

Company; also a bill for an increased police assessment, with petitions in favor of each.

Mr. Gough introduced a bill relating to the qualification of members to serve in the Assembly. He also gave notice of a resolution calling for minutes of council relating to the disposal of mines, also for papers showing the work done, and for whom, by the diamond bore, etc., also a resolution calling for the expenses of delegations; also for papers on the revision of the statutes.

Mr. Butler committed a bill to amend the act for the protection of moose, Mr. Gillespie in the chair. The bill provides for the confiscation by the warden of all moose killed out of season.

Mr. Napier suggested a clause providing that lumbermen might be allowed to kill moose for their use.

The bill was agreed to, after amendment by the Attorney General, the clause proposed by Mr. Napier being lost.

The Provincial Secretary laid on the table a copy of the remonstrance of the Executive against certain acts of the Commons referred to in the speech.

Mr. Beck with introduced a bill, with a petition, for the closing of the burial ground at Fredericton.

Mr. Tibbets introduced a bill to authorize the increase of the capital stock of the Fredericton Boom Company; also a bill to repeal the act authorizing the Victoria County to aid the New Brunswick Company; also a bill to incorporate a feeble that he could not sit up long enough to have his heart and lungs examined. What disease he had been treated for did not appear, but I found him suffering under Chronic Splentitis. He had a severe cough, dyspnoea, palpitation of the heart, impaired digestion, and consequent general emaciation. I put him under treatment, prescribed your Compound Syrup of Hypophosphites, and after using four bottles he was able to sit up to his arm, and is now perfectly well. In March he was given up by four physicians respectively, in May he settled in a ploughing eighteen acres of land, and had run up in flesh from 136 to 148 pounds weight.

I send you this for the purpose of making what use you please of it, and wish you all success in your labor for the benefit of suffering humanity.

Strongly recommending the use of your Compound Syrup of Hypophosphites to all who suffer in any way from disease or weakness of the lungs, bronchitis, or general debility. Believe me your obedient servant.

J. H. W. Scott, M. D.

The Westfield Hall bill passed in committee.

Hon. Mr. Hanington presented a petition from the Diocesan Church for power to lease certain lands.

Hon. Mr. Beveridge presented petitions to remove the Shiretown of Victoria to Perth or Andover.

Hon. Mr. Bailey presented more orange petitions.

Hon. Mr. Sealy has returned and Hon. Mr. Robinson-Owen has arrived.

Chronic Splentitis—Physician's Report of a Remarkable Case.

Gagetown, N. B., July 22, 1888.

Mr. JAMES I. FELLOWS, Chemist—Dear Sir: Allow me to bear my testimony in favor of your Compound Syrup of Hypophosphites. I have used it myself for Tuberculosis with marked benefit, and have given it to a great number of my patients, with the same results as I experienced from its use. During the last seven years I have devoted myself almost exclusively to the treatment of Consumption, having cases under my care in all parts of the Province; so that I am enabled to speak from experience of the benefits derived from its use.

The most marked was a case which was under my care in March last. This was a patient who had been under treatment nine months with no beneficial result. When I first saw him he was so feeble that he could not sit up long enough to have his heart and lungs examined. What disease he had been treated for did not appear, but I found him suffering under Chronic Splentitis. He had a severe cough, dyspnoea, palpitation of the heart, impaired digestion, and consequent general emaciation. I put him under treatment, prescribed your Compound Syrup of Hypophosphites, and after using four bottles he was able to sit up to his arm, and is now perfectly well. In March he was given up by four physicians respectively, in May he settled in a ploughing eighteen acres of land, and had run up in flesh from 136 to 148 pounds weight.

I send you this for the purpose of making what use you please of it, and wish you all success in your labor for the benefit of suffering humanity.

Strongly recommending the use of your Compound Syrup of Hypophosphites to all who suffer in any way from disease or weakness of the lungs, bronchitis, or general debility. Believe me your obedient servant.

J. H. W. Scott, M. D.

**DIED.**

At St. Stephen, on Sunday, 12th inst., after a long and painful illness, MARY ANN, aged 25 years and 10 months, beloved wife of Henry Gault, of St. John, N. B., leaving a family of four children to mourn the loss of a kind and affectionate mother.

At the residence of Mr. David Miller, on Sunday, 12th inst., after a long and painful illness, MARY ANN, aged 25 years and 10 months, beloved wife of Henry Gault, of St. John, N. B., leaving a family of four children to mourn the loss of a kind and affectionate mother.

