

*By Mr. Coote:*

Q. Does it make provision for wild oats and weed seeds like your new definition?—A. No, I have read it just as it is stated in the Act.

Q. That is the lowest grade of barley?—A. No, we have feed barley and rejected barley. I believe that is set by the Grain Standards Board. There is no definition for feed and rejected.

*By Mr. McMillan:*

Q. What do you mean by "reasonably clean"?—A. That is largely left to the discretion of the Inspector.

*By Mr. Steedsman:*

Q. What is the idea of using the term "reasonably clean" rather than giving the percentage?—A. The reason for that is that the Inspection Department do not like to be tied down to say that there shall be one and one-half or two per cent; they like to have it so they can go a little over or under as they see fit, and I believe it is a fact to-day in connection with grades of other grain, wheat or oats that they have a great latitude, and they get that by using the words "reasonably clean".

*By Mr. Coote:*

Q. There is so much in the definition that I do not see why we should make it any worse?—A. If you have to go over all your grades in the Grain Act—

Q. I would like to ask the witness if there are any grades defined in the Grain Act which allow for three per cent of weed seeds in any grade that is defined in the Canada Grain Act?—A. I do not know just off hand.

Q. Would you care to give us any reason why we should place a definition in the Grain Act under which 3 per cent of weed seeds would be allowed in any grain?—A. I think the Committee were of opinion that even with that leeway there would probably be very little barley that would get into that grade.

Q. In wheat, for instance, we call that dockage. Why not call it dockage? Then the barley would be graded free with so much dockage?—A. That would be the way this would work out if you had barley with 3 per cent weed seeds, or say, No. 2 Barley, 3 per cent weed seeds, the terminal would have to clean out your seeds and the farmer would still get his high grade barley.

Q. My point is regardless of what the barley is graded, why should anybody that is buying barley have to take seeds? Why should you pay a man for barley when it is 3 per cent seeds?—A. The man that is buying barley will only pay for his clean barley.

Q. Not if your definition is adopted.

*By Mr. Donnelly:*

Q. These varieties of barley are only used as feed?—A. In the Canada Grain Act?

Q. No.—A. No, they will take care of malting barley—the barley that will be composed of 95 per cent 2-rowed barley.

Q. You do not include a certain number of seeds in that, do you?—A. No, there are no seeds in that.

Q. And the ones you include?—A. They would be only feed barleys.

*By Mr. Coote:*

Q. But black weed seeds are not feed at all; they are refuse; some of them are even poison; they are not fit to feed to anything. Why should a man be paid for this?—A. What are you going to do with this stuff that is grown and has this percentage of seeds?