NOTES ON INGERSOLL.

e

ŧ.

til

lo

it

W

th

W

at

8

gli

sn

hi

wł

rel

rei

AI

a f

plia

to

sta

pul

con

lon

of g

late

disc

50V

oan

cou

or (

We

littl

gers

N

0

ment; and you begin by stating a self-evident proposition. This being admitted, you proceed to juggle in another, and very different idea. Here is your argument in short : Finite man cannot suffer infinite punishment; therefore he cannot suffer everlasting punishment. Why do you confound these terms ? Was it through ignorance or design ? If through ignorance, you are to be pitied ; if through design, you are not honest. .. Infinite and everlasting are not convertible terms. Man cannot; because he is finite, suffer. infinite punishment; but it does not follow, as you seem to think, that he cannot suffer everlasting punishment. With this distinction your whole argument on this point collapses like a punctured balloon. Happiness and misery are limited by the capacity of the receiver; a finite receiver cannot receive infinite happiness or punishment, but an everlasting receiver can receive everlasting happiness or misery. Man is everlasting, and therefore capable of everlasting happiness or punishment ; and all your " insisting " to the contrary is of no consequence.

INGERSOLL-" Of the supernatural we have no conception."

Content — If you have no conception of it, how can you affirm or deny anything about it? To admit that you have no conception of the supernatural after having talked about it through thirty-five pages of the North American Review is to advertise yourself a thoughtless gabbler. A moment's reflection should show you that it is absolutely impossible to think or say anything whatever—even nonsenso—about that of which you have no conception. That of which we have no conception is to us that which is not, and that which is not, is not, and cannot be, the object of human thought or 'utelligenco. It is not surprising then, under the circumstances, that you have said many curious and wonderful things in your reply to Mr. Black.

INGERSOLL-" Mr. Black takes the ground that if a man believes in the creation of the universe * * he has no right to deny anything."

COMMENT-This is more trifling, and shows what an infidel philosopher is capable of when put to the stretch. There is not a word of truth in what you say, and you know it when you said it. Mr. Black takes no such ground as you, in atter disregard of the obligations of veracity, attribute to him.