

THE
LEGAL NEWS.

VOL. XVII.

DECEMBER 1, 1894.

No. 23.

CURRENT TOPICS AND CASES.

The Queen's Bench Division, Ontario, in *R. v. Plowman*, 19 November, 1894, quashed a conviction for bigamy where the second marriage took place in a foreign country, and there was evidence that the defendant, who was a British subject, resident in Canada, left Canada with the intent to commit the offence. The provisions of sect. 275 of the Criminal Code make such a marriage an offence, the first clause reading as follows:—"Bigamy is (a) the act of a person who, being married, goes through a form of marriage with any other person *in any part of the world*." This is modified by Sub-sect. 4: "No person shall be liable to be convicted of bigamy in respect of having gone through a form of marriage in a place *not in Canada*, unless such person, being a British subject resident in Canada, leaves Canada with intent to go through such form of marriage." The Court held that the provisions of the Code are *ultra vires* of the Parliament of Canada. The case of *Macleod v. Atty. General for New South Wales* [1891] A. C. 455; 14 L. N. 402. was followed. See also the authorities cited in Taschereau on the Criminal Code, p. 280; Crankshaw, p. 211.