

of the weather, that no hockey-schedule should be drawn up, but that the games should be played on the challenging system and that teams should arrange their own dates.

* * *

THE year teams this season should be pretty evenly matched from all appearances, with the odds, perhaps, a little in favour of the second year; the combination of the latter team will certainly be better, and the other years will have to rely more on individual play.

The following men of the respective years have so far been doing the best work on the ice:

Divinity.—Higginson, Johnson, Walker, Turley.

'00.—Carman, Strathy, Lucas, Trotter.

'01.—Sawers, Tomlinson, Rolph, Scarlett, Burbidge, Hincks.

'02.—Sait, Woodcock, Kidd, Armour, Wade.

* * *

'00 vs. '01.

ON Wednesday, February 21st, an inter-year hockey match was played between '00 and '01, the latter being the challengers. The ice was in wretched condition, owing to the high temperature, and fast work was made practically impossible. At half-time the score was 2 goals to 1 in favour of '01; in the second half they increased their lead and won by 5 to 2. The superior combination work of the second year was chiefly instrumental in giving them the victory. The game was singularly devoid of roughness. The teams were:

Third year.—Goal—Mockridge. Point—Strathy. Forwards—Carman, Lucas, Trotter.

Second year.—Goal—Scarlett. Point—Tomlinson. Forwards—Sawers, Rolph, Burbidge.

Referee.—Mr. H. J. Johnson.

Time Keeper.—Mr. S. J. Whittaker.

Goal Judges.—Messrs. Lancefield and Kidd.

* * *

It is greatly to be hoped that some more inter-year games will very shortly be arranged before we lose the ice altogether.

* * *

THE annual T. U. A. A. elections will be taking place very shortly now. It behoves everybody, who has not yet done so, to pay his subscription early, if he wants to get a vote. In fact, even if he takes no interest in the election, subscriptions are due and should be paid.

Literary Institute.

ON the evening of January 26th, the ninth regular meeting for the year 1899-1900 was held, with Mr. Owen in the chair. The two new men in College—Mr. Bagshaw and Mr. Mosgrove were nominated for membership. The readings on Friday nights are frequently selected from the works of Rudyard Kipling, and this occasion was by no means an exception, as Mr. Richards read "The Last Relief," and Mr. McKittrick favoured the audience with "Paget, M.P.," though he was somewhat late in appearing. Mr. Tomlinson, in his essay gave a short sketch of Dr. Parkin's life, a subject of great interest to all Trinity men. The debate then followed, in which Messrs. Trotter and Armour attempted to prove that the new Provost should be a layman; while Mr. Handsfield and Johnson took their stand on the negative. Mr. Trotter argued that, if the new Provost were a layman, the mistaken idea prevalent in the public mind, that this institution was simply a Theological College for a certain section of the church, would be abandoned. On the other hand, Mr. Handsfield pointed out that Trinity stood for the

complete education of the man, and was pre-eminently a religious institution. The Provost, therefore, should be a clergyman. Mr. Armour, though rather weak, brought forward one or two good points. Mr. Johnson, among other things most forcibly contended that the institution was judged by its head. The fact that the Provost was a clergyman gave it a good tone in the public eye. The vote in favour of the speeches was taken for the negative, after which there was an interesting discussion. The affirmative won on the merits of the question. Mr. Higginson, M.A., as critic, among other things, complimented the Council upon the choice of subjects for the debate. After approving the action of the Council in not holding a meeting on the evening of the debate with Knox College, the assembly adjourned.

* * *

At the tenth meeting, held on February 2nd, Mr. Turley, B.A. occupied the chair. Mr. Bagshaw was introduced, and gave rather a longer speech than is usual on such occasions. Mr. Wade, as a substitute for Mr. Whittaker, read the "Jackdaw of Rheims." Mr. Tyner also had a substitute,—Mr. Kidd,—who read "The Rise and Fall of Wolsey." A very interesting and appropriate essay was given by Mr. Donaghy on "The Football Supper."

The debate in which the affirmative proved (as was afterwards shown by both votes) "that federation with Toronto University is both inexpedient and inadvisable," received a great deal of attention from the audience. The question was upheld by Messrs. Code, B.A., and Handsfield, versus Messrs. Burbidge and Johnson, Mr. Handsfield being a substitute for Mr. Walker, and Mr. Johnson for Mr. Musson. Mr. Code, B.A., declared that if Trinity were to federate she would lose her identity, revenue from degrees and tuition fees among other things. We should have to give up our large and spacious grounds for a small corner in Queen's Park; and he also asked what was to be done with S. Hilda's. In answer to the first speaker on the affirmative, Mr. Burbidge pointed to the fact that Scotland in federating with England had not lost her identity, and why, he asked, should we? Furthermore, under federation, Trinity men would get the degree of the Provincial University which was looked upon as of greater commercial value than any other in Canada. Mr. Handsfield made a good point for the affirmative in pointing out that the object for which this institution was organized, viz., the training of the moral side of the character, would be overlooked if we were a college of Toronto University. Mr. Johnson was well informed in the subject and seemed to find great difficulty in putting all he had to say in the limited time allotted him. He took his stand from the point of view that in federating Trinity need not give up her present site. In such a case our splendid residence would induce many men to come to Trinity who now go to Varsity for no other reason than to have a Toronto degree. This looked very plausible, but Mr. Code in his reply, referred to the difficulty of travelling backwards and forwards to Queen's Park. Both votes on the speeches and question were taken in favour of the affirmative after a lively discussion. Mr. Brain received a hearty vote of thanks for his criticism, in which he referred to the lamentable state of affairs in substitutions on the programme, and the meeting adjourned.

* * *

The eleventh regular meeting was held "in Hall" on Friday, February 9th. The second vice-president, Mr. Owen, being in the chair. After the reading of the minutes of the preceding meeting, Mr. Mosgrove was introduced and made things lively for a few minutes.

Rol
Mr.
"E
low
an
the
by
Tro
the
lati
who
peo
Tro
was
and
tho
moi
gar
race
Fre
of t
was
the
in f
saic
pre:

T
am
the
Mr.
the
Feb
call
low
Boc
Mac
essa
The
Infl
Can
and
Hov
the
wor
nec
the
und
ceec
Gor
arg
atte
que
take
on t
for
thes
the
the
sett
onc
mer
vaca
up
shoi
chai
app
mee
spec
mot
and
adjo
wha