Funeral on Saturday, at half past 2 o'clock. Friends and acquaintances are respectfully invited to attend.

**EATON'S Commercial College.**

RITCHIE BUILDING, St. John.

THE EVENING SESSION is now in full operation, which will enable young men to take advantage of the College Course without interfering with their business.

All the subjects embraced in a thorough commercial education, are taught in a practical manner.

A call respectfully solicited.

nov 11 A. H. EATON, Principal.

**SHIPPING NEWS.**

PORT OF SAINT JOHN.

ARRIVED.

Wednesday, Feb. 10th—Ship Alexander Keith, (new) Fisher, George Melwood—labeled at St. John.

Saturday, Feb. 10th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Sunday, Feb. 11th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Monday, Feb. 12th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Tuesday, Feb. 13th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Wednesday, Feb. 14th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Thursday, Feb. 15th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Friday, Feb. 16th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Saturday, Feb. 17th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Sunday, Feb. 18th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Monday, Feb. 19th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Tuesday, Feb. 20th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Wednesday, Feb. 21st—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Thursday, Feb. 22nd—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Friday, Feb. 23rd—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Saturday, Feb. 24th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Sunday, Feb. 25th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Monday, Feb. 26th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Tuesday, Feb. 27th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Wednesday, Feb. 28th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Thursday, Feb. 29th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

Friday, Feb. 30th—Ship Wm. A. Gibson, 171, Bray, Glasgow, L. Scotland, 10 days.

**Second Edition.**

**HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.**—Continued from First Edition.

Mr. Beckwith endorsed Mr. Adams' remarks.

Mr. Adams said if he could not get one half of the unmarked logs for the Company he might accept a quarter.

Mr. Tibbets said those persons who went about with marking irons were not worth the trouble. He thought the Company had no right to unmarked logs. Their expenses were sufficient for their trouble.

Mr. Gillespie spoke in favor of the bill. He thought the unmarked logs provision of the bill showed the honest intention of the Company; favored advertising the sale of such logs in the interest of the newspapers.

Hon. Mr. Fraser thought lumbermen should be allowed to put what stamp or mark they pleased on their logs. He was opposed to allowing the Company any portion of the unmarked logs. This provision of the bill was apt to lead to abuses.

Mr. Hibbard thought that the Boom Company who found logs belonging to no known person had a right to them, especially as they would expand the proceeds on keeping up yards and booms. The Company should have the whole of the proceeds, rather than half or quarter. He himself marked his logs on the end with an iron and a man should mark as he pleased. Any man had a right to put his mark on an unmarked log, just the same as he had a right to pick up a bank note in the street. He referred to the inadequate bookkeeping of the Boom Company, and consequent bankruptcy of some lumbermen. Let the whole proceeds of unmarked logs go to extend and maintain booms. Legislation should be for the general good. Hundreds and thousands of logs went to sea every year from the want of booms, and if these stray logs could be made to assist in preventing it it should be done. This bill would secure that end. He believed in lawyers expounding law, and he would listen, but when logs were talked about the lawyers must listen to him. His remarks were based on experience, and he earnestly hoped the bill would pass.

Mr. Hanington relied on Mr. Hibbard's experience, which coincided with his own. He urged the adoption of the bill in the interest of lumbermen.

Hon. Mr. King thought Mr. Hibbard's argument very ingenious. The finder of lost and unclaimed property has a right to it, but this bill seeks to give this Company special facilities for finding logs. Why should the Company receive more than a fair allowance for trouble? If the Company will take quarter when they find logs, half, it shows that they are after more than expenses. The Fredericton Boom Company used to make about \$10,000 a year out of prize logs, and that was not fair. Common sense would show that prize logs should be divided equally among all persons having logs in the Boom. No guarantee is given in the bill that the proceeds of prize logs will go into maintaining and improving booms.

Mr. Adams—They are building more now.

Mr. Hibbard said the Boom was erected for the general good. The Boom master is sworn, the Surveyor who is also sworn, must see the work. The Company would be more apt to act honestly than individuals seeking prize logs.

Mr. Robinson thought a quarter of the proceeds of prize logs was just, for these should be some encouragement to the Company to take prize logs. The proceeds would be divided equally between them, he believed, be devoted to strengthening and extending booms.

Mr. Lindsay objected to the Company taking lumbermen's property to keep up their works. "There is no analogy between finding money and marking prize logs."

