

our curriculum and staff of instructors is admittedly as strong, if not stronger, than that of the competing colleges, yet in spite of all these advantages the attendance is not increasing, but is rather slightly falling off. When our class entered college, the number of students was one hundred and sixty, today it is but one hundred and fifty. The cause for this fact-for cause there surely are-I believe to be four:

The University of New Brunswick is a so-called non-sectarian. A large percentage of possible students prefer a college of their own denomination. We can hold out no religious inducements and are consequently at a disadvantage in competing for students.

The second reason is more important; it can moreover be remedied—we don't advertise enough. Other universities devote a large sum each year to newspaper advertisement; means are also provided whereby professors can visit the high schools and make known the advantages their respective colleges afford. Almost all the money annually appropriated for advertising at our university is required for the college calendar. We would suggest therefore that if the college is to expand, a much larger sum be set aside each year for the purpose of bringing the university more fully to the attention of prospective students—that this be done moreover even though it necessitates curtailment of extension in other directions, for without students and many of them, the university cannot progress.

The third obstacle in the way of an increased attendance in the reputation, which students of this university have acquired for severe initiation. I make that statement only after serious reflection. Every fall reports of our having proceedings are mooted in the newspapers of the province. A highly exaggerated opinion of their severity prevails in every section. As a consequence nervous parents, who would otherwise prefer to send their sons to our institution, select one of the rival colleges. To superficial observers I may seem to lay undue emphasis on this fact, but those who are trying to extend the popularity of the university, appreciate its very great importance. The remedy lies largely with the students, the only way to effectually combat the prevailing impression is to abolish initiation and branch—a drastic suggestion some will say; possibly, but reform is the game worth the candle? Do the fun and excitement, harmless though they may be in themselves, compensate for the injury the university sustains in consequence? The matter is vast serious consideration on the part of next year's students—we missed our opportunity for reform, how broad gauged and progressive is the class of 1914?

The final reason for our lack of students is old and much hackneyed, but nevertheless very important—the absence of a residency. Schoolboys, if they think of such matters, conceive college to be a place where you live as well as study—the social life and advantages are equally as important as the contents of the curriculum or the personnel of the faculty. Consequently when students of the University try to induce High School pupils to come to the University of New Brunswick, they usually meet with the answer: "I should prefer to go to a college with a residency," and they generally do. Parents also favor the residential university because it affords greater opportunities for faculty restraint and supervision.

**Residency.** These then are the most important reasons for our lack of increased attendance—our first need. Now let me say a few words in connection with what I conceive to be our second need—residency. The undergraduates, from observations they have made of other matriculating provinces, universities, believe that residency would mean for us:

- (1). Increase in our numbers for reasons I have just given; consequent athletic success and heightened prestige. Our teams selected from one hundred and twenty-five students at present, contend against those chosen from three and four hundred—that we are not otherwise successful is naturally not strange.
- (2). Residency would mean increased co-operation among the students and a greater diffusion of work—both essential elements for consistent success. Under present conditions student effort is scattered; the labor is borne by three or four men.
- (3). Residency would promote a more intense college spirit—a valuable asset.

## "My Feet Were Just Aching For TIZ"

Let Your Poor, Tired, Chafed, Tender Feet "Spread Out" Gloriously in a Bath of TIZ

Send at Once for Free Trial Package

"O, O, glory what a feeling! Wonderful what TIZ will do for your feet! Just take your shoes off, and then put those weary, shoe-crinkled, aching, corn-peppered, blisters-tortured feet of yours in TIZ bath. Your toes will wriggle with joy. They'll look up at you and almost talk, and then they'll take another dive in TIZ bath! Yes, TIZ is life to feet!"

The man or woman who says there's anything like, or as good as TIZ never had a foot in a TIZ bath. You'll be able to wear smaller shoes, too, when you use TIZ; your feet will keep cool.

Miss M. Pearl Lloyd, Glendora, Wis., got one box of TIZ for her mother. "She has had sore, tender feet for a long time," writes Miss Lloyd, "but after using TIZ her feet are not troubling her."

TIZ operates under a new principle, drawing out all the poisonous excretions that make feet sore, corny and tired. There's nothing else like TIZ, so refuse any imitation. If anyone offers you a substitute, just remember your poor, aching feet, and tell him to give you what you asked for.

