ALLOPATHIC AND HOMEOPATHIC MEDICATION CONTRASTED.

BY E. B. MARCY, M.D.

In order to form an accurate judgment respecting the comparative merits of different modes of medication, it is necessary, in making up the account, to take into consideration the remote as well as immediate effects of medicines upon the constitution. The old axiom *tuto, cito, et jucunde*, has been most aptly applied to the cure of diseases so far as mere theory is concerned; but we regret to observe that neither the *tuto*, nor the *cito*, nor the *jucunde*, have, as a general rule, received due practical appreciation from the mass of the profession. In the present paper it will be our object to adduce from standard authorities of both schools a sufficient number of facts bearing upon our subject, to enable any impartial reader to form an intelligent opinion respecting the comparative efficiency and safety of the two methods.

We are induced to write a few paragraphs upon this subject in consequence of a great change in the tactics of our opponents within the past few years. Formerly the doctrines of our school were answered by sneers and ridicule, our doses were pronounced impotent, and our treatment abortive, and this course was continued for many years, but without staying for a single instant the onward progress of our school. Many volumes have been written upon the supposed absurdity of small doses, extensive mathematical calculations have been entered into with a view of computing the weight of imponderable atoms, and a vast amount of satire has been expended, in attempting to crush these little atoms out of existence, but all has been in vain! The little doses still continue to give evidence of their power, and the public instead of heeding the sneers and ridicule of our amiable opponents, fly to homeopathy as their only safeguard in illness. For more than forty years after the discoveries of Hahnemann, the entire allopathic profession resorted to ridicule as their chief weapon of opposition. Professing to