Mr. Adams showed that it was the lumbermen of the Southwest who desired the passage of the bill.

Mr. Donald said the boom master had as much right to take a prize log as a driver, especially when he devoted the proceeds to maintaining the boom.

Mr. O'Leary thought the provisions of the bill were fair and honest, and he would go for giving the whole of the prize logs to the Company.

Mr. Adams, by amendment, reduced the share of the proceeds of prize logs for the Company to one quarter.

Mr. Law agreed with Mr. O'Leary's views of the matter, and thought it would be only justice. He referred to the responsibility of the Boom Company as an argument in favor of the bill.

Mr. Phillips thought a quarter of the proceeds should go to the Company and the rest to the fund for such repairs or improvement to booms as the lumbermen might think necessary, and the Company not obliged by law to make it.

Mr. Coram said there should be a clause making it a misdemeanor for any person to mark a prize log in its passage to the booms.

It was the opinion of several members that that should be a general enactment.

Mr. Tibbets thought if the company did not get sufficient tolls to pay expenses they should come to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

**Auction Sale.**

**Auction Card.**

**HALL & HANINGTON, AUCTIONEERS, Commission Merchants, &c.**

Parties wishing the sale of REAL ESTATE, STOCKS, GENERAL MERCHANDISE, FURNITURE, &c., are respectfully solicited to have their orders at our Office, 51 Prince William Street.

62 Terms liberal; returns prompt. Jan 28

**E. H. LESTER'S, General Commission Water Coms.**

51 (foot of) KING STREET, Near Barlow's Corner, - - - St. John, N. B.

**Auction Sale Every Evening,**

Commencing at 7 o'clock.

62 Goods (in endless variety) sold at auction prices during the evening. dec 6

**Kid Gloves.**

**BARGAINS!**

OUR usual rule of KID GLOVES, in Bargain Lots, will commence

**ON MONDAY,**

9th instant.

**MANCHESTER, ROBERTSON & ALLISON.**

Feb 7

**DRESS MATERIALS!**

**Reduced!**

We are selling off the Balance of Winter Stock of

**DRESS MATERIALS**

**Greatly Reduced Prices.**

**LIKELY, CAMERON & GOLDING,**

55 KING STREET.

**SOMETHING NEW!**

**NOT ONLY**

**Christmas Holidays,**

**For "All Time."**

ONE DOZ. CARPETS DE VISITE, and two dozen OILS, without price, and three new year pictures, which will be presented, and therefore not added in the list.

Old Pictures Enlarged and Copied on metal or card board, oval frames, &c.

dec 28 Cor. King and German streets.

**GOLD JEWELRY!**

**PLATED JEWELRY.**

Set Jewellery, Gold Jewellery, Silver Jewellery, and all kinds of Jewellery.

**FANCY GOODS,**

**Cutlery and Plated Ware.**

**AT PERCIVAL'S BAZAAR!**

**A. T. BUSTIN,**

No. 64 Germain Street, (OPPOSITE TRINITY CHURCH.)

**Kid Gloves.**

**BARGAINS!**

OUR usual rule of KID GLOVES, in Bargain Lots, will commence

**ON MONDAY,**

9th instant.

**MANCHESTER, ROBERTSON & ALLISON.**

Feb 7

**DRESS MATERIALS!**

**Reduced!**

We are selling off the Balance of Winter Stock of

**DRESS MATERIALS**

**Greatly Reduced Prices.**

**LIKELY, CAMERON & GOLDING,**

55 KING STREET.

**SOMETHING NEW!**

**NOT ONLY**

**Christmas Holidays,**

**For "All Time."**

ONE DOZ. CARPETS DE VISITE, and two dozen OILS, without price, and three new year pictures, which will be presented, and therefore not added in the list.

Old Pictures Enlarged and Copied on metal or card board, oval frames, &c.

dec 28 Cor. King and German streets.

**GOLD JEWELRY!**

**PLATED JEWELRY.**

Set Jewellery, Gold Jewellery, Silver Jewellery, and all kinds of Jewellery.

**FANCY GOODS,**

**Cutlery and Plated Ware.**

**AT PERCIVAL'S BAZAAR!**

**A. T. BUSTIN,**

No. 64 Germain Street, (OPPOSITE TRINITY CHURCH.)

**Second Edition.**

**HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.**—Continued from First Edition.

Mr. Beckwith endorsed Mr. Adams' remarks.

