

BREWERS HAVE \$600,000 IN HOTEL INVESTMENTS

Percy Kerwin Says Former Inspectors Permitted Sunday Selling "Practically Openly."

Further documents put before the license inquiry commission on Saturday showed that Reinhardt has invested \$117,277.88 among 28 license-holders in Toronto. The commission is interested in the twenty license-holders to the extent of \$58,980, while the Toronto Brewing and Malting Co. have loaned out \$38,168 to 14 licensees. This makes a total of \$97,148.88, which has been reported to the commission.

The testimony of one witness at the opening of the inquiry that he only knew of one free hotel in the city is thus made comprehensible. A. F. Jones of Medland & Jones, who gave evidence Friday reflecting on D. M. Defoe, appeared again Saturday morning and withdrew his evidence, having concluded that he had made a mistake.

Percy Kerwin, secretary of the License Holders' Association, made an entertaining witness and frankly stated that the "Municipal League" which fought against license reduction recently, was the "License Holders' Association" under a nom de guerre. He said that under former license inspectors Sunday trading was carried on "practically openly."

Sub-inspector Burrows' brother was also examined in reference to his activity in pushing the sale of cigars in the city hotels.

The impression is growing, however, that the license investigation is going along too leisurely. If there has been anything corrupt in the administration of the license system in the city of Toronto the man on the street thinks that it should by this time have been revealed. Evidently the investigation is being conducted in a half-hearted way or there is very little to investigate, for the results so far are very meagre, so far as inquiry is concerned.

It was said on Saturday that Editor Wilson of The Star would be called before Commissioner Starr to give their sources of information that have led them to discuss the investigation so pointedly.

The Evidence.
W. A. Thompson of the Toronto Brewing and Malting Co. gave evidence Saturday about the details of a mortgage for \$30,000 on the Daily House transfer. This matter will come up again after Mr. Hodgins has examined the documents.

A. F. Jones of Medland & Jones said that he had looked into the matter and discovered that any insurance diverted to Mr. Defoe by Mr. O'Keefe was probably given to him on the ground of his being a co-religionist of O'Keefe.

License-Holders Association.
Percy Kerwin, secretary of the Toronto License-Holders' Association, said the association was only open to license-holders. They discussed matters of interest and protected the trade from misrepresentation. He never wrote letters to the press anonymously. The meetings were usually held in city halls and were called by letters. Their largest meeting was attended by 125, when they discussed the increased license fee and how they were to meet it. They decided to raise the price of liquor. Even now there were many of the hotelmen who had a difficulty in making up their license fees.

"They are probably small hotels in outlying districts," commented Mr. Hodgins.

"Which probably are not needed," pursued Mr. Hodgins.

Witness drew back from going so far as to say that.
During the campaign against the reduction of license fees the license-holders formed themselves into an organization called the Municipal Committee, and worked in conjunction with the brewers to defeat the reduction.

Witness said that the old government there were houses which did Sunday trade "practically openly." When the present inspector took charge that sort of thing was still going on, but Dr. Wilson had put a stop to it and had severely threatened members who broke the law. Dr. Wilson had treated them very fairly, and he thought any man who tried to use undue influence with Dr. Wilson would get the cold shoulder, and he had told the members of the association so.

Joking About It.
Questioned about "talk" he said a lot of license-holders seemed to think they had a special license to joke. Most of it started as a pleasantry. Frequently people rang him up, pretending to be members of the commission. Two days before someone rang him up and said it was Commissioner Starr talking and "to come down at once."

