

he somewhat irreverently calls "a trinity of propositions" prepared for our last Synod; evidently wishing them to be regarded as tokens of "Episcopal Absolutism;" and hence, apparently from that motive, he thus *erroneously* states them:

"(1) Making it a penal offence to use the printing press in advocating measures of Church legislation.

"(2) Church Dignitaries to hold their position during Episcopal pleasure.

"(3) Clergymen to be dismissed with six months' pay, or at six months' notice."

Now, I repeat that it will be seen, as I proceed, that these "propositions" are, as I much regret to have to say, most incorrectly and disingenuously garbled.

(1) Thus, the first did not prohibit printing or "advocating" *general* views on Church legislation, as the writer insinuates, but was aimed against publishing to the world *special* resolutions, or Canons, which it was intended to bring before the next meeting of Synod, containing, as such irregular publications often did, personal attacks, without previously obtaining the permission of the *Standing Committee*—not the Bishop, mark you! The Canon, as *really* proposed, which is too long to be quoted here, can be found on page 42 of the last Huron Synod Report.

Whether the Canon, as proposed, were desirable or not, it is certainly significant, that when the Bishop appealed to the opinion of the Synod as to the principle involved, the Report (last year's) says: "The Synod almost unanimously rose in concurrence with his Lordship." The writer of the