### WHO IS STABBING CANADA'S SOLDIERS IN THE BACK?

# DISLOYAL LEADERS IN QUEBEC

The Old Dream of French Independence Again

Anti-British Agitators Waiting for Solid Quebec With Aim of Dominating Canadian Affairs

What has happened to the French-Canadians?

It was the proud boast of one of their leaders in times gone by-was it not Sir George Etienne Cartier who said it?--that: "The last gun in defence of British connection would be fired by a French-Canadian."

Some of them under the brave De Salaberry did good work in the war of 1812 at Chateauguay, when by a clever ruse the invaders were made to believe that a heavy force was facing them, and scampered back home by the Lake Champlain route.

La Croix (The Cross) a French-Canadian paper, gives hospitality fi its columns to the following:

"Go on advocating the secession of Canada. In it lies the salvation of our race and the realization of the magnificent dream of Champlain. The time has come to work towards a new political constitution. The Province of Quebec and the Maritime Provinces autonomous is what we should have had for fifty years. We would then have kept our population, we would have managed a clean immigration, and we would have exploited our natural resources to the profit of our kin. Today we would be numerous and strong. But it is not too late. What we have to do first is to separate from Confederation. This obtained, the organization of our new Dor would be easy."

Does this mean that Cartier's French-Canadian would be the last t fire a gun in defence of British connection?

One-half of the French-Canadian nationality has emigrated to the United States, where neither French language, religion or law has official the equal use of the French recognition, as in Canada. And we are told by U. S. recruiting officers that of this million and a half of people the proportion offering for the war is greater than that even of the native United States citizens!

What has happened to our French-Canadians? The Premier of Quebec is with them, he says, in opposing the Military Service act. So are most of their political leaders—openly refusing to support our troops from to show that refusal to serve in war as British subjects is causing thought-

A few days ago Bernier, a mob agitator, said in Montreal that he British royal family. It was a farce for England to claim that it was ment decrees, the next may disallow." a war for civilization and democracy as long as there was a king at the head of affairs. There was only one country a man should be willing to TERMS OF CONFEDERATION.

all should be revolutionaries to see that Canadian interests were looked desire in the following terms: after. We should say: "To hell with winning the war until we have saved

the Orangemen and Free Masons of Ontario in the Military Service Bill. Sovereign duly authorized." After the war the United States would want some recompense for going Province of Quebec, if not the whole of Canada. "Perhaps this will mean reading: that we will not be able to speak our language in Parliament; but we the other side, and if we are annexed we will have the right to practice our religion. We are being insulted by these bandits and hypocrites." Sixty-five French-Canadlan votes would be very useful to an aspirant

They might indeed swing parliamentary decisions. But probably the end desired would be attained if it placed in the saddle at Ottawa Sir vin, were in agreement with the framing of these loyal declarations. Wilfrid Laurier's successor in the leadership of the French-speaking mem-

THE MAN ON HORSEBACK.

Who in such a case would be "The Man on Horseback?"

Henri Bourassa? Bourassa, who stands for the separation of Canada from the British Empire, and from all British influence?

is it not at least remarkable that Bourassa has at this juncture puboly announced the re-marriage of his Nationalism with Sir Wilfrid's

It has already come to pass when it is openly recognized that although bis leadership to another as soon as his election usefulness has gone. Is it because a more vigorous policy is to be inaugurated by the

egation to rule the next Parliament?

they together head not two separate bodies, but one united party.

"Eightles of a French Republic on the banks of the St. Lawrence lent Republic, fathered, of course, by French Canadians of Has the success of French-Canadianism in politics, school and re-

us matters gone to the heads of these gentlemen?

consideration for the French-speaking parts. Sir Wilfrid Laurier and Sir George Etienne Cartier, two of their great tribunes, ever found generou

What quest has set French-Canada off into the sorry wilderness

When Vaudreuil surrendered Canada to General Amberst on Se per 8, 1760, he asked that the Articles of Capitulation should state soever, who shall remain in the Colony, shall not be forced to take arm against his most Christian Majesty, or his Allies, directly or indirectly,

Amherst replied in his soldierly, straight-forward way: "They he Subjects of the King" (of England) and so the Articles of Capi tulation were made to read. (Article XII.)

In a former Article (XXXVI) it had been agreed that any wh so might leave Canada for France, and would be granted Naturally those who desired to remain were held to have signified their intention of relinquishing French and

Subsequently in February 1763, the Treaty of Paris, under which ritannic Majesty, on his side, agrees to grant the liberty of the Catholic religion to the inhabitants of Canada; he will in conse est precise and effective orders, that his new Roman Catholic subjects



ment of French civil law!

Why are French street orators today under the inspiration of Henri religion, our law," were granted us by "solemn treaties"?

How is it that not a single voice is raised among these Nationalist the ranks of their people, actually opposing the war in which their fellow ful men to enquire: "Where did French Canada acquire its special privilege of language, religion, and law? If by treaty, they must remain; ever brought to oppose civilization and national rights!