Last Christmas they decided not to give masks to their patrons, because they thought it contributed to Xmas drunkenness, but instead they each donated \$10 to a charitable fund, and gave the fund to Dr. Wilson to distribute among the city charities. The matter got into the papers and was distorted into political capital. Therefore, Dr. Wilson refused to distribute it, so witness distributed it as follows: Three hundred and thirty dollars to the Sick Children's Hospital; \$250 to the Free Hospital for Consumptives; \$300 to the House of Providence; \$100 to the Protestant Orphan's Home; \$100 to the Infants' Hospital, Sunnyside. Inspector Purvis collected the money and did so by making a draft on each member. All but 15 paid the drafts. Their object in asking Dr. Wilson to

DODD'S KIDNEY PILLS

FOR ALL KIDNEY DISEASES

BRIGHT'S DISEASE, GRAVEL, RHEUMATISM, CALCULI, NEURALGIA, MIGRAINE, SCIATICA, LUMBAGO, GOUT, BLINDNESS, DEAFNESS, PARALYSIS, EPILEPSY, Hysteria, and all other diseases of the urinary system.

C. C. ROBINSON IS DEAD END WITHOUT WARNING

Had Been Ailing Only Few Days From a Severe Attack of Indigestion.

"I don't feel so well this morning," said C. C. Robinson on Saturday in his home at 449 Ontario-street. He lay down in bed and five minutes later he was found to have passed away from heart failure. On Tuesday last he had suffered from an acute attack of indigestion, but nothing serious was anticipated, tho he experienced much pain and had a doctor in attendance.

He was at the parliament buildings on Thursday and greeted his friends as usual, tho it has been noted that for some months past his manner had been quieter and sadder if possible.

Mr. Robinson was a member of the Anglican communion.

Mr. Robinson leaves a wife and four children, having been married in 1880 to Jane, daughter of Norman McLeod of the city. His children are John B., Norman M., Minnie M., and Kathleen August, the latter the well-known sculptress. Two sisters are Mrs. Forsyth Grant and Mrs. Stewart Houston.

for he was always genial and amiable. Perhaps some touch of the alcoholism of the great separation had fallen upon him. A few days ago he was walking down the Queen's Park, near College-street, and that as the government officials across the street.

When asked what he wanted when the official walked over Mr. Robinson replied:

"I just wanted to shake hands with you."

Mr. Robinson was a general favorite, socially, and his pleasant personality will be much missed.

Professionally Mr. Robinson has been known as one of the best authorities in Canada on railway legislation. He was associated in the preparation of the Railway Act passed by the legislature last session, and was counsel to the chairman of the railway committee. Some years ago he appeared in a case at Ottawa and successfully maintained his position in

W.B.P. BRAND

Castle Brand Collars of real Irish linen far outclass common collars that cost as much. Easy button holes; doubly sewn; gutter-seamed to make them stay-100s

Westminster—Shapely
Castle Brand Collars
of real Irish linen
far outclass common
collars that cost as
much. Easy button
holes; doubly sewn;
gutter-seamed to
make them stay-100s

QUARTER SIZES
Same style in E.K. Brand at 2 for 50c in "COBALT." Best for price.
DEMAND THE BRAND
W.B.P. Brand
Berlin

BERLIN SEEKS POSSESSION OF ITS STREET RAILWAY

Municipality Asking Legislature for Decision to Clinch Arbitrators' Award.

The bill of the City of Berlin will be considered again by the private bills committee this week. It concerns a municipal question, which is of general interest.

The Berlin & Waterloo Street Railway Company was authorized to do business in the municipalities of Berlin and Waterloo in 1888. This authority was given under the provisions of the Street Railway Act then in force and which is now chapter 208 of the Revised Statutes of 1887.

Under the forty-first section of the act the corporations are not allowed to give privileges for a longer period than twenty years, and may, on giving sixty months' notice, prior to the termination of the twenty years, of their intention to take over the railway on payment of the value to be determined by arbitration. Section forty-two determines that the right of taking over shall be in the municipality having the greater amount of mileage within its borders. The greater amount of mileage in this railway was in Berlin. The termination of the twenty years occurred on the 6th of September, 1906, and the Town of Berlin, on the 12th of January, 1906, gave notice to take over the railway.

The company recognized the sufficiency of the notice, as after a motion had been launched by the town for the appointment of an arbitrator (no agreement having theretofore been made) on the 21st of June, 1906, the company signed an agreement submitting the matters to a board of arbitrators, who have made the award referred to in the bill.