Mr. Adams said if he could not get one half of the unmarked logs for the Company he might accept a quarter.

Mr. Tibbets said those persons who went about with marking irons were not worth the trouble. He thought the Company had no right to unmarked logs. Their expenses were sufficient for their trouble.

Mr. Gillespie spoke in favor of the bill. He thought the unmarked logs provision of the bill showed the honest intention of the Company; favored advertising the sale of such logs in the interest of the newspapers.

Hon. Mr. Fraser thought lumbermen should be allowed to put what stamp or mark they pleased on their logs. He was opposed to allowing the Company any portion of the unmarked logs. This provision of the bill was apt to lead to abuses.

Mr. Hibbard thought that the Boom Company who found logs belonging to no known person had a right to them, especially as they would expand the proceeds on keeping up yards and booms. The Company should have the whole of the proceeds, rather than half or quarter. He himself marked his logs on the end with an iron and a man should mark as he pleased. Any man had a right to put his mark on an unmarked log, just the same as he had a right to pick up a bank note in the street. He referred to the inadequate bookkeeping of the Boom Company, and consequent bankruptcy of some lumbermen. Let the whole proceeds of unmarked logs go to extend and maintain booms. Legislation should be for the general good. Hundreds and thousands of logs went to sea every year from the want of booms, and if these stray logs could be made to assist in preventing it it should be done. This bill would secure that end. He believed in lawyers expounding law, and he would listen, but when logs were talked about the lawyers must listen to him. His remarks were based on experience, and he earnestly hoped the bill would pass.

Mr. Hanington relied on Mr. Hibbard's experience, which coincided with his own. He urged the adoption of the bill in the interest of lumbermen.

Hon. Mr. King thought Mr. Hibbard's argument very ingenious. The finder of lost and unclaimed property has a right to it, but this bill seeks to give this Company special facilities for finding logs. Why should the Company receive more than a fair allowance for trouble? If the Company will take quarter when they find logs, half, it shows that they are after more than expenses. The Fredericton Boom Company used to make about \$10,000 a year out of prize logs, and that was not fair. Common sense would show that prize logs should be divided equally among all persons having logs in the Boom. No guarantee is given in the bill that the proceeds of prize logs will go into maintaining and improving booms.

Mr. Adams—They are building more now.

Mr. Hibbard said the Boom was erected for the general good. The Boom master is sworn, the Surveyor who is also sworn, must see the work. The Company would be more apt to act honestly than individuals seeking prize logs.

Mr. Robinson thought a quarter of the proceeds of prize logs was just, for these should be some encouragement to the Company to take prize logs. The proceeds would be divided equally between them, he believed, be devoted to strengthening and extending booms.

Mr. Lindsay objected to the Company taking lumbermen's property to keep up their works. "There is no analogy between finding money and marking prize logs."

Mr. Adams showed that it was the lumbermen of the Southwest who desired the passage of the bill.

Mr. Donald said the boom master had as much right to take a prize log as a driver, especially when he devoted the proceeds to maintaining the boom.

Mr. O'Leary thought the provisions of the bill were fair and honest, and he would go for giving the whole of the prize logs to the Company.

Mr. Adams, by amendment, reduced the share of the proceeds of prize logs for the Company to one quarter.

Mr. Law agreed with Mr. O'Leary's views of the matter, and thought it would be only justice. He referred to the responsibility of the Boom Company as an argument in favor of the bill.

Mr. Phillips thought a quarter of the proceeds should go to the Company and the rest to the fund for such repairs or improvement to booms as the lumbermen might think necessary, and the Company not obliged by law to make it.

Mr. Coram said there should be a clause making it a misdemeanor for any person to mark a prize log in its passage to the booms.

It was the opinion of several members that that should be a general enactment.

Mr. Tibbets thought if the company did not get sufficient tolls to pay expenses they should come to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

**Second Edition.**

**HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.**—Continued from First Edition.

Mr. Beckwith endorsed Mr. Adams' remarks.

Mr. Adams said if he could not get one half of the unmarked logs for the Company he might accept a quarter.

Mr. Tibbets said those persons who went about with marking irons were not worth the trouble. He thought the Company had no right to unmarked logs. Their expenses were sufficient for their trouble.

Mr. Gillespie spoke in favor of the bill. He thought the unmarked logs provision of the bill showed the honest intention of the Company; favored advertising the sale of such logs in the interest of the newspapers.

