated after Question Period, I am very pleased to be able to address the House today on Bill C-88, an Act to amend the Judges Act.

● (1540)

As you know, Parliament established a process in 1981 to review the salaries, benefits and other amounts payable under the Judges Act. Every three years a commission is appointed to inquire into the adequacy of judicial remuneration. These commissions report to the Minister of Justice who, in turn, tables the report in Parliament. The rationale of having such commissions is obvious. Triennial commissions provide, on a regular basis, advice to the Government which is independent