Sitting of the arbitration were had and the arbitration proceeded until, on the application of the company, further proceedings were stayed in order to enable the company to make the application which was made by them to set aside the arbitration and to restrain further action by the town on the ground that the former Street Railway Act had been repealed by the Railway Act of last year, and that as the arbitration clauses of last year's act referred merely to a company owned by the town, the award was null and void.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

FORMULAE: VIN MARIANI

combines, in an agreeable and wholesome French grape wine, the unique medicinal qualities of specially selected Erythroxylon Coca.

The dry, red wine from which VIN MARIANI is prepared is specially selected because of its peculiar distinctive qualities. The grapes are grown under conditions of soil and cultivation only to be found in certain districts of Southern France.

This wine contains in its natural state just such proportion of Tanin Iron and Salts as are essential to properly blend with Coca to yield this unique Restorative Tonic that for nearly half a century has continued UNEQUALLED.

ALL DRUGGISTS. EVERYWHERE.

should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

(2nd)—That the railway company should be paid not only for the real and personal property, but for the franchise, operating agreements and other contracts, privileges and benefits incidental thereto.

(3rd)—That the time when the corporation could take over the ownership of the property has expired before the publication of the award.

(4th)—That the corporation are not authorized or bound to assume the ownership of the railway, and that the submission to arbitration resulted from recent legislation.

(5th)—Other grounds are also urged on behalf of the company involving questions not necessary to be determined in this present application one way or the other.

The company's motion was heard by Mr. Justice Britton on February 13th, last, judgment being reserved. It is not proposed in any way to interfere in the present legislation with the amount that the railway company may be able to get over and above the award, which is set out as a schedule to the bill, if they are successful on the appeal, even tho that the arbitrators signed their award on the 29th of December, 1906. This award the town has taken out and filed in the local office of the high court, and on the 19th of January the motion was launched by the railway company to set aside the award.

The railway company makes the following claims: (1st) That by reason of the passing of the Railway Act of last year, the municipality cannot appropriate or assume the ownership of the railway.

distribute the money was complimentary to Dr. Wilson, and they selected him from among several names, including the mayor.

Mr. Keefe, clerk to the board of education, mildly disclaimed the description of "politician after hours." He admitted to being the late president of No. 5 ward. He took the answer of a interest in politics which every good citizen should. He had objected to the Claremont Hotel, on the ground that it was not needed, but if it were needed he wanted the man with the best character to get it. The house was better conducted now than before.

License or Sell Out.
Patrick O'Connor, late proprietor of the Osprey House, said Robert Davies was the lessee and wished him to take a lease from him containing a clause binding him to the Davies Brewery Co. He bought for \$10,000, and as he had either to accept the Davies lease or clause or sell out, he decided to sell out. He got \$20,700, which he thought was a fair price under the circumstances.

A. T. Keating had been bartender for the Osprey House, and was satisfied.

A. T. Power, Queen's Park, near College-street, for 12 years, and once thought of getting a license for himself. He met "Steve" Burns and talked over purchasing a place. There was a written agreement between himself and a man named Cook for the transfer of a license, and he paid Mr. Burns \$500 as deposit on the purchase. Burns acted for both of them, and finally told witness that Cook had decided to keep the license and returned witness \$400. Witness thought "Steve" Burns had charged too much—\$100 for doing nothing—and told him so, but Mr. Burns explained he had charged the other the same, so he was satisfied.

Samuel D. Raynor bought the Raynor House for \$12,000, for license, and after paying \$1,000 down, he was told by Burns that he had decided to keep the license and returned witness \$400. Witness thought "Steve" Burns had charged too much—\$100 for doing nothing—and told him so, but Mr. Burns explained he had charged the other the same, so he was satisfied.

W. A. Thompson, described as "a member of Ward 5 Conservative Association," disclaimed making remarks in criticisms about the propriety of the license board in not paying attention to political considerations in dispensing licenses. He was an applicant for the Claremont license and had been given \$20,000 for it. It was not yet given out.