Hon. Mr. Fraser thought lumbermen should be allowed to put what stamp or mark they pleased on their logs. He was opposed to allowing the Company any portion of the unmarked logs. This provision of the bill was apt to lead to abuses.

Mr. Hibbard thought that the Boom Company who found logs belonging to no known person had a right to them, especially as they would expand the proceeds on keeping up yards and booms. The Company should have the whole of the proceeds, rather than half or quarter. He himself marked his logs on the end with an iron and a man should mark as he pleased. Any man had a right to put his mark on an unmarked log, just the same as he had a right to pick up a bank note in the street. He referred to the inadequate bookkeeping of the Boom Company, and consequent bankruptcy of some lumbermen. Let the whole proceeds of unmarked logs go to extend and maintain booms. Legislation should be for the general good. Hundreds and thousands of logs went to sea every year from the want of booms, and if these stray logs could be made to assist in preventing it it should be done. This bill would secure that end. He believed in lawyers expounding law, and he would listen, but when logs were talked about the lawyers must listen to him. His remarks were based on experience, and he earnestly hoped the bill would pass.

Mr. Hanington relied on Mr. Hibbard's experience, which coincided with his own. He urged the adoption of the bill in the interest of lumbermen.

Hon. Mr. King thought Mr. Hibbard's argument very ingenious. The finder of lost and unclaimed property has a right to it, but this bill seeks to give this Company special facilities for finding logs. Why should the Company receive more than a fair allowance for trouble? If the Company will take quarter when they find logs, half, it shows that they are after more than expenses. The Fredericton Boom Company used to make about \$10,000 a year out of prize logs, and that was not fair. Common sense would show that prize logs should be divided equally among all persons having logs in the Boom. No guarantee is given in the bill that the proceeds of prize logs will go into maintaining and improving booms.

Mr. Adams—They are building more now.

Mr. Hibbard said the Boom was erected for the general good. The Boom master is sworn, the Surveyor who is also sworn, must see the work. The Company would be more apt to act honestly than individuals seeking prize logs.

Mr. Robinson thought a quarter of the proceeds of prize logs was just, for these should be some encouragement to the Company to take prize logs. The proceeds would be divided equally between them, he believed, be devoted to strengthening and extending booms.

Mr. Lindsay objected to the Company taking lumbermen's property to keep up their works. "There is no analogy between finding money and marking prize logs."

Mr. Adams showed that it was the lumbermen of the Southwest who desired the passage of the bill.

Mr. Donald said the boom master had as much right to take a prize log as a driver, especially when he devoted the proceeds to maintaining the boom.

Mr. O'Leary thought the provisions of the bill were fair and honest, and he would go for giving the whole of the prize logs to the Company.

Mr. Adams, by amendment, reduced the share of the proceeds of prize logs for the Company to one quarter.

Mr. Law agreed with Mr. O'Leary's views of the matter, and thought it would be only justice. He referred to the responsibility of the Boom Company as an argument in favor of the bill.

Mr. Phillips thought a quarter of the proceeds should go to the Company and the rest to the fund for such repairs or improvement to booms as the lumbermen might think necessary, and the Company not obliged by law to make it.

Mr. Coram said there should be a clause making it a misdemeanor for any person to mark a prize log in its passage to the booms.

It was the opinion of several members that that should be a general enactment.

Mr. Tibbets thought if the company did not get sufficient tolls to pay expenses they should come to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

**Second Edition.**

**HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.**—Continued from First Edition.

Mr. Beckwith endorsed Mr. Adams' remarks.

Mr. Adams said if he could not get one half of the unmarked logs for the Company he might accept a quarter.

Mr. Tibbets said those persons who went about with marking irons were not worth the trouble. He thought the Company had no right to unmarked logs. Their expenses were sufficient for their trouble.

Mr. Gillespie spoke in favor of the bill. He thought the unmarked logs provision of the bill showed the honest intention of the Company; favored advertising the sale of such logs in the interest of the newspapers.

Hon. Mr. Fraser thought lumbermen should be allowed to put what stamp or mark they pleased on their logs. He was opposed to allowing the Company any portion of the unmarked logs. This provision of the bill was apt to lead to abuses.

