1908.....

1909.....

1910.....

1911.....

4.93

5.02

5.22

5.52

8.88

9.19

9:82

1912 their ratio is 9, as against 31/2 shown by the national banks.

The banking institutions in the Dominion are accustomed to transfer to surplus account a large proportion of their ordinary earnings. Every year the aggregate surplus approaches closer to the aggregate of paid capital. Thus, taking the average figures for 1912, their total surplus represented about 95 per cent. of paid-up capital. Six years before-in 1906-the surplus represented barely 70 per cent. of the capital. In the case of the national banks the surplus represented a little more than 53 per cent. of capital in 1906 and a little more than 68 per cent.

TOTAL RESOURCES.

Year.					Canadian banks. \$ 950,160,583
1907	 	 	\$	0.000,000,00	\$ 930,100,550
1000				9.027.260,484	954,518,493
1908					1.079,284,640
1909				9,513,954,310	1,223,449,771
1910	 			9,826,181,452	1.322,162,028
1011				10,319,400,000	1,501,817,705
1912	 	 	* *	10,963,400,760	1,001,011,100

The above figures-of resources-are actual, not average. The Canadian figures represent the totals given as at August 31 last year; and the United States figures are taken from the Comptroller's abstracts as at nearest date to August 31 in each year. In view of Canada's heavy borrowing abroad since 1908, and of her large gains in population, it would be expected that she would show great gains in banking power. For the whole period of five years the total resources of her banks increased 58 per cent., as compared with an increase of less than 31 per cent. on the part of the national banks.

Coming next to the record of net earnings and dividends, it is seen that banking capital in the two countries on the whole shows very similar results.

UNITED STATES NATIONAL BANKS.

Per cent earnings to

			- C
			Cap. &
Year-	Net earnings.	Cap.	surp.
	\$113,662,000	14.6	9.5
1907*	164,896,000	19.6	12.3
1906	132,254,000	14.67	9.10
1909	131,185,000	14.27	8.72
1010	154,167,000	16.00	9.67
1011	156,985,000	15.57	9.35
1911	149,056,000	14.45	8.59
1912			
		Per c	ent divi-
		den	ds to

																	Cap. &
															Dividends.	Cap.	surp.
1906													. 1	8	80,831,000	10.4	6.8
1907*	•		•	,					٠.		٥.			•	108,282,000	12.9	8.1
1908	*	٠		•	,							Ô	Ĭ.		98,149,000	10.89	6.75
1909	٠		•	•		•	•	•							92,993,000	10.12	6.18
1910.															105,898,000	10.99	6.65
1911	٠														114,685,000	11.38	6.83
1011			,	٠					•						120,300,000	11.66	6.93
1912.	•		*	-		,	٠			,	,						

*A change in the statement date occurred in 1907. The date was then advanced from March 1st to June 30, and the results given in the Comptroller's report repre-sent a period of 16 months. For purposes of the above table they have been reduced to the basis of a calendar year. Possibly the confusion incidental to the change of statement date destroyed the value of the returns of that particular year for statistical purposes.

CANADIAN BANKS.

		CAN	ADIAN DANKS.	Per cen ings	
1907 1908 1909 1910		13,759,000 12,910,000 12,626,000 14,103,000 16,407,000	Cap. 13.98 14.49 13.95 13.51 14.93 16.62 17.00	Cap. & surp. 8.23 8.37 7.89 7.50 8.16 8.84 8.72	
1012					ent divi-
1906 1907	 		Dividends. 7,208,000 8,131,000 8,090,000	Cap. 8.20 8.56 8.74	Cap. & surp. 8.43 4.94 4.95

8,293,000

8,715,000

9,699,000

11,142,000 As has been pointed out in previous articles on this subject, the Canadian policy in regard to dividend payments is the more conservative. This is clearly shown by the following figures. The dividends paid by the national banks have absorbed of the annual net earnings the following proportions: In 1906, 71 per cent.; in 1908, 74 per cent.; in 1909, 71 per cent.; in 1910, 69 per cent.; in 1911, 73 per cent., and in 1912, 81 per cent. The record of the Canadian banks as regards dividends is as follows: In 1905 the dividends amounted to 59 per cent, of net earnings; in 1907, 59 per cent.; in 1908, 63 per cent.; in 1909, 64 per cent.; in 1910, 61 per cent.; in 1911, 57 per cent., and in 1912, 63 per cent. It is noteworthy that in 1912 the national banks increased their dividend distributions by over \$5,600,000, notwithstanding that net earnings declined \$7,900,000. Although the ratio of earnings to capital and to capital and rest is remarkably even, a close perusal of the figures reveals the fact that the conservative practise of the Canadian banks in building up the surplus account from earnings, together with the more rapid growth of their total resources, is gradually serving to establish their earning power on a higher level. Thus in the matter of ratio of earnings to capital they were a little behind the national banks in 1906, but in 1912 they were 21/2 points ahead. And in ratio of earnings to capital and surplus (to total of proprietors funds, in other words) they were 11/4 points behind in 1906, and in 1912 they were slightly ahead for the first time. The same tendency is observable in the ratio of dividends to capital and in the ratio of dividends to capital and surplus.

The two banking systems make the following comparison in ratio of earnings to average total resources:

•																	banks.		banks.	
1	906								,	,	,			٠			2.04	p.c.	1.45 p.	.с.
	0.07																		1.41	
1	1908						٠	٠	*	*	٠	*	,	*	*	*	1.39		1.23	
1	1909		*	,	٠	٠	٠	+	٠	٠	*		*	•	•	٠	1.58		1.19	
	1910		,			*		٠			٠			٠		•	1.58		1.29	
	1911	٠			,	٠	,			٠							1.55		1.26	
	1912				*	 . *				*		•		•			1.40			

Edmonton has concluded negotiations with a London financial house for the sale of \$11,000,000 5 p.c. debentures at or net.