that the projector was not among the assets located on the premises after closing and no trace of the projector was found; their report stated that NFB could consider that it had disappeared, and that it would be impossible to recover the equipment and/or its market value.

(a) See above. (b) Not applicable. (c) Not applicable. (d) No. (e) Yes; more frequent follow-up on equipment on loan.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON PETROLEUM

Question No. 4,177-Mr. Andre:

- 1. How many times did the National Advisory Council on Petroleum (NACOP) meet during (a) 1981 (b) 1980?
- 2. How many times did the Business Advisory Council to the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce meet during (a) 1981 (b) 1980?
- Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): I am informed by the Departments of Energy, Mines and Resources and Industry, Trade and Commerce as follows:
- 1. (a) and (b) The National Advisory Council on Petroleum has not existed since 1978.
- 2. Number of meetings in (a) 1981 None. (b) 1980 None. However, meetings were held with many business groups including the Canadian Manufacturers' Association, Canadian Chamber of Commerce, Air Industries Association and the Business Council on National Issues during this period.

[English]

OUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, if question No. 3,557, standing in the name of the hon. member for Surrey-White Rock-North Delta (Mr. Friesen), could be made an order for return, this return would be tabled immediately.

Madam Speaker: The questions enumerated by the parliamentary secretary have been answered. Is it the pleasure of the House that question No. 3,557 be deemed to have been made an order for return?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

[Text]

ESCAPES FROM PENITENTIARIES

Question No. 3,557—Mr. Friesen:

1. From 1975 to the present, by year, what were the number of escapes and attempted escapes in the (a) British Columbia region at the (i) Regional Psychiatric Centre, Abbotsford (ii) Kent Institution, Agassiz (iii) Matsqui Institution, Abbotsford (iv) Mountain Institution, Agassiz (v) William Head Institution, Victoria (vi) Mission Institution, Mission (vii) Matsqui Trailer Unit (viii) Ferndale Institution, Mission (ix) Elbow Lake, Harrison Mills (x) Pandora Centre, Victoria (xi) Robson Centre, Vancouver (b) Alberta region at the (i) Edmonton Institution, Edmonton (ii) Drumheller Institution, Drumheller (iii) Bowden Institution, Innisfail (iv) Drumheller Trailer Unit (v) Grierson Centre, Edmonton (vi) Portal House, Calgary (vii) Altadore Centre, Calgary (c) Saskatchewan region at the (i) Regional Psychiatric Centre, Saskaton (ii) Saskatchewan Penitentiary, Prince Albert (iii) Saskatchewan Institution, Prince Albert (iv) Oskana Centre, Regina (d) Manitoba region at the (i) Stony

Order Paper Questions

Mountain Institution, Stony Mountain (ii) Rockwood Institution, Stony Mountain (iii) Osborne Centre, Winnipeg (e) Ontario region at the (i) Regional Reception Centre, Kingston (ii) Regional Psychiatric Centre, Kingston (iii) Prison for Women, Kingston (iv) Millhaven Institution, Bath (v) Collins Bay Institution, Kingston (vi) Joyceville Institution, Joyceville (vii) Warkworth Institution, Campbellford (viii) Frontenac Institution, Kingston (ix) Pittsburg Institution, Joyceville (x) Bath Institution, Bath (xi) Beaver Creek, Gravenhurst (xii) Montgomery Centre, Toronto (xiii) Keele Street Centre, Toronto (xiv) Portsmouth Centre, Kingston (f) Quebec region at the (i) Regional Reception Centre, Ste-Anne-des-Plaines (ii) Correctional Development Centre, Laval (iii) Laval Institution, Laval (iv) Archambault Institution, Ste-Anne-des-Plaines (v) Leclerc Institution, Laval (vi) Federal Training Centre, Laval (vii) Cowansville Institution, Cowansville (viii) La Macaza Institution, L'Annonciation (ix) Montée St-François Institution, Laval (x) Ste-Anne-des-Plaines Institution, Ste-Anne-des-Plaines (xi) Ogilvie Centre, Montreal (xii) Martineau Centre, Montreal (xiii) Sherbrooke Centre, Montreal (xiv) Benoit XV Centre, Ouebec City (xv) Pie IX Centre, Montreal (g) New Brunswick region at the (i) Dorchester Penitentiary, Dorchester (ii) Westmorland Institution, Dorchester (iii) Parrtown Centre, Saint John (h) Nova Scotia region at the (i) Springhill Institution, Springhill (ii) Shulie Lake, Parrsboro (iii) Carlton Centre, Halifax?

- 2. For the years 1976 to 1980, how many inmates of federal institutions were unlawfully at large?
- 3. For the same period, for each institution in part 1 (a) what were the number of (i) attempted suicides (ii) suicides (iii) self-mutilations (b) how many prisoners were (i) murdered (ii) killed in accidents (c) how many prisoners were (i) killed (ii) injured by correctional service personnel acting in the course of their duty (d) how many staff members were (i) killed (ii) injured by prisoners?

Return tabled.

[English]

Mr. Hnatyshyn: Madam Speaker, I rise at this time with respect to question No. 4,120 and to draw it to the attention of the parliamentary secretary. The question which is in three parts, Madam Speaker, is not a difficult one for the government to answer. It asks, for each cabinet minister, the names of the executive assistants and other members of exempt staff who are receiving income, from sources other than funds allotted to ministerial budgets, the names of those who receive income, favours, loans or other benefits of value from sources other than those permitted by the conflict of interest guidelines of cabinet ministers and former cabinet ministers. It also questions whether the Prime Minister has supplied every cabinet minister and each executive assistant and all members of the exempt staff with copies of the code of ethics produced by the late Prime Minister Pearson, and with a copy of the conflict of interest guidelines for cabinet ministers and former cabinet ministers.

• (1510)

The parliamentary secretary will understand that the reason for that question is to ensure that the exempt staff of cabinet ministers are receiving payments under ministerial allotments and to determine whether there are any special favours or benefits such as interest-free loans of payments of income from Crown corporations or other government agencies in an indirect way by way of subterfuge which otherwise would not be concealed from public view. I know the matter would be of some embarrassment to the government if it gave a reply. I know that because of the information we now have before us. I