Mr. Hibbard thought that the Boom Company who found logs belonging to no known person had a right to them, especially as they would expand the proceeds on keeping up yards and booms. The Company should have the whole of the proceeds, rather than half or quarter. He himself marked his logs on the end with an iron and a man should mark as he pleased. Any man had a right to put his mark on an unmarked log, just the same as he had a right to pick up a bank note in the street. He referred to the inadequate bookkeeping of the Boom Company, and consequent bankruptcy of some lumbermen. Let the whole proceeds of unmarked logs go to extend and maintain booms. Legislation should be for the general good. Hundreds and thousands of logs went to sea every year from the want of booms, and if these stray logs could be made to assist in preventing it it should be done. This bill would secure that end. He believed in lawyers expounding law, and he would listen, but when logs were talked about the lawyers must listen to him. His remarks were based on experience, and he earnestly hoped the bill would pass.

Mr. Hanington relied on Mr. Hibbard's experience, which coincided with his own. He urged the adoption of the bill in the interest of lumbermen.

Hon. Mr. King thought Mr. Hibbard's argument very ingenious. The finder of lost and unclaimed property has a right to it, but this bill seeks to give this Company special facilities for finding logs. Why should the Company receive more than a fair allowance for trouble? If the Company will take quarter when they find logs, half, it shows that they are after more than expenses. The Fredericton Boom Company used to make about \$10,000 a year out of prize logs, and that was not fair. Common sense would show that prize logs should be divided equally among all persons having logs in the Boom. No guarantee is given in the bill that the proceeds of prize logs will go into maintaining and improving booms.

Mr. Adams—They are building more now.

Mr. Hibbard said the Boom was erected for the general good. The Boom master is sworn, the Surveyor who is also sworn, must see the work. The Company would be more apt to act honestly than individuals seeking prize logs.

Mr. Robinson thought a quarter of the proceeds of prize logs was just, for these should be some encouragement to the Company to take prize logs. The proceeds would be divided equally between them, he believed, be devoted to strengthening and extending booms.

Mr. Lindsay objected to the Company taking lumbermen's property to keep up their works. "There is no analogy between finding money and marking prize logs."

Mr. Adams showed that it was the lumbermen of the Southwest who desired the passage of the bill.

Mr. Donald said the boom master had as much right to take a prize log as a driver, especially when he devoted the proceeds to maintaining the boom.

Mr. O'Leary thought the provisions of the bill were fair and honest, and he would go for giving the whole of the prize logs to the Company.

Mr. Adams, by amendment, reduced the share of the proceeds of prize logs for the Company to one quarter.

Mr. Law agreed with Mr. O'Leary's views of the matter, and thought it would be only justice. He referred to the responsibility of the Boom Company as an argument in favor of the bill.

Mr. Phillips thought a quarter of the proceeds should go to the Company and the rest to the fund for such repairs or improvement to booms as the lumbermen might think necessary, and the Company not obliged by law to make it.

Mr. Coram said there should be a clause making it a misdemeanor for any person to mark a prize log in its passage to the booms.

It was the opinion of several members that that should be a general enactment.

Mr. Tibbets thought if the company did not get sufficient tolls to pay expenses they should come to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

**Second Edition.**

**HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.**—Continued from First Edition.

Mr. Beckwith endorsed Mr. Adams' remarks.

Mr. Adams said if he could not get one half of the unmarked logs for the Company he might accept a quarter.

Mr. Tibbets said those persons who went about with marking irons were not worth the trouble. He thought the Company had no right to unmarked logs. Their expenses were sufficient for their trouble.

Mr. Gillespie spoke in favor of the bill. He thought the unmarked logs provision of the bill showed the honest intention of the Company; favored advertising the sale of such logs in the interest of the newspapers.

Hon. Mr. Fraser thought lumbermen should be allowed to put what stamp or mark they pleased on their logs. He was opposed to allowing the Company any portion of the unmarked logs. This provision of the bill was apt to lead to abuses.

Mr. Hibbard thought that the Boom Company who found logs belonging to no known person had a right to them, especially as they would expand the proceeds on keeping up yards and booms. The Company should have the whole of the proceeds, rather than half or quarter. He himself marked his logs on the end with an iron and a man should mark as he pleased. Any man had a right to put his mark on an unmarked log, just the same as he had a right to pick up a bank note in the street. He referred to the inadequate bookkeeping of the Boom Company, and consequent bankruptcy of some lumbermen. Let the whole proceeds of unmarked logs go to extend and maintain booms. Legislation should be for the general good. Hundreds and thousands of logs went to sea every year from the want of booms, and if these stray logs could be made to assist in preventing it it should be done. This bill would secure that end. He believed in lawyers expounding law, and he would listen, but when logs were talked about the lawyers must listen to him. His remarks were based on experience, and he earnestly hoped the bill would pass.

