North America there is happily no longer occasion for any mation to prepare armed forces to support its policy against its neighbours; on the contrary the defence interests of all hations of the continent have become closely similar in character and no divergence of view is apparent on any matters which may rightly be regarded as fundamental. The trends which menace our way of life into the future and the continencies which have to be provided against are external to this continent; at least this is so as regards their origin.

In the study of military defence, it is most important to take note of the very remarkable progress which has come about, particularly during the last two-decades, in the application of science and engineering to war. Today has largely lost its former attenuating effect on the conduct onlinents are now within aircraft range of one another but hat with new developments already shrunken space continues of shrink still further.

We now have to contemplate the possibility of airraft at supersonic speeds; of guided missiles of great range;
if atomic bombs of catastrophic power and we must realize that
in the very near future these forms of bombardment may be
apidly followed up by considerable forces airborne but
apable of operating with great effectiveness on the ground
wen in the face of the widespread destruction and contamination which will result from the long range air or atomic
in transit, continents today have already become the least
eographical units on the basis of which questions of defence
an properly be stated; consequently, effective arrangements
the defence of the territory of one nation have become
atters of vital concern to all other nations of that contin-

. .

Ľ

It is evident that the peoples of both Canada and the United States are well aware of the changes which have the about in the basic considerations governing defence and the they are deeply concerned to be correctly informed as gards the nature, the scope and the adequacy of the cooperative relations which exist between us.

In the preparation of the measures that should be ken to meet the contingencies which may arise it is well to ve regard to the historical relations of those who need to operate because from the experiences of the past may come realization not only of the things which make cooperation but as well and perhaps even more importantly, of the mid of actions or proposals which need to be most strictly because of their danger to harmony in public opinion.

We must never forget that cooperation and close sociation are not conditions which should be taken for anted; and even in the case of Canada and the United States present happy relations between our Armed Forces have been attained and can only be maintained by continued and effort directed to this end.

For this reason I propose to first review very efly the highlights in Canadian-United States military ations down the years. Then, in order to obtain a sense proportion of the defence arrangements which are possible