not so staconstitute ave taken

or that oblanger in tainty of npting to amount question. , or seven ring," as sure their to 90 per adjust. Freat Brioper subof concilie her to ie answer out in the all their been de-We have

the United n the ports ited States, emy counan indirect have been or by the ence accru-

ur fellow

entlemen

Ionroe and he 31st of such trade, ritain, was r manufacxportation, heir value. 's colonies, ed, and on lue, might es in both

tween the ries of her reject this speak confidently, that this patience will hold out till Great Pritain shall be brought to our feet. At the same time, they calculate on the distresses, which they fondly imagine the embargo will inflict on the people of Creat Britain and her colonies, to excite discontents and insurrections sufficiently alarming to induce that government to abandon usages on which she relies to maintain her maritime ascendency, and, at this time, her independence as a nation. But why should it be supposed that the people of Great Britain will be less patient under sufferings, than the people of the United States? Their's would arise from causes beyond their control; our's from some cause or causes operating on our rulers, but which the people can neither see nor understand. Within four months after the embargo was imposed, the president himself, by proclamation, announced to us and to the world the existence of one insurrection, occasioned by the embargo; and the provisions made in the supplementary acts, to compel obedience at the point of the bayonet, shew how apprehensive the government were of discontents and resistance. These extraordinary provisions for the execution of a specific measure demonstrate, that it was considered as opposed to the general sense of the people; and, in a free country, such a measure cannot long be carried into execution. The votes of approbation of the embargo by public bodies, and other assemblies of citizens, so ostentatiously displayed, while they manifest the force of party, are, to say the least, but equivocal indications of the general sense of the people, or even of the individuals composing those assemblies. Those votes have always had fewer hearts than voices.

Mr. President, the gentleman from Maryland mentioned the extreme danger to which our commerce would be exposed, while the French decrees and British orders remain unrepealed. It has been often said, and perhaps oftener insinuated, in newspapers and pamphlets, that if our vessels were permitted to go to sea, all would be taken. What escaped the French, would be captured by the English; and what escaped the latter, would fall a There is a want of truth in all this. prey to the former. The same gentleman quoted a statement made by an eminent merchant of Massachusetts, that of eight or ten vessels which sailed about the time the embargo was laid, one only had reached the place of her destination. I remember seeing a statement of that sort; and I think also, that I saw a detection of its fallacy. If they had not reached their destined ports, it did not follow that they were captured and condemned.

The same merchant has expressed his decided opinion, "that, notwithstanding the French decrees and British orders in council, if our embargo was off we should have more trade than would be enjoyed by us, if all the world were at peace, and the respective nations should monopolize as much of their own commerce as usual." Another eminent merchant expressed, at the same time,

the same opinion.