Mr. Hanington relied on Mr. Hibbard's experience, which coincided with his own. He urged the adoption of the bill in the interest of lumbermen.

Hon. Mr. King thought Mr. Hibbard's argument very ingenious. The finder of lost and unclaimed property has a right to it, but this bill seeks to give this Company special facilities for finding logs. Why should the Company receive more than a fair allowance for trouble? If the Company will take quarter when they find logs, half, it shows that they are after more than expenses. The Fredericton Boom Company used to make about \$10,000 a year out of prize logs, and that was not fair. Common sense would show that prize logs should be divided equally among all persons having logs in the Boom. No guarantee is given in the bill that the proceeds of prize logs will go into maintaining and improving booms.

Mr. Adams—They are building more now.

Mr. Hibbard said the Boom was erected for the general good. The Boom master is sworn, the Surveyor who is also sworn, must see the work. The Company would be more apt to act honestly than individuals seeking prize logs.

Mr. Robinson thought a quarter of the proceeds of prize logs was just, for these should be some encouragement to the Company to take prize logs. The proceeds would be divided equally between them, he believed, be devoted to strengthening and extending booms.

Mr. Lindsay objected to the Company taking lumbermen's property to keep up their works. "There is no analogy between finding money and marking prize logs."

Mr. Adams showed that it was the lumbermen of the Southwest who desired the passage of the bill.

Mr. Donald said the boom master had as much right to take a prize log as a driver, especially when he devoted the proceeds to maintaining the boom.

Mr. O'Leary thought the provisions of the bill were fair and honest, and he would go for giving the whole of the prize logs to the Company.

Mr. Adams, by amendment, reduced the share of the proceeds of prize logs for the Company to one quarter.

Mr. Law agreed with Mr. O'Leary's views of the matter, and thought it would be only justice. He referred to the responsibility of the Boom Company as an argument in favor of the bill.

Mr. Phillips thought a quarter of the proceeds should go to the Company and the rest to the fund for such repairs or improvement to booms as the lumbermen might think necessary, and the Company not obliged by law to make it.

Mr. Coram said there should be a clause making it a misdemeanor for any person to mark a prize log in its passage to the booms.

It was the opinion of several members that that should be a general enactment.

Mr. Tibbets thought if the company did not get sufficient tolls to pay expenses they should come to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

**Second Edition.**

**HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.**—Continued from First Edition.

Mr. Beckwith endorsed Mr. Adams' remarks.

Mr. Adams said if he could not get one half of the unmarked logs for the Company he might accept a quarter.

Mr. Tibbets said those persons who went about with marking irons were not worth the trouble. He thought the Company had no right to unmarked logs. Their expenses were sufficient for their trouble.

Mr. Gillespie spoke in favor of the bill. He thought the unmarked logs provision of the bill showed the honest intention of the Company; favored advertising the sale of such logs in the interest of the newspapers.

Hon. Mr. Fraser thought lumbermen should be allowed to put what stamp or mark they pleased on their logs. He was opposed to allowing the Company any portion of the unmarked logs. This provision of the bill was apt to lead to abuses.

Mr. Hibbard thought that the Boom Company who found logs belonging to no known person had a right to them, especially as they would expand the proceeds on keeping up yards and booms. The Company should have the whole of the proceeds, rather than half or quarter. He himself marked his logs on the end with an iron and a man should mark as he pleased. Any man had a right to put his mark on an unmarked log, just the same as he had a right to pick up a bank note in the street. He referred to the inadequate bookkeeping of the Boom Company, and consequent bankruptcy of some lumbermen. Let the whole proceeds of unmarked logs go to extend and maintain booms. Legislation should be for the general good. Hundreds and thousands of logs went to sea every year from the want of booms, and if these stray logs could be made to assist in preventing it it should be done. This bill would secure that end. He believed in lawyers expounding law, and he would listen, but when logs were talked about the lawyers must listen to him. His remarks were based on experience, and he earnestly hoped the bill would pass.

Mr. Hanington relied on Mr. Hibbard's experience, which coincided with his own. He urged the adoption of the bill in the interest of lumbermen.

