

## Questions

3. (a) Value listed in applications approved, \$2,035,954,550; (b) Value listed in applications rejected, \$402,187,337. Note: The value of approved applications is greater than the value of actual imports subject to remission, because many applications are submitted and approved so that the applicant can quote firm prices for machinery which may or may not be imported.

## NATIONAL DESIGN COUNCIL EXPENDITURES

Question No. 127—**Mr. Barnett:**

For the fiscal years 1966-67, 1967-68, 1968-69 and 1969-70, what have been the expenditures of the National Design Council for scholarships and grants?

**Hon. Jean-Luc Pepin (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce):**

	Scholarships	Grants
1966-67	\$ 4,250	\$ 18,880
1967-68	24,500	40,575
1968-69	25,550	111,697
1969-70*	65,140	44,448

\*Up to October 31, 1969 inclusive.

## NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION CONSULTANT REPORT

Question No. 137—**Mr. Skoberg:**

1. Was there a competitive tender for the consultant report to be prepared by Demers, Gordon and Baby of Montreal and Hanscomb Roy Associates of Montreal, on the development of a national construction information system for the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce and, if not, for what reason?

2. What is the estimated expenditures for this consultants' report?

**Hon. Jean-Luc Pepin (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce):** 1. Yes. Competitive tenders were called.

2. The estimated expenditures for the consultants' reports are \$367,410.

## NORTHWEST TERRITORIES BUDGET

Question No. 144—**Mr. Howard (Skeena):**

1. Were there any discussions between the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development and the Territorial Council of the Northwest Territories regarding the 1970-71 budget for the Territories?

2. Were there any discussions between the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development and the Territorial Council of the Yukon regarding the 1970-71 budget for the Yukon?

[Mr. Pepin.]

**Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development):** Budget proposals for 1970-71 were developed by the N.W.T. Government administration after extensive discussions with the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development. Details of the N.W.T. proposals have been discussed by the Interdepartmental Committee on Federal-Territorial Financial Relations of which the Commissioner is a member. Departmental staff are available to discuss the budget with Council should this be desired.

2. Budget proposals for 1970-71 were developed by the Yukon Government administration in conjunction with the Yukon Budget Programming Committee on the Territorial Council which includes three elected councillors. Extensive discussions have taken place between the Commissioner and his staff and the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development. Details of the Yukon Government proposals are generally left to that Government. The Yukon Government proposals are then discussed with the Interdepartmental Committee on Federal-Territorial Financial Relations of which the Commissioner is a member. The resulting budget is then discussed with the Commissioner and Departmental staff is available for direct discussions should this be the desire of Council.

## NEWS RELEASE, COMPANY OF YOUNG CANADIANS

Question No. 145—**Mr. Howard (Skeena):**

1. What was the financial cost to the Department of the Secretary of State of the news release of September 26, 1969, announcing five appointments to the Company of Young Canadians' permanent council?

2. What criteria was used in the method of distribution of that news release?

3. What was the total cost of news releases of the Department in the last fiscal year?

4. Is the Department presently considering further press releases using the same method of distribution as in the Company of Young Canadians' release?

**Hon. Gérard Pelletier (Secretary of State):**

1. \$148.15.

2. The content and nature of the news released warranted a fast and efficient method of distribution.

3. This information was provided in answer to Question 2,273, Mr. Robinson, tabled as an Order for Return, Wednesday, October 22, 1969.

4. Yes.