A few days ago Bernier, a mod agitator, and the would not retract a single word he had said against conscription or the Province with regard to the Conscription Bill. "What the present Parlia-

In approaching the Throne, with a request for the Confederation of Ferdinand Villeneuve, another agitator at the same meeting, thought Canada, the representatives of the Canadian people laid down their

"The executive authority or government shall be vested in the Sov ereign of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and be ad-The French-Canadian Mayor of Montreal is trying to whip his co- ministered according to the well-understood principles of the British religionists into fury by claiming most absurdly that he sees the hand of constitution, by a Sovereign personally, or by the representative of the

Accordingly the British North America Bill of March, 27, 1867, was to war, and this, according to his ridiculous argument, would be the introduced into the British Parliament and duly passed; its preamble

"Whereas the Provinces of Canada, Nova Scotia and New Brunswich are insulted now by these immigrants that we brought over from have expressed their desire to be federally united into one Dominion, under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland with a constitution similar in principle to that of the United Kingdom; "And whereas such a union would conduce to the welfare of the

Great French-Canadian leaders, Cartier, Tache, Chapais and Lange

pire and given them an anxiety to promote its interests What has brought about a change in the feelings of French Canada so that its leaders today did not start such a recruiting campaign for the war to which Canada and the Empire were perforce committed, as would have filled the ranks of our armies long ago with volunteers?

FOR INDEPENDENCE OF CANADA. A new ambition has taken hold of French-Canadians.

An article in Le Devoir (The Duty), Henri Bourassa's organ, the other day, defiatnly asserted "that Bourassa, like Laurier formerly, has simply too valuable to his party to drop him now. Sir Wilfrid is to yield declared that the independence of Canada, and not of the single province adership to another as soon as his election usefulness has gone.

"It assuredly does not suit those who would give as the first object of only real Canadians" under Bourassa's management, as soon as the the aspirations and sacrifices of Canada the interest of England or since we are not obliged to kill ourselves in order to please them.

How would one account for such an utterance as the following, flam

"Si l'on combat l'autre Cote pour la liberte des petits peuples, la premiere ligne de tranchee pour les Canadiensl'Ontario."

nations, the first line of trenches for the French-Canadians is not in Flanders but on the frontier of Ontario.

L'Action Francaise recently had an article by Abbe Lionel Groul which expresses boldly the feeling of some of his compatriots towards

mplains, "our political leaders have thought better to allow our country and English from the British Isles. The first change the country, with out changing their allegiance and become most active in American pene-

Britain, opposition to all things English, and a desire to push is on the defensive and has to fight not only for its right, but for the and not be pained and indignant before all the stunidities of these small politicians, who have ruined our hopes? Obliged to defend our positions morals, we have passed our time playing with big words devoid of gener sity and British fair play, and today the evil is profound and incurable

nd the situation appears to be without issue." Everyone knows how seriously the French-Canadians take their poli-

of the British people and Empire has been engendered in their hearts? Under their knightly leader, Sir Wilfrid Laurier, they have become why so anti-British as soon as trouble broke out for Great Britain?

Who taught that to these usually placid, and always docile, people?

Like Mercier a French orator, adroit, agile in argument, an object of ticipation in the war, Bourassa will be the natural heir to Sir Wilfrid was formally ceded to Britain stipulated (Article IV) "His Laurier, as he marches to Ottawa the possessor of from sixty to eighty

Is this what the Quebec Province agitators are looking forward to:

for what will follow the next election?

And is the dream of a new indepen

#### The German World Intrigue

man agents in the domestic polihave called attention rather dramatically to one of Germany's favorite methods of gaining her ends. Proof now exists connecting the German Government of conspiracies designed to influence political conduct in five Allied nations, Russia. Italy, Greece, France and the United States, and no one pretends that the whole truth is known.

In Great Britain, German inter-ference may be traced to ante-bellum days, when, for example, Mr. I. T. T. Lincoln, who tried to

fluencing the United States Congress.

It is not to be argued before an unprejudiced audience that the Government which had machinery in existence when war began to attempt the corruption of political life in many different nations, far and wide, as a part of its war policy, came into possession of this potent force for the furtherance of its military aims by accident. On the other hand, the evidence is conclustive, that Germany, before the war, had in readiness for instant operation, a huge, world-wide machine, designed for the sole purpose of weakening the opposition to the German march to world power.

This being so, it is patent that the democratic nations are greatly handicapped in their struggle with European autocracy, so far as political instrumentalities are concerned. At the meant Passes path

ed. At the moment, Russia nat-urally furnishes the "awful ex-ample," having gone from one ex-treme to the other; but in every Allied nation it is possible today for the German Government to throw its influence insidiously in favor of whatever action suits its

The people of no Allied country t war with Germany can afford to The people of no Allied country at war with Germany can afford to overlook the significance of numerous exposures which have proved the existence of a German world development in the Allied nations. Ever present in the thought of those who contemplate the political situation in any Allied country, should be a clear understanding of the dangers raised by enemy interference, which lurks on every side in one form or another.

in one form or another.