TIZ, 25 cents a box, sold everywhere, or sent direct, on receipt of price. Money back if TIZ doesn't do all we say. For a free trial package write today to Walter Luther Dodge & Co., Windsor, Ont. Return enclosed by all Drug Stores, department and general stores.

USE THE WANT AD. WAY

## "I ATTRIBUTE MY CURE SOLELY

And Entirely to Taking "Fruit-a-tives"

Hull, Que., Dec. 24th, 1909. "For the past twelve years, I had painful attacks of Dyspepsia. I could not digest my food and everything caused the most agonizing pain in my stomach. I also had a fearful attack of Constipation and at times I had no movement of the bowels for two weeks.

Three doctors attended me for two years and gave me all kinds of medicine but did me no good. My weight came to only 80 pounds and everyone thought I was going to die. Finally, I had the good fortune to try "Fruit-a-tives" and as soon as I began to take them, I felt better. I persisted in the treatment and college calendar. We would suggest therefore that if the college is to expand, a much larger sum be set aside each year for the purpose of bringing the university more fully to the attention of prospective students—that this be done moreover even though it necessitates curtailment of extension in other directions, for without students and many of them, the university cannot progress.

Now I feel very well, weigh 115 pounds, and this is more than I ever weighed even before my illness. I attribute my cure solely and entirely to "Fruit-a-tives" and can never praise them too much for saving my life. To all who suffer from Dyspepsia and Constipation, I recommend "Fruit-a-tives" as a cure.

MRS. ANDREW STAFFORD.  
Box, 6 for \$2.50, trial size 50c.  
At dealers or from Fruit-a-tives, Limited, Ottawa.

the natural result of close intimacy and more concentrated endeavor. "But of what avail all this," it is remarked, "even though it is true? We have no means of providing a residency." In this regard permit me a suggestion: four of the maritime provinces universities—Dalhousie, Acadia, Kings and Mount Allison—have very recently raised large sums for the purpose of expansion by means of forward movements—through contributions solicited from graduates and friends. Why not a forward movement for the University of New Brunswick with a residency as its goal? Several objections may be made to this proposal: that such a movement would be without the denominational support which has been largely instrumental in the success of similar schemes; that we have no large city interested in our welfare; that we have no government institution and for that reason we are not recognized as a university. All these objections contain a large degree of truth; on the other hand I would urge that we have a large alumni—six hundred and fifty, no less—many very prosperous, all strongly attached to their Alma Mater, why not give them a chance? And again, the very fact that the University of New Brunswick is a state university, should be incentive enough for all patriotic men of the province to lend us material and generous assistance. Why not give them a chance? The need is very pressing.

Now I have already mentioned two of the most urgent needs of the university (1) more students; (2) residency; there is a third, more professors. The engineering students are seventy-two in number and thus comprise approximately one-third the total student membership. Their instruction for the first two years is shared largely by about twenty-five lecturers but in the last two years the greater part of the burden falls on one or two competent lecturers for it is impossible for the work, though of a high standard, to be as efficient as possible. We would suggest therefore that an assistant professor of engineering be appointed, as soon as the resources of the university will stand the additional strain.

A similar condition of affairs exists in the department of forestry; each year since it was established there has been a steady increase in the number of students. In our hands rests her fame for the future; let us make sure it is not sullied by possible further extension and elevation.

Lastly as "Baptism Aude," the motto of the University of New Brunswick is at its best, let us when we entered these halls and for some have continued a source of inspiration throughout our course, and as we stand assembled together perchance for the last time, let us impress those words "Baptism Aude" into our hearts; and if in the future, though we shall no longer be students of a university, we live in accordance with their command, success should be assured.

But in such a country when the rewards are great the dangers are likewise acute; dangers of materialism, of shortsightedness and corruption. The inherent duty of University men and women lies in combating these pernicious tendencies; they must preserve the ideal; they must teach that the present profitable should always be weighed against the welfare of the future; the whole object of the past four years has not been to instill knowledge—that has been a secondary aim—but rather to provide scope; to inspire ideas; we are consequently versed in theory, ignorant of practice; the next few years will bring us close to the practical, let us see to it that we retain the outlook which only the broad theory can give.

We are fortunate in our alma mater; the University of New Brunswick is an institution of proud traditions; among her graduates are counted men of the finest calibre of the present and the future; let us make sure it is not sullied by possible further extension and elevation.

