THE ONTARIO WEEKLY NOTES.

discourage applications of this character where good ground exists even for serious suspicion of the soundness of mind of any one, and on the other hand, the Courts are very careful not to make an order declaring any one a lunatic without practically conclusive evidence. The issue will be prepared by the applicant (who will be plaintiff) under sec. 7 (5), the defendant will be the alleged lunatic, whose defence will be with the privity of the official guardian. The issue will be tried by a Judge without a jury (subject to the provisions of sec. 8 of the Act) and at the next sittings of the Court for trials at Lindsay (subject to further order). Costs of this application to be disposed of by the trial Judge, or upon application in Chambers, after the final disposition of the issue. This order to be without prejudice to an application under the Act of 1911, 1 Geo. V. ch. 20, either before, at the time of, or after the trial of the issue. A. J. R. Snow, K.C., for the applicant. G. H. Watson, K.C., and F. D. Moore, K.C., contra.

NOTE.

In Northern Crown Bank v. International Electric Co., ante 1200, it should have been stated that the judgment of Meredith, C.J.C.P., is reported in 2 O.W.N. 286, and 22 O.L.R. 339. The judgment of the Divisional Court will be reported in the Ontario Law Reports.

1276