

who have been till recently, or are still, in connection with the college, and it is our intention to reserve at least four pages of each issue for engravings. In this way any deficiency in the quality of reading matter will be more than counter-balanced by the illustrations.

On looking over the personnel of the staff, our readers will see that not only have there been changes in the membership of the staff, but also in the staff itself since last year. We have found it advisable to dispense with the services of the Assistant Business Manager, and to appoint instead a Business Committee consisting of four members. This arrangement should lighten the work of the Business Manager considerably, and should result in, as we see it is already doing, gaining a great many more subscribers than in past years, as it is the duty of each member of the Business Committee to canvass the faculty he represents, so that the whole University will be canvassed, a thing that was impossible under the old arrangement. It will also be noticed that a Sporting Editor has been added to the staff. This addition should meet with general approval. Hitherto the sports in connection with the University have not received the attention due to them, and the reports of them were often either haphazard, or simply a rehash of what had been previously published in the newspapers. There is a wide field for the Sporting Editor, not merely in reporting matches, etc., but in dealing with athletics generally, offering suggestions, and making criticisms.

LAST Spring Principal Grant made public the fact that the Board of Trustees had under consideration a change in its Constitution, with a view to make it more representative of the benefactors and graduates and to recognize adequately the relation subsisting between the college and the Department of Education. Prof. McCallum, of Toronto University, undertook to lecture the Principal on the sin of inaccuracy, charging him with misstating the Government's action toward Upper Canada College, but he and not the Principal was at fault, and he, to judge from his silence,

is repenting at leisure. At any rate President Loudon has published his views, and the public has now, what it had not before, the official judgment of Toronto University.

Dr. Loudon, if the *Globe* of the 2nd inst. reports him correctly, said that to aid Queen's from the Government funds was (1) "antagonistic to our political institutions;" (2) "disastrous to higher education, and (3) contrary to the wishes of the 'friends and 10,000 alumni' of Toronto, who would 'prevent aggression'."

Every president is more interested in his own college than in any other college in the world, and Dr. Loudon would fail of his duty if he did not press upon the Government the claims of Toronto. On that score no fault can be found with his position. But, when he argues against the expansion of Queen's through Government aid, we take issue with him both on the ground of his idea of education for the province, and on the ground of his facts.

Last June in Halifax one of the most influential friends of Toronto University, speaking in a committee of leading graduates and instructors from many Canadian colleges including Toronto, said that if the proposed Constitutional changes were fully carried out, the claim of Queen's to Government assistance would be explicit. The committee unanimously endorsed the statement and subsequently the general assembly, including dozens of Toronto graduates and scores of friends, accepted the finding of the committee without one dissenting voice. These graduates of Toronto are wiser than their chief. They think that strength lies in the union of the colleges concerned, and not in discord and division. They would feel resentment if an attack were made by Queen's upon Toronto. They will not be pleased to see that the President of their University has made an attack on Queen's.

In the next place higher education in Ontario is not on the point of collapse. Dr. Loudon may think it advisable, when asking for more money from the Government, to paint it in black colours, or things in Toronto may be, as he says, in a bad way. But neither ruin nor stagnation is confronting Queen's. The num-