

and post-mortem examinations. The failure of the public to realize this fact accounts in great measure for the many sometime curable diseases that are brought to the surgeon after they have reached the incurable stage. The co-operation of the internist and the surgeon in all cases potentially surgical is something that can be demanded consistently by the people. Each one is a healthy check on the other; their combined judgment is safest for the patient.

With the emergency operations and the problems suddenly forced upon the doctor far from surgical centres this paper has nothing to do. Every surgeon admires and respects the men who meet the difficult problems of this kind, alone, ingeniously and fearlessly. The history of medicine is full of heroes of this class, and no one has greater appreciation of their work than the active surgeon in the large city.

I would deal here rather with the question of elective major surgery as attempted in our large and small surgical centres by men without surgical skill or training, by amateurs, and by the nondescript commercial type of doctor that operates for the fee and not for the benefit of the patient.

The internist and the family doctor, assuming that he is a general practitioner, cannot keep pace with the constant advance made along surgical lines. It is physically impossible for him to keep in touch with the best surgical literature and progress. If, therefore, a patient comes for advice concerning a disease that theoretically or practically can be classed as surgical, the patient has a right to the opinion of a practical surgeon for or against intervention. This applies not only to the commoner diseases, like gall-stones, appendicitis, cancer etc., but to the less common border-line diseases in which both medical and surgical treatment are of value. The internist, prejudiced at the start against surgery, or slow to follow the best advances in the world's clinics, may presume to decide a question that is or ought to be purely surgical. Such a decision may be as much beyond his province as it would be were a surgeon to attempt to decide as to the nature of an anæmia without a blood examination. This breach of faith with the public—for it can hardly be called anything else—is in my experience one of the most common factors that leads eventually to incomplete operative success. The public, slow to grasp the full significance of such conditions, is, nevertheless, gradually awakening to its rights in this respect.

The remedy is simple. No doctor need be so narrow or prejudiced that he cannot seek counsel in doubtful cases. To ask for surgical advice does not imply any necessity for accepting its verdict. That lies with the patient. Let him be given the facts according to the best