

Point of Order—Mr. McKinnon

1.	(i) Number of occasions	(ii) Amount of moneys paid to patent holders as compensation for use of those patents	(iii) Number of patents who appealed the level of compensation	(iv) Number of successful appeals	(v) Amount of moneys awarded to successful appellants
(a) 1977	—				
(b) 1978	—				
(c) 1979	1	None	None	None	None
(d) 1980	2	\$0.65 (per garment) \$5,820.63	None	None	None
(e) 1981	1	None	None	None	None
(f) 1982	—				
(g) 1983	—				
(h) 1984	1	None	None	None	None
(i) 1985	1	None	None	None	None
(j) 1986	1	None	None	None	None
(k) 1987	1	None	None	None	None

2. No.

[Translation]

Mr. Lewis: Mr. Speaker, I ask that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

Mr. Speaker: The questions as enumerated by the Hon. Parliamentary Secretary have been answered. Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

*[English]***POINT OF ORDER**

PETITIONS—ALLEGED MISREPRESENTATION BY MEMBERS

Hon. Allan B. McKinnon (Victoria): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I have raised a similar point of order on numerous occasions when members of the Opposition in spurious attempts to whip up some enthusiasm for their position table petitions that are incorrectly addressed. I refer in particular to one that has just been tabled by the Hon. Member for York West (Mr. Marchi) who said in his remarks that there were over 100 signatures on a petition from Victoria. In fact, there are only 27 names on the petition.

Some Hon. Members: Shame, shame.

Mr. McKinnon: I might also ask about the peculiarity of a petition dated August 18 not being tabled until over one month later. That certainly does not seem to be urgent action on the part of the Member to look after the interests of some constituents of mine.

I most particularly wish that these Members would quit

exaggerating the number of names on petitions. It is misleading to the House, if anyone were to believe them.

Mr. Sergio Marchi (York West): Mr. Speaker, I rise on the same point of order. I have received over 100 petitions, and a number of them came from the riding of the hon. gentleman. Specifically, there is one petition from Victoria that has over 100 signatures on it. The hon. gentleman may be right when he says that the petition tabled this morning has only 27 signatures. However, there is one petition that I will table in the House that shows clearly that there are over 100 signatures on it of people from the riding of the hon. gentleman.

The reason I tabled the petition today is that it is more appropriate to table it now rather than in the month of August when we were discussing Bill C-84. I thought it more appropriate to table the petition when the House is deliberating on Bill C-55. In August, Bill C-55 was still at committee stage and we did not have an opportunity to deliberate on it in the House. I thought it would be appropriate for the aspirations of his constituents in terms of their sentiments and wishes that the petition be tabled when the attention of the House was specifically and squarely on Bill C-55.

I believe that I have not done a disservice to the riding of the hon. gentleman. What I did is perhaps something that he has not done, that is, bring to the floor of the House of Commons the sentiments and concerns that are very real for the constituents of Victoria. I make no apology for presenting the petition this morning.

Hon. Doug Lewis (Minister of State and Minister of State (Treasury Board)): Mr. Speaker, my colleague, the Hon. Member for Victoria (Mr. McKinnon) has made a very good point. I would like to draw the attention of my friend, the Hon. Member for York West (Mr. Marchi) to the fact that a