and a great deal more ; all that is grood in the license law is to be found in the Scott Act and $a$ great deal more. The electors are simply asked whether they will keep a law with a great deal of bad and very little grood, or take insteal of it a law with a great deal of good and very little bad.

Violent assaults are just now being made on the Scott Act by anti-temperance orators, because they have discoveled that it permits specinlly licensed persons, who raise grapes and manufneture them into wine, to sell this wine in quantities of ten grallons, or less if required for sacramentat or medicimal parpuses. We regret this weak point in the Scult Aet, but it is a great improvement upon a similar clanse in the On'ario license law which allows manufacturers of native wines to sell them in smaller quantities, and without any license.

The Scott Act is being assiniled on the ground that where it is in operation violations of it occur, and that it is not thoroughly enfored. The same is the case with the lieense law. There is no Act in our statute books so persistently and systemntically disregarded. It is a well known fact that nearly everywhere the law agrinst liguor selling on Sunday is violated most shamelessly.

The Scott Act is easier of enforcenent than the lieense law. It is less difficult to prohibit a man from selling, than it is to fix and watch and enforce regulations as to how he shall sell. Thirsty men around, liquor in the bar near at hand, friends to be accommodated (or at least gratified), and moncy to be made; these are all temptations to the lieensed dealer to sell when he is forbidden to do so by the law. The Scott Aet makes the temptation unlawful as well as the offence. Alaw that is unform in its operntions must command more respect because of its consistency, than a law that is internittent In its mature, in its character, in its consistency, and in its interdiction of temptation to its own violation, the Scott Act has vast adrantages over license law in respect of adaptation for enforcement; and experience fully bears out these conclusions.

The Scott Act provides machinery for its own enforcement, and in addition to this all the machinery for enforcing the license lnw is brought in to nssist; so that it is not only inherently casier oif enforecment, but it has better provisions for its enforcement than the present momalous and comparatively incficetive sysicm.

We heartily asree with our opponents in their commendation of the good that license laws have done. It was the earnest effort of thoughtiul temperamee men that secured all the benefits that those laws confer. We have tried the working of the principle that those laws cmbody, and we are so well pleased with the experiment that we now ask the people to carry these principles a little further. Everything good that has been said of license law, e:crything that can be snid in favor of license law, is a still stronger argument in frovor of the Scott Act; and everything that can be said against the Scott Act is an stronger argument agninst the license system. There might ixe consistency in anti-tennperance agitators who would adrocate abolition of all restricisol, and the murc, ulnted and unlimited cunse of free tmaie in drink; but license law alvocntes must cither be utterly inconsishent or else give the Scott Act a hearty support.

## MLISTERS ANOD THE SCOTT ACT.

Thennti-temperance agitntors are lecturing ministers on the arduty in the present crasis. They seem to think that thase men who more thinn all others are supposed to be suxious about the moral progress of the community, and whose lives ane devoted to work for the glory of God and the good of their follow-men, that these men should sit still nad fold their hands, aud have the seal of silence set upon their
lips, simply because the question at issue is to be decided at the ballot-box. Why, the Gospel of freedom and truth hias given the people the ballot box. The church has fought against and overthrown the tyranny of by-gone days that would have people kneel in abject slavery before the dominant selfishness that arrogated to itself all right to legishte and power to govern. The men who have fought for the rights we enjoy, and who taught us the glorious truthes that inspired us to fight for those rights, are told that they are meddling and out of place if they advise us in the exercise of those rights. Canada is to be congratulated to-day on the refusal of her ministers to even notice such impertinence. It is the same selfislmess that strove to stem the Ephesian reformation, and would have mugrled the preachers who spoiled the idol-making business. It is the same cruel despotism that would have silenced the ministerial teachers of truth in the old slavery days. One of the most hopeful features of the fight to day, the galling weakness that the whiskey-party feels, is that it has so little influence oyer the men who minister to the people's spiritual needs. The liquor traffic has entrenched itself in our statute books, it has bribed our parliaments, it has dictated to us what shall be our laws, and who we shall have to enforee them. It has spread over our social life a pall of misery and ruin, and made the ordinary transaction of business and even our holiday merrymaking all tributary to its unholy greed forgain. Thank God it camnot bribe our pulpits, it has failed to overawe our churches. The strength of our cause to-day is in its endorsement by our ministers and religious organizations, and our victory will be emphatically a viciory of truth and love over tyranny and avarice.

One of the inconsistencies of our opponents is the bitterness with which they denounce Scott Act advocacy by ministers, and the eagerness with which they seck for, and the childish exultation With which they parade any ministerial utterance that can at all be twisted so as to look like an approval of the license system. They even misrepresent some of our best and most faithful clergymen, and then trumpet everywhere the announcement that a minister has pronounced against the Scott Act, and therefore it must be bad. The Scott Aet movement comenends itself to the clearest judgment, the keenest business prudence and the purest philanthrophy of our communities, and the favor it meets with from ministers speaks volumes both for it and them. They are our best citizens, they are as a class our most unselfish advisers, they know better than any others the terrible evils that the liquor-traffic entails, as Christian ministers they: are giving it their encouragement, and as Christian citizens they will give it their votes.

## Selctct alticles

## SOCIETY AND THE SALOON.

T:ro significant episodes occurred on the same day in this city within the past wÉck. A speaker addressed a mecting of liquor dealers, and told them that "saloons are a benefit to the community," and said that the tem. perance inovement mas "a scheme of the rich to crush the poor." Elsewhere the agents of the Society for the Pretention of Cruelty to Children were rescuing the helpless littlic ones of two families from the neglect of drunken mothers. lecthaps it is only to audiences of liquor dealers that any speaker would venture the assertions aboue cited; would claim for the ageney that degrades even maternity the chameter of a benefit. Perhaps it is only before such an audience that any speaker would describe the temperance niovement as a scheme of the sich to crush the poor. To crush the poor! ilsk the poor, bloated wretch whose conscienceless appetite has broken up his home, killed his wife, sent his children adrift, alienated his friends, destroyed his opportunities, blasted his existence, if lie believes this. Ask the haggard wife of the drinking artisan, 2s she strives at the

