

Peculiar

To itself in many important particulars, Hood's Sarsaparilla is different from and superior to any other medicine.

Peculiar in combination, proportion and preparation of ingredients, Hood's Sarsaparilla possesses the full curative value of the best known remedies of the vegetable kingdom.

Peculiar in its medicinal merit, Hood's Sarsaparilla accomplishes cures hitherto unknown.

Peculiar in strength and economy—Hood's Sarsaparilla is the only medicine of which can truly be said, "10 doses one dollar." Medicine in larger and smaller bottles requires larger doses, and do not produce as good results as Hood's Sarsaparilla.

Peculiar in its "good name at home"—there is more of Hood's Sarsaparilla sold in Lowell, where it is made, than of all other blood purifiers. Peculiar in its phenomenal record of sales abroad, no other preparation has ever attained such popularity in so short a time. Do not be induced to take any other preparation. Be sure to get

Hood's Sarsaparilla
Sold by all druggists, \$1; six for \$5. Prepared only by C. I. HOOD & CO., Apothecaries, Lowell, Mass.
100 Doses One Dollar

CHANCES TO WIN A WHEEL.

Great Handicap Bicycle Race on the Cards at Buffalo.

The Featherweight Champion Objected to as a Guest in a Buffalo Hotel.

THE WHEEL.

There will be a great 25-mile handicap road race at Buffalo on May 30. A gold watch and four pneumatic safety bicycles will be among the prizes.

London has 281 bicycle clubs; total membership, 6,620. England, outside London, has 832 clubs, or 16,640 members. Wales, 25 clubs, 500 members; Ireland, 112 clubs, 2,240 members. Total estimated number of club men in England and Wales, 118,800.

There are now less than 217 clergymen and 131 professors in the membership of the German "Cyclists' Union," which is slowly but surely adding to its numbers, and giving France and England the "go-by" in this respect. The German Union does not seem to have so much internal warfare in its composition as its English confreres, which perhaps may account for its popularity with the learned and military professions, there being, in addition to the above, 616 military officers on the roll.

CRICKET.

The New York A. C. have organized a cricket team, and are negotiating for the grounds of the St. George Cricket Club in Hoboken.

It is computed that 64,000 persons attended the great game at Melbourne between England and Australia. It is a noteworthy fact that England lost both games, the first by 54 and second by 72 runs.

The Merion Club of Philadelphia intend spending \$150,000 on the purchase and improvement of their new grounds at Haverford College, which promises to be the second only to the inclosure at Manheim.

ATLETICS.

William J. Davis, of Sydney, New South Wales, a noted Australian swimmer, has started for America with the intention of swimming the Niagara rapids, wearing nothing but the ordinary swimming trunks.

The following was received from John L. Sullivan: "Over Corbett's forfeit. Fight to take place the last week in August, or first week in September, in any club offering the large prize. The winner to take entire purse. Margolis of Queensbury pays \$10,000 on the outside, or as much more as they will bet as per my charge. First come, first served."

There was a scene of unusual excitement at the Hotel Genesee, Buffalo, on Monday, on account of the appearance of George Dixon, champion featherweight pugilist of the world. The colored boy, his manager, Tom O'Rourke, got to the city on Sunday night. They attended to the Genesee, registered, and had a meal. After breakfast, however, not being told that Dixon would be allowed in the dining-room, they and the head waiter had orders not to allow the colored pugilist to eat in the public dining-room, as a guest had objected. Manager O'Rourke had an excited conference with the manager of the hotel, the outcome of which was that the hapless Dixon was removed. Both Dixon and O'Rourke were very indignant over the affair.

CHECKERS.

The checker contest which has been going on at the W. A. A. rooms, Woodstock, has been completed. Mr. John Perry was the successful competitor, being closely followed by Charles A. Payne. The prize was a plush collar and cuff holder.

THE KENNEL.

A large number of dogs shown at the recent Chicago Bench Show had died.

BAZILL.

The Eastern League will be composed of Buffalo, Rochester, Elmira, Binghamton, Syracuse, Albany, Troy and Philadelphia. Providence and New Haven were frozen out in favor of Elmira and Binghamton.



CURE

Sick headache and relief all the troubles of a bilious state of the system, such as indigestion, nausea, dizziness, constipation, etc. Pain in the side, etc. While their most remarkable success has been shown in curing

SICK

Headache, yet CARTER'S LITTLE LIVER PILLS are equally valuable in constipation, curing and preventing this annoying complaint, while they also correct all disorders of the stomach, stimulate the liver and regulate the bowels. Even if they only cure

HEAD

Ache they would be almost priceless to those who suffer from this distressing complaint, but fortunately they are these and more, and those who use them will find these little pills valuable in so many ways that they will not be willing to do without them. But after all sick headache

ACHE

is the basis of so many ills that here is where we make our great head. Our pills cure it while others do not.

Carter's Little Liver Pills are very small and very easy to take. One or two pills make a dose. They are active vegetable and do not grip or purge, but by their gentle action place all who use them in a state of health. Buy all sold everywhere, or sent by mail.

CARTER'S MEDICINE CO., New York.

Small Pill, Small Dose, Small Price.

SHOULD BE REMOVED.

The Crime Against the People and How It Should Be Punished.

A Judge Who Flaunts His Opinion in the Face of Six Superior Court Judges.

[From the Toronto Globe of Thursday.] The salient points of the case may be put in a few words.

1. Even the Tory revising officer was willing to allow the amendment of the notice; and they were amended according to his direction; and had the Tories submitted to his judgment the lists would have been revised before the election.