DR. A. P. CROCKET, ST. JOHN, Donor of Scholarship Being Presented at U. N. B. for First Time

An increasing number of forestry students, until this year there were twenty-five in all. The splendid positions which the senior foresters have secured this year has been to place upon two or three more than the same number. But at present we have but one professor in forestry subjects, the amount of work has to be covered by one or two lecturers. An assistant professor of forestry is one of our most pressing needs.

**Some interesting ones**  
A few words now on student problems: The question of student fees has for a long time past caused much perplexity. Up to the present the students have themselves assessed and collected the money spent in their activities; but this system has resulted both in extravagant expenditure and in uncollected fees. Two plans have accordingly been suggested by means of which the defects of the present system might be remedied: One proposal has been to have the Registrar collect the fees with the regular college fees and, in consideration for this service to give the faculty supervision over student expenditure. A preferable scheme, however, would be to have the Senate share in the student expenses provided that these expenses be restricted to certain limits and be made under close faculty observation. Either of these plans would be very superior to that which is at present in vogue; we sincerely trust one of them will meet with favorable consideration from the Senate.

A few remarks about offices and elections to office would perhaps be timely. In the presidencies of the different societies and in the exaltades of the different teams there is a training at once profitable and exacting. The tendency of late has been to place upon two or three men the duties which should be performed by many to turn what, if properly distributed, would be enjoyable privileges, into burdensome tasks. Nine out of every ten students could do the work if the responsibility were placed upon them—any advice

therefore is spread your offices, give many the opportunity, eliminate overburdening and thus get better results. Upon the real students of energy and ambition, upon the men who follow knowledge for its own sake as well as for its rewards, there has recently come an epidemic of honors—the result partly of mental ambition, partly of competition. This malady is gradually losing its force and well it is that this is so: Many subjects mean diversification of energy, the loss of concentration, the development of superficiality; especially is this true because upon those who carry the heavier courses usually falls the greater bulk of student responsibilities. The true student, who is seeking the greatest benefit and satisfaction from his course, should take the first two years to discover his bent; then he should pick his course and concentrate, know his subject thoroughly, and thus get better results.

Will Let Borden Force The Bill  
Third Reading Today Without The Gag  
PARLIAMENT MATTERS

Final Protest in Six Months Hoist  
Vote—The Increase of Salary For Head of Railway Commission—The Senate

Ottawa, May 14.—The naval bill will get its third reading in the commons tomorrow without a gag. The Liberals have decided that they will not give Premier Borden and the government opportunity of further disgracing the Canadian parliament by brutal tactics, trickery, gag and strong-arm methods of the chair.

The events of the past few weeks have shown that the long force majority in the House of Commons is not a body to be trusted to "jam through" the bill regardless of all rules even under closure regardless of all appeals to argument or fair play, regardless of all national and imperial considerations, regardless of the will of the Canadian people.

It was made plain by the shutting out of Mr. Carleton's amendments on Saturday morning that no consideration would be granted for any further suggestions or appeals which might be offered.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

## "SALADA"

A Triumph In Tea Quality  
Pure, Wholesome and Delicious, with a fullness of flavour not found in ordinary TEAS.

IN LEAD PACKETS ONLY.  
Black, Mixed and Green.

MT. ALLISON PROGRAMME  
Friday, May 23, 3 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (junior).

Friday, May 23, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

Saturday, May 24, 9 a. m., college lawn—Physical culture drill, students of Ladies' College.

Saturday, May 24, 10:30 a. m., Beethoven hall—Exhibition of work of household science students. Inspection of household science rooms.

Saturday, May 24, 2 p. m., athletic grounds—Sports of Mount Allison Athletic Association.

Sunday, May 25, 7 p. m.—Closing reception, Ladies' College.

Sunday, May 26, 11 a. m., Methodist church—Annual sermon before the Theological Union, Rev. H. E. Thomas, St. John (N. B.).

Monday, May 27, 3 p. m., Memorial hall—Sunday, May 27, 7 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (senior).

Monday, May 27, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

Tuesday, May 27, 9 a. m., Memorial hall—Annual meeting of Theological Union, with lecture before union by Rev. George J. Bond, L.L.D., of Halifax (N. S.). Subject, The Three Religions of China.

Tuesday, May 27, 10:30 a. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (senior).

Tuesday, May 27, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

Wednesday, May 28, 3 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (senior).

Wednesday, May 28, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

Thursday, May 29, 3 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (senior).

