

2. If so, (a) on what date; (b) by whom; (c) by whom was it ordered; (d) what personnel was used in conducting it; (e) what actual time was spent by such personnel on location at the bridge site during the check; (f) what information was made available to this personnel conducting the check and by whom; (g) why was such a safety check considered necessary?

3. Was a report made on the findings of the personnel who conducted this safety check?

4. If so, (a) on what date; (b) by whom; (c) to whom; (d) what was the nature of the report?

*Mr. Argue*—On Monday next—INQUIRY OF MINISTRY—(No. 11)—1. Has the Cornwall High Level Bridge ever been accepted as a completed unit, both substructure and superstructure, up to standards and specifications according to original plans and design, by (a) American authorities; (b) Canadian authorities?

2. If so, on what date, and by whom?

*Mr. Argue*—On Monday next—INQUIRY OF MINISTRY—(No. 12)—1. Has any bridge collapsed in the vicinity of the new South Channel High Level Bridge on the St. Lawrence River at Cornwall, since 1890?

2. If so, what was the date of erection and the date of collapse?

3. Was an investigation held in regard to the said bridge collapse?

4. If so, (a) what was the date of the investigation; (b) who conducted it; (c) what were the findings as to the cause of collapse?

*Mr. Argue*—On Monday next—INQUIRY OF MINISTRY—(No. 13)—1. Has a control system been set up at any time since July 1, 1957, by the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority for the purpose of checking, shifting, rocking or movement of piers and substructure of the Cornwall High Level Bridge?

2. If so, (a) on what date was it set up; (b) by whom was it authorized; (c) what were the terms of reference; (d) the date of each check; (e) the results of each check; (f) the name of each engineer who carried out the checks or surveys, and what were their duties and qualifications?

*Mr. Argue*—On Monday next—INQUIRY OF MINISTRY—(No. 14)—1. Did the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority receive a report or letter from the contractors working on the substructure for the Cornwall High Level Bridge, regarding a difference they found re soil or glacial till conditions as compared to information supplied to them by the Authority from previous test borings carried out by the United States Corps of Engineers or the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority?

2. If so, what was the date of the communication, and what was its nature?

*Mr. Argue*—On Monday next—INQUIRY OF MINISTRY—(No. 15)—1. Has the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority received a report of an analysis of the silt content of the piers of the substructure of the Cornwall High Level Bridge from an inspection company which had made such a test at the request of the contractor doing the remedial work on the substructure?