2. Judge Elliot declared that he had no authority to interfere with the decision of the revising officer allowing an amendment of the notices. His opinion was not binding upon the revising officer, yet the latter so far deferred to it that it became necessary for the Liberals to go to the Court of Queen's Bench for an order to compel him to hear the appeals.

3. The Court of Queen's Bench unanimously ruled that the first notices were good, and ordered the revising officer to hear the appeals.

4. The revising officer declared that the 128 votes to which Mr. Carling owes his election were bad.

5. The Court of Appeal has declared that the notices were good, and that the objection of the revising officer to the mandamus closed the case.

6. Finally, Judge Elliot decides, in defiance of the opinion of the Court of Queen's Bench and the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad, and in defiance of the opinion of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.



THE END NEAR.

The Yale College Professor Whose Significant Calculations and Deep Biblical Researches Have Made Skeptics Think.

Perhaps to the masses Lieutenant C. A. L. Totten is what is called an eccentric thinker. He has certainly departed from the conventional channels of literature, but to those who can comprehend and follow him intelligently in his Biblical researches and complex calculations, his works have a peculiar significance which tempts scholars to believe and makes skeptics think. His declaration that the recent auroral display in the heavens was only one of the numerous signs that are past and are soon to come as forerunners of the end of the world, has caused many to look upon him as a modern prophet. But, it is understood, he indignantly repudiates any such title.

Lieutenant Totten is at present detailed as professor of military science and tactics at Yale College. He was born in Connecticut and appointed to the Military Academy at West Point as a cadet Sept. 1, 1893. He was commissioned second lieutenant in the 4th artillery June 13, 1895, and was made first lieutenant May 29, 1895. He is now enrolled with battery I, Lieutenant Totten is a man somewhat under the average stature. He is of taciturn disposition, his mien is serious and his glance quick and perceptive. Withal he can enjoy a joke and laugh heartily.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

Let it be distinctly borne in mind that with every tribunal before which the Liberals went they were successful—not only before the Superior Courts but before the revising officer and the county judge. Yet they are treated as if they had been unsuccessful. In defiance of every recorded judgment and of justice the names are left on the list; and in defiance of every recorded judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench and of the Court of Appeal, that the notices are bad and the county judge, in defiance of the revising officer he decides that the votes are good.

LONDON ABOARD.

How Outside Public Opinion Stands on the Great Steal.

What No Judgment Can Do. [From the Woodstock Sentinel-Review.] No judgment can give Mr. Carling a moral right to a seat which has been stolen for him.

Mr. Hyman Clearly Elected. [From the Toronto News (Independent Conservative).] Were they (the disputed votes) considered tendered ballots, as they should be, Mr. Hyman is clearly elected by a majority of 16 and should be declared so. A well-known Conservative was not far wrong when he said: "It is an infernal shame if they give the seat to Carling."

Unrighteous and Cowardly. [From the Guelph Mercury.] In taking the stand, Judge Elliot not only affirms a decision on a technicality, which decision eight judges have held to be wrong, but that decision enfranchises a host of bogus voters, not half a dozen of whom can pretend to be legally qualified. Such an outrage on justice and equity and the choice of the people is made possible by partisan manipulation of an unrighteous and cowardly measure.

The Bogus Votes. [From the Victoria, B. C., Times.] In this connection it is interesting to note that the great majority of the men to whom the Conservative managers in London thus sought to give votes lie outside the constituency. From a list given by the ADVERTISER it would appear that only 49 live in London itself, while the rest are scattered far and wide, no less than 20 of them living in the States. It is surely not right that the Liberals should be called on to spend their time and money in trying to prevent such a wrong and then have the seat stolen from after them all.

The Cry of the Burglar and the Assassin. [From the Woodstock Sentinel-Review.] The London Free Press says: "All good citizens will sincerely deprecate the attempts that are being made to foment further bitterness and intensify ill-blood over matters that arise in political contention and controversy. Let us have peace, we believe, the prevailing sentiment of the community." This is exactly the feeling of a burglar after he has rifled the till, or of the assassin after he has made away with his victim. They want peace and shrink from the redress of wrong. For all the bad blood which is doing great injury to London the infamous Franchise Act and the party scoundrels who work under it are solely responsible. Seeing the results of their dastardly work it is no wonder that they now cry peace. But they do not propose to give up the seat which they have stolen.

The Daring of the Partisan Judge. [From the St. Thomas Journal.] Such a thing as a county court judge reversing the decision of the Court of Appeal could not occur in an ordinary action. It has been the doing of a judge of the Franchise Act to screen many a fraud with the form and dignity of a legal judgment, but it remained for Judge Elliot to do, under its provisions, that which he would not dare to do under any other statute, to disregard the judgment of six of the most eminent superior court judges in the land, in effect to reverse their judgment upon the very same matter in dispute. In any ordinary case, if the ruling of a superior court judge on a parallel case were cited to a county court judge, he would be bound to follow it, but in this case the public is asked to believe that the judgments of Chief Justice Armour, Mr. Justice Street, Mr. Justice Falconbridge, of the Court of Queen's Bench, and of Chief Justice Haggerty, Mr. Justice Maclellan, of the Court of Appeal, are wrong in law and that they are to be set aside on the judgment of Judge Elliot, of the Middlesex County Court. And the public is further asked to believe that this departure from the respect which should be shown to the Bench has taught him of experience on the rulings of his superiors was made in the interests of law and justice.

Judge Elliot's Great Temptation. [From the Toronto Mail.]