Institute Publications 1986-87

OCCASIONAL PAPERS

- 1. Superpower Rivalry and Soviet Policy in the Caribbean Basin, by Neil MacFarlane, June 1986, 70 pages.
- 2. Trends in Continental Defence: A Canadian Perspective, by David Cox, December 1986, 50 pages.

ANNUAL REVIEW

A Guide to Canadian Policies on Arms Control, Disarmament, Defence and Conflict Resolution 1986-87,

BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 8. Peace in Central America?, by Steven Baranyi, October 1986.
- 9. A Second Look at No First Use, by Fen Osler Hampson, November 1986.
- 10. The Debate About Peace Education, by Elizabeth Richards, December 1986.
- 11. Nuclear Disarmament: The Gorbachev Initiative, by John R. Walker, January
- 12. Who's Ahead: Examining the Nuclear Balance, by Jane Boulden, March 1987
- 13. Review of the Geneva **Negotiations on Strategic** Arms Reductions, by David Cox. June 1987.
- 14. The Stockholm Agreement: An Exercice in Confidence Building, by C.A. Namiesniowski, August 1987.
- 15. Peacekeeping and the Management of International Conflict, by Henry Wiseman, September 1987.

POINTS OF VIEW

- 1. East/West Relations: Values, Interests, Perceptions, by Geoffrey Pearson, March 1986.
- 2. Nuclear Weapons and the Averting of War, by Robert Malcolmson, October 1986.
- 3. Canadian Press Coverage of Arms Control and Disarmament Issues, by John R. Walker, March 1987.
- 4. Maintaining Peace With Freedom: Nuclear Deterrence and Arms Control, by Lorne Green, March 1987.

CONFERENCE REPORTS

- 3. The Risk of Accidental Nuclear War, Proceedings of Conference, Vancouver 26-30 May 1986, by Andrea
- 4. Peace, Development and Security in the Caribbean: Perspectives to the Year 2000, Proceedings of a Conference, Kingston, Jamaica, 22-25 March 1987, by Lloyd Searwar.

NOTE FROM THE EDITOR

UN bashing is a perennially favourite pastime among just about any group one cares to name. In high school we used to hold earnest debates for which a typical resolution went something like, "we resolve that the since the UN accomplishes nothing and solves no problems it should be abolished." More recently, a new category of criticism has arisen that is ideological in nature and purposeful in tone - from people and organizations who don't like the idea of a UN and never did. However, most antipathy to the UN is the oldfashioned variety born of cynicism and disappointment. In this setting, our cover article by an ex-UN Under-Secretary General on the UN's role in finding a solution to the Middle East dispute would seem to be fatuous in the extreme.

Yet there is an alternative operating assumption that is helpful to consider when reading this piece by Brian Urquhart. That is the idea that the Arab-Israeli conflict is so dangerous there is no way efforts to solve it can not be made using whatever tools are handy and potentially useful. In Urquhart's view the Security Council is such a tool, and while not sanguine about solving the problem he is

certain about the need to try, precise in defining the minimum conditions needed to find a solution and persuasive in showing how a revitalized Security Council can meet those conditions better than any other arena.

The Security Council is on a bit of roll these past weeks. For the first time since anyone can remember, the five permanent members agreed in resolution 598 passed by the Council in July to threaten a mandatory arms embargo on belligerents - in this instance, Iran and Iraq. As this issue of Peace&Security went to press UN Secretary General Javier Pérez de Cuéllar was off to Teheran and Baghdad to discuss the implementation of a cease fire. He will probably not succeed, but then again maybe he will. In any event, he went because nobody has any better ideas on how to end a sevenvear war that threatens international peace, which, as Urquhart points out, is why the Security Council was created in the first place. Of course, the Security Council only gets the power the five permanent members choose to give it. In the case of Iran-Iraq they have given a lot. What are they now prepared to give in order to begin to resolve the other Middle East dispute?

In other articles for this issue of Peace&Security two authors comment on the new Canadian defence policy tabled in the House of Commons this past June. Both are writing from outside the country. Charles Doran runs the Canadian Studies programme at Johns Hopkins University in Washington, D.C. and until September of this year James Eavrs was Visiting Professor of Political Science at the University of Otago in Dunedin, New Zealand.

Paul Létourneau's article looks at the roots of the West German security dilemma and also gives a roster of parties and personalities prominent in that nation's debate over its role in the Western alliance. Mr. Létourneau teaches strategic studies at Collège Militaire Saint-Jean and is currently on a research sabbatical in the Federal Republic.

Finally, historian Robert Malcolmson reflects on what he perceives as moralizing traits in American political culture and how these have prejudiced both the United States' and its allies' understanding of how nuclear weapons can be used.

Michael Bryans

CANADIAN INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACE AND SECURITY

307 Gilmour Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0P7 (613) 990-1593

Executive Director: Geoffrey Pearson

Board of Directors:

William Barton, Chairman Christoph Bertram Lise Bissonnette David Braide Ann Gertler Kal Holsti Richard Mercier Geoffrey Pearson John Sigler Brian Urquhart Jean-Guy Vaillancourt Paul Warnke Lois Wilson

The Canadian Institute for International Peace and Security was created by an act of the Parliament of Canada in 1984 to increase knowledge and understanding of the issues relating to international peace and security from a Canadian perspective. The Institute does not advocate policy positions, nor is it part of the government decision-making apparatus. The Institute is a Crown Corporation. Members of its Board of Directors were appointed by the Government of Canada after consultation with all recognized parties in the House of Commons. These provisions help to ensure the independence of the Institute.

PEACE&SECURITY

Editorial Board:

Nancy Gordon David Cox Dianne DeMille Mary Taylor John Walker

Editor:

Michael Bryans

Associate Editor: Hélène Samson

French Review Page Editor: Jocelyn Coulon

Design and Production:

The Spencer Francey Group, Ottawa/Toronto

Translation:

(except where otherwise indicated) Denis Bastien, Sogestran Inc.

Second Class Mail Registration Pending. Postage paid in Ottawa.

ISSN 0831-1846

Peace&Security is published quarterly to let Canadians know what the Institute is doing and to provide a forum for the full range of Canadian viewpoints on these issues. Opinions expressed in each article are the sole responsibility of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Institute and its Board of Directors. Your letters are welcome.

Text material from this quarterly may be reproduced, although we ask that the Institute be cited as the source. Illustrations, cartoons, graphics and other accompanying artwork are copyright and may not be reproduced without written consent of the Institute and the artist.