

interpreted by some of their narrow-minded men, who would put on cassocks and buttons, and bow down to the very ground. (Cheers.) At a breakfast table, when there were one or two Bishops present, and also several ladies—and he always preferred the ladies to a Bishop (loud laughter)—the conversation turned on this subject, and he remarked that for his part it turned his stomach (renewed laughter) to see two young men standing by the grave of their beloved Metropolitan—he did not use the word out of mere form, because he did love him—dressed out in the livery of Rome. (Cheers.) He did not wish to say this to hurt the feelings of these young men. He had no doubt they were good men, but they were wrong, which was the case with regard to many in this ritualistic movement.

Dean Lyster—Would the gentleman name those young men? (Cries of No.)

Rev. Mr. Givins resumed. He was not doing the work of any party; he was an independent man, and only wished fearlessly to discharge his duty to the Church and to his God. There was no more dangerous doctrine than that which exalted the holy sacrament and the priesthood beyond what was right and what the Church had held. He had heard it stated that in this diocese the communicants would not touch the bread with the hand, though the rubric expressly states that it shall be taken in the hand. In like manner with regard to the cup. They would not touch it, and the minister was obliged to put the cup to the mouth of those persons. He maintained that the man who did these things, in contradiction to the rubrics, was a traitor to the Church of England. He was told that such things were done in this diocese. He would not go on further, because he knew he was giving pain to those he loved. He implored the Synod to take up this matter in a serious and grave manner, and with a sense of its importance. He rejoiced in the Church, and nothing would pain him so much as to be compelled to retire from her ministry. But if these things went on and increased as they were doing, what were they to do? He called upon every member to decide whether those follies, which most assuredly would be renewed here, should be allowed to go on, or whether they should by adoption of his amendment, prevent it in future. He entreated them to lay aside all mere technical objections, and let them have more of the Gospel and less of the law in these matters. (Cheers.)

Rev. E. Boswell raised a point of order. He wished to know by what authority any delegate could offer a canon altering the Book of Common Prayer. He proceeded to support his point of order, when another point of order was raised by another delegate.

The Prolocutor ruled that a point of order could not be raised on