

not then in that Country, which is called *Misnia*, and the Convent was in the Diocess of the Bishop of *Misfen*, who was very zealous for Popery. Besides, after her escape out of the Convent, *Luther* would have married her to a Doctor, named *Gaspar Glace*, to which Marriage she expressed her dislike to *Amsdorff* Bishop of *Naumbourg*; which is a plain sign that *Luther* did not in any wise get her out of her Convent with a design to make her his Wife. As for what Mr. *Seguenot* says of the Elector of *Saxony*, as if he blam'd this sort of Marriages, I defy him to make that appear. He must either mean *George* Duke of *Saxony*, who persecuted the *Lutherans*; or he must have confounded this kind of Marriages, with the Second Marriage of the Landgrave of *Hesse*, which indeed he did blame. But I would never have advised Mr. *Seguenot* to speak of the Marriage of *Luther* against his vows of Chastity, when it is so notorious a fact, that the Curates and other Ecclesiasticks, and even the Prelates in *France*, frequently keep Concubines or marry privately, which afterwards becomes publick by their Posterity. He ought likewise to remember, that at the Council of *Trent*, the Ambassador from *Bavaria* informed the Council that among the Clergy of his Country, Concubines were so common a thing; that of a hundred you would not find above three or four but what had them, or Wives whom they had married privately, and some of them publickly. It is the famous Father *Paul* who lived and died in the Communion of the Church of *Rome* that writes it.

MR. *Seguenot* comes next to speak ill of the Marriage of our King *Henry VIII* with *Anne Boleyn*: He has taken his Materials out of *Sanders* an English Papist, who has been Convicted of gross falshoods in his book of the English Schism, where he gives out that *Anne Boleyn* was thought to have been Daughter to *Henry VIII* who had an intrigue with her Mother,
and