

dred and nine, and applicable to the payment of salaries or increase of salaries of persons in the inside service, shall be applicable to the payment of increases of salary granted under this Act so far as such sums are not required for the specific purposes for which they were granted; and during the financial years ending on the thirty-first day of March, one thousand nine hundred and nine, and one thousand nine hundred and ten, respectively, there may be paid out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund of Canada such further moneys as may be required for the payment of increases of salaries hereunder as have not been voted by parliament, not to exceed the detailed amounts in each case as set forth in the schedule to this Act.

I understand by clauses four and five that although the increase commences from the first of September to the thirty-first of March that increase would be paid 'pro rata' for seven months, and then, afterwards, they will have the right to the twelve months, as the schedule indicates.

Hon. Sir RICHARD CARTWRIGHT—This \$150 is simply for the present year.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—From the first of September to the 31st of March, because the financial year commences on the 31st of March.

Hon. Sir RICHARD CARTWRIGHT—If my hon. friend will turn to the schedule, he will see what is due from first September to 31st of March, and in another column what is due from the first of April of the present year to the first of April, 1910.

The clause was adopted.

On clause 1,

1. The Governor in Council, upon the recommendation of the head of a department, based upon a report of the deputy head, may grant to any officer, clerk or employee under the deputy heads in the inside service, as defined by The Civil Service Amendment Act, 1908, who was in the public service at the time of the coming into force of that Act, an increase of salary of one hundred and fifty dollars a year, subject to the provisions hereinafter contained.

Hon. Sir RICHARD CARTWRIGHT—In regard to this first clause, although our Senate employees, and the House of Commons employees are specified in these schedules, strictly speaking we have no head of a department, and no deputy head either. The Minister of Justice has been consulted on the matter, and it is proposed to amend that clause by adding the following words:—

"In this Act the deputy head includes the clerks of both Houses and the librarians of parliament.

(b) The head of a department includes the Speaker of both Houses.

(c) Officer, clerk or employee includes permanent officers, clerks or employees of either House and of the Library of Parliament.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—I call attention to the Civil Service law as it is now. Clause 2 of the Act reads:

(b) "Deputy head in addition to the officers mentioned in paragraph (b) of section 2 of the Civil Service Act, includes the clerks of both House and the librarians of Parliament;

(c) "head of a department," in addition to the ministers mentioned in paragraph (a) of section 2 of the Civil Service Act, includes the Speakers of both Houses;

So that the law as it now stands includes those officers.

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND—A doubt has arisen by the fact that this is a separate Bill.

Hon. Sir RICHARD CARTWRIGHT—It can do no harm.

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND—This is a separate Act. The Civil Service Act says

"In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires:—(b) deputy head in addition to the officers mentioned in paragraph (b) of section 2 of the Civil Service Act."

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—Clause 1 reads:

1. The Governor in Council, upon the recommendation of the head of a department, based upon a report of the deputy head, may grant to any officer, clerk or employee under the deputy heads in the inside service, as defined by The Civil Service Amendment Act, 1908.

Hon. Mr. CHOQUETTE—I think the amendment is useless.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—How is it defined in the Act of 1908?

Hon. Sir RICHARD CARTWRIGHT—It can do no harm, even if my hon friend is correct in his view.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—It does no harm; but the doctrine is, we cannot amend a money Bill.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—In looking over the schedules, I find a very regrettable thing as far as the Senate is concerned. I think it is extremely unfair and not in any way defensible. In every part of the government service the messengers and packers get an increase. This increase was given