that old system which had for ages been the fource of enmity between their houses.

The fincerity of their professions was proved by actions that could not be equivo-cal; a mutual implicit confidence was demonstrated by their spurning away every other means of support, and the league was sanctified and confirmed by a sacrifice on each side worthy the importance of the occasion; two monarchs, their friends and allies were severally devoted to destruction.

Does the history of those times which immediately preceded the treaty of Westphalia down to the fignature of the treaty of Verfailles, contain any stronger proofs of the fystem then pursued by the two contending powers, than what has passed since affords of a total change in that system? If one set of measures invariably followed during one period proves the intention of the pursuit, an absolute reverse of these in another demonstrates the intention altered. p. 8, 9, 12. Yet the whole of our author's argument, and his strongest objections to our conduct, are derived from the old French and Austrian fystem; from a fystem which no longer exists at the courts of Vienna or Versailles. and which by consequence cannot be a rule for our actions with respect to either of them.