"What would the Kalser de?" is a ready test to be applied to political decisions, and, in view of the amazing extent of the German conspiracy its forms of disguise and its restrict of operation a presentation.

# **BOURASSA AND WHAT** HE WANTS

### Anti-British Agitator Joins Hands With Laurier

Hatred for Britain and Desire for Separation is the Key-note of His **Nationalist Policy** 

Henry Bourassa is the recognized leader of the French Nationalist party in Quebec.

He has recently declared himself and his party in support of Sir Wilfrid Laurier and his supporters in this election

This means, of course, that he finds the aims and policies of Sir Wilfrid Laurier in this election to be in accord with his own. What are the aims and ideals of Henri Bourassa?

Read the following extracts from his writings and speeches: Speaking at meeting 10,000 French Canadians at Monument National, Montreal, on October 20, 1910, Mr. Bourassa saldi

"I continue to believe that Canada owes nothing to England, that Canda has paid all her debts to England; that if Canada was separated from Great Britain tomorrow the British taxpayers could not cut down a farthing of their taxes, could not dispense with one of their warships and could not retrench in their expenditures for defence, for, if they maintain their army and their navy, it is not for the security of Canada that they do so, it is for the safety of their daily bread, for their country cannot produce wheat enough to nourish inhabitants for three months; for they could not keep in their storehouse sufficient wheat to keep the English from Canada tomorrow, would need all her warships in order to keep open the seas over which she receives her daily bread and the raw material for

In interview given in Boston, January 21, 1913, Bourassa said:

"We would much rather undergo natural development of Independ under the Nationalist idea than to have constant friction, disagreement, and distrusts under imperialism. Independence is the moral outcome any colony."

Speaking at annual dinner of McMaster University Literary Society, Toronto on Feb. 27, 1914, Mr. Bourassa said:

ation. In the English mind, whatever one may say about the English tongue, we are still a country domineered by England, Englishmen are not yet ready to admit that Canadians are their equals. Yet in Canada we exercise potentially a nation's rights. The time must come when we will demand recognition of our lawful position amongst the nations of the world."

On October 19, 1915 Le Devoir published article by Mr. Bourassa in

Mr. I. T. T. Lincoln, who tried to inform Germany of the location of the British fleet just after war began, was an uncompromising pacifist member of Parliament, enthusiastic for any reform that meant the weakening of the nation.

The story of German intrigue in American politics is not yet fully told, but documentary evidence is in existence to prove that von Bernstorff expended money by the thousands for the direct purpose of influencing the United States Congress.

On October 19, 1915 Le Devoir published article by Mr. Bourassa is part as follows:

""Of all the stupidities which have been heard during the last year non thus been more complete than that which attributes the unpreparences of the English army to the love of peace and the horror of conquest. The truth is that England for a century has made or provoked more wars, con quered more territories, pillaged more people than any other power in the help of France, she has undertaken people who are uncapable of fighting against her. All these conquests have been made in view of investment. the English army to the love of peace and the horror of conquest. The ing against her. All these conquests have been made in view of investmen

people of Quebec stood bayonet in hand against the people of Ontario

At Monument National, Montreal, Jan. 14, 1915, Mr. Bourassa said: "Let England look after herself, as she is capable to. We in Canada are in the position of negro slaves in Virginia, who, at least, were well fed

England any more than Germany. There has been a great deal of protest over Germany's treatment of Belgium. What about the treatment that the Boers in South Africa received from England? What of England land's treatment of Nationalists of Ireland and of the French Canadian race? Every small nation has a right to live and it must not be pretended that the German yoke is heavier than the English."

Speaking Monument National, Montreal, June 7, 1917, he said: "We will have to have courage to face demagogic passion. Neither ause Parliament is dying and the Government already is in a state of putrefaction. We will fight this iniquitous law brought on by a demented

it wel, understood that there is an end, there is a limit to our endurance, and that we will resist to the last by all legitimate and fair means this mpulsory military service.

In Le Devoir June 1, 1917, he wrote: "Canada," he says, "has furnished all the man power she can for this

ar without grave danger to her own existence and that of the allies." In article written for New York Evening Post and published July 7.

"Conscription is sure to bring serious troubles in the labor circles. Indiscriminate enlistment has already disorganised labor conditions. Rightly or wrongly, labor leaders apprehend that conscription is sought for not

"As far as things military are concerned, the time for conscription is over. What is important is not to send soldiers but to send no more At Lachine the other day a speaker remarked. If you are logical you are against enlistment? That is right. All Canadians who wish to right conscription must have courage to say that. We actually have four hundred and twenty thousand men in Europe and in training here. In proportion that would mean that France should have an army of two million four hundred thousand and the United Kingdom 3,700,000. In spite of this calculation on paper, England has not yet sent over that number.

"One will admit, I suppose, that England has no less interest in keeping the Germans from Calais that Canada has. And here is another qualities. How many soldiers would France or England have to send to American Grands was attached to the United States?