Hon. Mr. King thought Mr. Hibbard's argument very ingenious. The finder of lost and unclaimed property has a right to it, but this bill seeks to give this Company special facilities for finding logs. Why should the Company receive more than a fair allowance for trouble? If the Company will take quarter when they find logs, half, it shows that they are after more than expenses. The Fredericton Boom Company used to make about \$10,000 a year out of prize logs, and that was not fair. Common sense would show that prize logs should be divided equally among all persons having logs in the Boom. No guarantee is given in the bill that the proceeds of prize logs will go into maintaining and improving booms.

Mr. Adams—They are building more now.

Mr. Hibbard said the Boom was erected for the general good. The Boom master is sworn, the Surveyor who is also sworn, must see the work. The Company would be more apt to act honestly than individuals seeking prize logs.

Mr. Robinson thought a quarter of the proceeds of prize logs was just, for these should be some encouragement to the Company to take prize logs. The proceeds would be divided equally between them, he believed, be devoted to strengthening and extending booms.

Mr. Lindsay objected to the Company taking lumbermen's property to keep up their works. "There is no analogy between finding money and marking prize logs."

Mr. Adams showed that it was the lumbermen of the Southwest who desired the passage of the bill.

Mr. Donald said the boom master had as much right to take a prize log as a driver, especially when he devoted the proceeds to maintaining the boom.

Mr. O'Leary thought the provisions of the bill were fair and honest, and he would go for giving the whole of the prize logs to the Company.

Mr. Adams, by amendment, reduced the share of the proceeds of prize logs for the Company to one quarter.

Mr. Law agreed with Mr. O'Leary's views of the matter, and thought it would be only justice. He referred to the responsibility of the Boom Company as an argument in favor of the bill.

Mr. Phillips thought a quarter of the proceeds should go to the Company and the rest to the fund for such repairs or improvement to booms as the lumbermen might think necessary, and the Company not obliged by law to make it.

Mr. Coram said there should be a clause making it a misdemeanor for any person to mark a prize log in its passage to the booms.

It was the opinion of several members that that should be a general enactment.

Mr. Tibbets thought if the company did not get sufficient tolls to pay expenses they should come to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

Mr. Adams said it was absurd for any man to claim he was right in marking prize logs, and he would go to the Legislature and ask for an increase. The company erected booms to make money, as much as to conserve the interests of the lumbermen. He went generally into the question of lumbering, opposing especially the provision of the bill relating to marking with axes only.

**Second Edition.**

**HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.**—Continued from First Edition.

Mr. Beckwith endorsed Mr. Adams' remarks.

Mr. Adams said if he could not get one half of the unmarked logs for the Company he might accept a quarter.

Mr. Tibbets said those persons who went about with marking irons were not worth the trouble. He thought the Company had no right to unmarked logs. Their expenses were sufficient for their trouble.

Mr. Gillespie spoke in favor of the bill. He thought the unmarked logs provision of the bill showed the honest intention of the Company; favored advertising the sale of such logs in the interest of the newspapers.

Hon. Mr. Fraser thought lumbermen should be allowed to put what stamp or mark they pleased on their logs. He was opposed to allowing the Company any portion of the unmarked logs. This provision of the bill was apt to lead to abuses.

Mr. Hibbard thought that the Boom Company who found logs belonging to no known person had a right to them, especially as they would expand the proceeds on keeping up yards and booms. The Company should have the whole of the proceeds, rather than half or quarter. He himself marked his logs on the end with an iron and a man should mark as he pleased. Any man had a right to put his mark on an unmarked log, just the same as he had a right to pick up a bank note in the street. He referred to the inadequate bookkeeping of the Boom Company, and consequent bankruptcy of some lumbermen. Let the whole proceeds of unmarked logs go to extend and maintain booms. Legislation should be for the general good. Hundreds and thousands of logs went to sea every year from the want of booms, and if these stray logs could be made to assist in preventing it it should be done. This bill would secure that end. He believed in lawyers expounding law, and he would listen, but when logs were talked about the lawyers must listen to him. His remarks were based on experience, and he earnestly hoped the bill would pass.

Mr. Hanington relied on Mr. Hibbard's experience, which coincided with his own. He urged the adoption of the bill in the interest of lumbermen.

Hon. Mr. King thought Mr. Hibbard's argument very ingenious. The finder of lost and unclaimed property has a right to it, but this bill seeks to give this Company special facilities for finding logs. Why should the Company receive more than a fair allowance for trouble? If the Company will take quarter when they find logs, half, it shows that