Thursday, May 29, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

Friday, May 30, 3 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (senior).

Friday, May 30, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

Saturday, May 31, 3 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (senior).

Saturday, May 31, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

Sunday, June 1, 3 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (senior).

Sunday, June 1, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

Monday, June 2, 3 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (senior).

Monday, June 2, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

Tuesday, June 3, 3 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by conservatory students (senior).

Tuesday, June 3, 8 p. m., Charles Fawcett Memorial hall—Concert by Bostonia Sextette, assisted by Miss Lucia Fydel and Miss M. L. Smith.

## MINISTER PRAI ZAM-BUK

Tells How It Cured His Bad Sore  
When Everything Else Had Failed

Rev. Henry J. Munton, of Baskin, Alta., writes: "My wife had a very sore foot, which it seemed impossible to get anything to heal. The sore would not to a certain point and then it would get so on. I procured a box of Zam-Buk and after persevering with this balm for some time the sore was completely healed."

"We are so grateful for this cure, Zam-Buk acted so differently to any of the numerous remedies we had that I thought you ought to know of it. I have since recommended Zam-Buk to several of my parishioners, and it always gives satisfaction."

Another instance in which Zam-Buk proved of unequalled value is told by N. L. Gerry, of Brandon, Man. He said: "I had my left foot run over by a gun loaded with wheat. The foot badly crushed, and my little toe and next toe were laid open. I applied Zam-Buk and only had to miss work for a few days. Zam-Buk healed the wound so quickly that on the third day I was able to put on my boot and walk to work. Very short time my toes were quite healed, and the foot is now as sound as thanks to Zam-Buk."

Just as good for chronic sores, ulcers, blood poison, burns, scalds, eczema, and all skin injuries and cases. 50c. box at all druggists and etc. or Zam-Buk Co., Toronto, Try Zam-Buk, too, 25c. per tablet.

A letter which was posted in Oak, Cal., 20 years ago has just been delivered at the office of the San Francisco Board of Education. "Found in box of carrier" written on it to explain why it had so long neglected.

## IF YOU HAVE GREY HAIR YOUR ABILITY IS DOUBTED

Young Looking People Are In Constant Demand Everywhere  
Competition is keen. You can't hold down the Big Job if you are a "Back Number." You can't expect to be a successful wage-earner, man or woman, if you are old looking, but whether you are young or old, it is up to you to keep your money back if it's not a story.

Get a bottle of Hay's Hair Health Tonic. It solves the grey hair problem for every man and woman, young or old, brings back the rich, natural color of hair—restores it to its natural color immediately.

Don't waste time with others; only one, Hay's Hair Health Tonic, has satisfied twenty years. Druggists have sold Hay's Hair Health so long to so many that people everywhere who come back for it and won't use any other, that are perfectly willing to guarantee it give you money back if it's not a story.

Clinton Brown, druggist, Union Waterloo, Ontario.

will preserve your teeth, sharpen your appetite, make your digestion easy.

Isn't this delicious mint leaf confection a pleasant way to do it?

BUY IT BY THE BOX  
It costs less—of any dealer—and stays fresh until used.

Look for the spear  
Avoid imitations  
Made in Canada

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co., 17 Scott Street, Toronto, Ontario

## WILL LET BORDEN FORCE THE BILL

Third Reading Today Without The Gag  
PARLIAMENT MATTERS

Final Protest in Six Months Hoist  
Vote—The Increase of Salary For Head of Railway Commission—The Senate

Ottawa, May 14.—The naval bill will get its third reading in the commons tomorrow without a gag. The Liberals have decided that they will not give Premier Borden and the government opportunity of further disgracing the Canadian parliament by brutal tactics, trickery, gag and strong-arm methods of the chair.

The events of the past few weeks have shown that the long force majority in the House of Commons is not a body to be trusted to "jam through" the bill regardless of all rules even under closure regardless of all appeals to argument or fair play, regardless of all national and imperial considerations, regardless of the will of the Canadian people.

It was made plain by the shutting out of Mr. Carleton's amendments on Saturday morning that no consideration would be granted for any further suggestions or appeals which might be offered.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will be made clear by Sir Wilfrid Laurier tomorrow in re-stating the whole position of Liberalism on the bill.

The issue is now clearly before the people. The mere formal application of closure on the third reading is not necessary to show that parliament is effectively bound and gagged in so far as this measure is concerned. That will