Burrows and His Job.
Charles Burrows, traveler for Spilling Brothers, cigar merchants since 19 January last, said he had previously been a mechanic in a piano works for 10 years, and after working for a position to Mr. Kennedy, the manager of Spilling's, his brother, the assistant inspector, did not wish him to accept the Spilling's position. The company were mostly hotel men, including Mr. Cosgrave, Mr. L. Shee, Mr. Hassard, P. J. Mulqueen, J. J. Coulter, Mr. Seymour, Mr. S. W. Burns, Mr. Kennedy and others. He was instructed to call on the hotels. He remembers the "King Edward" order from Greaves. It was got in the ordinary way, and he did not attempt to secure any order on the strength of his relationship to the sub-inspector.

E. J. Mulqueen, at his own request, made a statement to the effect that he was not interested in any other hotel in Toronto, save the Tremont Hotel.

The enquiry was adjourned until Tuesday morning at 10 o'clock.

SLAIN AND BODY PUT ON TRACK
Ernest Ironside Met Death Mysteriously Near Port Arthur.

Port Arthur, March 3.—Peculiar wounds on the body of Ernest Ironside, who was supposed to have been killed by a snow plow near Mackenzie on the C.P.R., and the finding of a blood-stained knife and signs of a struggle, have led coroner Laurin to hold an inquest, which will be continued to-morrow afternoon.

The theory is that Ironside was beaten and stabbed, and that his body then was placed on the tracks to make death appear accidental. His clothes were torn and cuts on his arms indicate that he tried to ward off blows.

ENGINEER FLED AFTER WRECK
Blamed by Coroner's Jury and War-rant for His Arrest Issued.

New Haven, Conn., March 3.—A coroner's jury returned a preliminary finding yesterday to the effect that William E. Fisher, engineer of the special train that was wrecked at Waterbury, disobeyed orders, thereby causing the death of three persons, the probable fatal injury of two National Guardsmen, and the injury of twenty other persons.

No trace of Fisher's body was found in the wreckage, and the supposition is that he jumped and fled. A warrant has been issued for his arrest.

Immigrants Not a Menace.
New York, March 2.—Joseph H. Choate, former ambassador to England, President Nicholas Murray Butler of Columbia University, and Robert Watchorn, commissioner of immigration at Ellis Island, were the principal speakers at the 29th annual meeting of the University Settlement Society Saturday evening.

Mr. Choate deprecated the fears of those who think that American institutions are seriously menaced by the large number of immigrants coming to this country. He argued, however, the taking of systematic measures to teach the immigrants more about those institutions.

Oil Explosion Burns Twelve.
New York, March 2.—Mrs. Benjamin P. O'Connell, 42 years of age, was probably fatally injured, her husband, aged 42, seriously injured, and several of their ten small children painfully injured, by an explosion of a can of kerosene at their home in Brooklyn to-night. The explosion of the can caused a lamp to explode also. The mother, father and children received burns as they ran thru the flames to the street.

FATIGUE FROM A POISONED SYSTEM.

The tired, languid feelings of spring are the results of the winter's accumulations of waste products. The liver and kidneys alone can remove them and are quickened in action by Dr. Chase's Kidney-Liver Pills.

Very few people breathe as much pure, fresh air during the winter as is necessary to purify the blood and keep the human system in good condition.

As a result the blood becomes laden with poisonous substances, which, instead of aiding the functions of the bodily organs, tend to arrest them and give rise to pains in the limbs, backaches, headaches, and tired, worn-out feelings.

The liver and kidneys become clogged and sluggish and quite fail in their mission of filtering and purifying the blood, and it is because of their direct and specific action on these organs that

DR. CHASE'S KIDNEY-LIVER PILLS

are so wonderfully effective as purifiers of the blood.

No greater mistake could be made than to rely for purification of the blood on medicines which merely effect movement of the bowels.

It is the liver and kidneys which filter the poisons from the blood, and on their action entirely must the blood depend for elimination of the poisonous impurities.

The whole filtering and excretory system is quickened and invigorated by the use of Dr. Chase's Kidney-Liver Pills; the blood is purified, the digestion is improved and all the vital organs brought into healthful action.