### The Gateway

THE GATEWAY is the newspaper of the students of the University of is published by Students' Union twice weekly during he winter session on Tuesdays and hursdays. Contents are the responsibility of the editor, opinions are those of the person expressing them. etters to the editor on any subject are welcome, but must be signed. Please keep them short: letters should not exceed 200 words. Deadlines for submitting copy are 2 p.m. Mondays and Wednesdays. Main offices are located in Room 282, SUB for Gateway, Room 238 SUB for Media Productions. Phone 432-5168, 432-5178, 432-5750, Advertising 432-423. Circulation 18,500.

Editor - Kevin Gillese News - Don Truckey Features - Bruce Rout Arts: Keith Layton Sports - Darrell Semenuk
Photo - Grant Wurm
Graphics - Craig McLachlan
Advertising - Tom Wright
CUP Editor — Cathy Brodeur
STAFF: Mary Duczynski, Liz J., Sue M., Lindsay B., Wayne Kondro, Doug Torrance, Brian Bergman, Don M., Gary Van Overloop, Stan Mah, Ron Pascoe, Loreen L., Margriet T-W., John Charles. (Sorry John, we missed you last issue.)

It seems the differential tuition fee, contrary to popular rumour, is not a dead issue. Just look at two happenings within the past week. There was Catherine Chichak on Friday telling the Legislature that foreign students might qualify for student loans, so why should they bitch about a differential tuition? Considering student loans are only granted to Canadians and landed immigrants, perhaps it's no coincidence Ms. Chichak was moved in the last Cabinet shuffle from minister without portfolio to her present back-bench position.

With astute comments like that, plus the usual runaround for the *Gateway* such as, "I'll phone you back in two hours" (this, of course, was three days ago and still nc reply), perhaps Peter Lougheed would prefer if she was a PC backbencher somewhere else, like lower Slobovia.

Then, of course, there was Ken McFarlane arguing so eloquently at Monday night's Students' Council meeting against allowing the Equal Access to Education Committee to spend the rest of the \$500 Council had granted them earlier in the year. McFarlane has conspicously stayed away from the disagreement over foreign student fees while others, such as Howard Hoggins, have done their homework, talked to people, argued intelligently, and generally done a helluva lot of hard work to try and make people understand what differentials really mean and what their affect will be on the foreign student population in Alberta, which, not surprisingly, will be to decrease non-Caucasian foreign students. Yet it is McFarlane who all of a sudden becomes so vehement against the Equal Access Committee"s "tactics," which include such things as protests at the Legislature and information pickets to give out informations to MLAs like Bert Hohol who apparently have never seen any information on foreign students, yet feel perfectly at liberty to make arbitrary and irrational (worse yet, binding) decisions at their leisure.

Strange, isn't it? Maybe then it didn't come as a surprise to observers of student affairs when it was McFarlane who earlier on Monday, during the afternoon's GFC executive meeting, agreed with those who argued against press coverage. McFarlane even went so far as to suggest the meetings should be closed to the press; apparently disregarding the fact that GFC exec. acts as a decision-making body during the summer and also acts as a screening body for GFC (thus its importance for students should not be underestimated, eh Ken?). Luckily the GFC exec. motion to bar media will be debated by the full GFC at Monday's meeting. It's too bad we couldn't get the same kind of debate happening over differentials...

A short note to staffers: It's difficult to find time around the end of the year to drop by and pick up your assignments, I know, but remember, if you don't stop by the offices in the next little while you won't know when and where the year-end party will be. You should also check on the time and place of the year-end staff photo. by Kevin Gillese



"Hmm. According to these tests, you have a fundamental perceptual handicap. You can't tell your ass from a hole in the ground."

## BUB SLUG by Delainey & Romussen





# Readers respond to ???

being so explicit on philosophical issues your colleagues scramble to avoid naming. Contrary to Objectivism, your "principles" apparently are: Nonexistence, Irrationality, Purposelessness, Injustice, and Enslavement. I won't insult the word by calling this a philosophy; it is a fundamental view of death, not of life. But unlike yours, my purpose is life, knowledge, and achievement in this reality.

That reality is objective and exists independently of any conlogical precondition of

Thank you, Dr. Pritchert, for before there can be any awareness of it. Only the volitional use of one's faculty of reason make knowledge and truth possible; nothing can guarantee them. Certainly human beliefs can be grossly at variance with the facts of reality as you so eloquently demonstrate, Dr. Pritchert. My arguments probably

don't interest you, however, since I am an unenlightened layman who believes reason and logic are the only means to knowledge, and therefore to life. I do not have sciousness is the necessary and your "superior philosophic logic" high consists of denying and knowledge. A thing must be, i.e.: contradicting everything known. must have a specific identity, "Justice," for instance, which 

consists of obliterating concept of right and wrong an having equal "mercy" for both th wronged and the wrong-doer; a "public interest" which consist of crucifying the interests of th individual persons who are th public. Only the inalienable con cept of the individual person of give rise to personal freedom The man who says persona freedom should be sacrificed either a man who has never had desire to fulfill, a goal to a complish, or a man who desire to control the lives of others.

Which are you, Simo

Hugh Man Science

22 March) has aroused my ad- reconditioned to accept it — for campus." After all, Objectivism miration. In no class of their own good and for the good believed by only a few million philosophy at U of A have such of all the little people. Indeed, words been spoken so outrightly, such disbelievers should be shot the remaining billions — and even though the objectivism of because they pose a grave threat therefore refuted according to reality has been a laughing to us humanitarians. matter among the professors for brave as he.

Even though it is a well known fact in the philosophy department that reason is inferior to faith, that the purpose of life is not progression to happiness but emergence with the Nothingness of All, that justice is an oldfashioned concept (an excuse for those who don't want to serve their brothers) and freedom is a child's game — even though they subtly profess this, they have not the intellectual ability to state it so clearly as "Pritchert."

Anyone doubting the truth of vigilantes.

"Simon Pritchert," (Letter of all this should be forced or philosophy of Objectivism acros

Finally, I believe "Pritchert" democracy. years. "Pritchert" should be wholeheartedly when he denies employed by the university that he is "responsible for the replacing those who are not as recent revival of interest in the

people, greatly outnumbered by the principles of our belove

> Ivie Starns Arts

all assaulters, would-be assaulters and sexual deviates:

The next time you think are dealt with. Henceforth, about jumping that girl up there shall patrol and campus and Go take a good look around you! No forbid if we come upon one it's not the sex patrol, which is a stinking way to handle your for the rest of your life assaultwi problem, it's going to be two be your last thought. If you thin large men in black carrying this is some joke, try us som baseball bats and a pair of vice night. To coin a phrase, "We'l grip pliers.

I believe you could call us the

The two of us are sick death of the way these assault you in action. We guarantee th gonna getcha!"

The Vigilant