

- C—F.D.C. more preoccupied to date with purchases of land than with actual improvement of such land.
- D—Development of tourism has been neglected. (See pages 18, 19 and 20).
- XV—Beautification neglected in the section of Masham belonging to the F.D.C. (See pages 20 and 21).
- 1—Chateau Philippe already mentioned.
 - 2—Wakefield municipal dump on F.D.C. property in the park in violation of provincial and municipal laws.
- XVI—Beautification should proceed at the same pace as acquisitions:
- A—Pay individuals more for their properties so they can rebuild and beautify at the same time.
 - B—The municipalities would then be in a better position to pass municipal by-laws for beautification, building and zoning. (See page 21).
- XVII—There will not be a Federal District like the District of Columbia at Washington if we are to judge by the slow manner of proceeding. (See page 21).
- XVIII—The expropriations permitted in the F.D.C. are said to be dangerous if we judge by the purchases made to date in the park area. (See pages 21 and 22).
- XIX—TOWN PLANNING VS. FINANCE—(See pages 22 and 23).
- 1—The financial problems of the municipalities will dictate the attitude of the Metropolitan Council towards problems raised by the F.D.C.
 - 2—Case where a commercial firm is the biggest property owner. Example.
 - 3—F.D.C., biggest property owner, should grant funds in proportion to the problems of the municipalities concerned (municipal services, bridges, level crossing, water pollution, beautification, etc...)
 - 4—Method of compensation:
 - (a) By tourist industry or
 - (b) by grants corresponding to municipal and local needs.
 - 5—Pre-requisites for beautification program.
 - 6—Serious oversight in not having provided sufficiently for local financial problems.
- XX—Table of F.D.C. grants to the municipality of Ste. Cecile de Masham and comments. (See page 24).
- XXI—Table of F.D.C. grants to the Ste. Cecile de Masham School Commission and comments. (See page 25).
- XXII—Text of the letter from Masham to the F.D.C. regarding request for tourist hotel. (See pages 26, 27 and 28).
- XXIII—Report of the secretary of the delegation of Ste. Cecile de Masham to the F.D.C. on January 25, 1954. Subject: Concern caused in Masham by the plan for the development of Gatineau Park and the consequences of that plan. (See pages 29, 30 and 31).
- XXIV—PROPERTY ACQUISITIONS BY THE F.D.C. TO CREATE THE GATINEAU NATIONAL PARK. (See pages 31, 32 and 34).
- 1—A rate based on municipal assessment and total real value.
 - 2—Rate based on replacement value.
 - 3—Present situation with regard to rate used.
 - 4—Regional municipal Council suggested:
 - (a) To work through the member-municipalities.