

ARTICLES OF INTEREST IN CONTEMPORARY JOURNALS.

ARTICLES OF INTEREST IN CONTEMPORARY JOURNALS.

Negligence in imminent peril.—*American Law Register*, October, 1886.

Warranties and conditions in sales.—*American Law Review*, September—October, 1886.

Should trial by jury be abolished in civil cases.—*Ib.*

The legal aspects of industrial copartnership.—*Ib.*

Assignments of patents.—*Ib.*

An attorney's general or retaining lien (1. Introductory; 2. Upon papers and property; 3. Upon moneys collected).—*Ib.*

An attorney's special lien on judgments.—*Ib.*, November—December, 1886.

Limited partnerships.—*Ib.*

Foreclosure of railway mortgages.—*Ib.*

The responsibility of banks and bankers for their correspondents and their notaries.—*Ib.*

Equity of partnership creditors.—*Albany Law Journal*, November 6.

The labour question.—*Chicago Law Times*, November, 1886.

The legal aspects of the boycott.—*Ib.*

Our Grand Jury system.—*Criminal Law Magazine*, December, 1886.

The boycott and its methods.—*Ib.*, January, 1887.

Homicide by mob—Evidence of motive—Conspiracy.—*Ib.*

Distribution of assets on mistaken construction of will.—*Irish Law Times*, October 23, 1886.

Unauthorized expenditure by directors.—*Ib.*, November 6.

Negligence of railway passenger in immediate peril.—*Ib.*, November 13.

Are shares in companies choses in action.—*Ib.*, December 11.

The fiduciary position of company promoters.—*Ib.*, *et seq.*

Attaining majority.—*Ib.*, February 5, 1887.

Construction of covenants in restraint of trade.—*Ib.*, February 12.

The currency of post office orders.—*Law Journal*, England, February 12.

Jurisdiction over estates of insane persons.—*American Law Review*, January—February.

Strikes and boycotts as indictable conspiracies at common law.—*Ib.*

The principle of *stare decisis* considered.—*American Law Register*, December, 1886.

The law of subscriptions (Consideration for voluntary subscriptions—Whether a payee must be named—Withdrawal—Joint or several—Dependent on, or independent of total amount being subscribed—Misrepresentation—Re-

lease of unpaid subscriptions—Conditions precedent to recovery on).—*Ib.*, January, 1887.

Limitations on legislative contracts.—*Ib.*, Feb.

Sale of personal property to defraud creditors.—*Central Law Journal*, November 5, 1886.

The doctrine of imputed negligence as applied to children.—*Ib.*, November 12.

The rule as regards fixtures as between vendor and vendee.—*Ib.*, November 19.

Nuisance by noise in a private house.—*Ib.*, November 26.

Names of corporations (Must have name—More than one—How acquired—Failure to name—Change of—Protected in use of—Suits—Misnomer and variance—Deeds, grant, devises).—*Ib.*, December 3.

Liabilities of railway companies for injuries to their employees.—*Ib.*, December 10.

Duress (Definition of—Who may avail himself of—Classes of—Criminal cases).—*Ib.*, January 28, 1887.

Covenant by railway company to do certain things in consideration of grant of right of way—What runs with land.—*Ib.*

Power of a corporation to remove directors for cause.—*Ib.*, February 4.

Municipal liability for defective sewerage.—*Ib.*, February 11.

Liability of joint executors.—*Ib.*, February 18.

Implied warranties in the letting of premises.—*Ib.*

Libels on the dead.—*Irish Law Times*, Feb. 16.

The English County Court system.—*Law Quarterly Review*, January.

The international copyright union.—*Ib.*

Possession in the Roman law.—*Ib.*

Compensation for misdescription in sales of land.—*Ib.*

ALL who know Judge Bleckley and recall his long waving hair and beard will appreciate this story: He was on his way to the Supreme Court one morning, when he was accosted by a little street gamin, with an exceedingly dirty face, with a customary "Shine, sir?" He was quite importunate, and the judge, being impressed with the oppressive untidiness of the boy's face, said: "I don't want a shine, but if you will go wash your face I will give you a dime." "All right, sir." "Well, let me see you do it." The boy went over to an artesian hydrant and made his ablution. Returning, he held out his hand for the dime. The judge said: "Well, sir, you've earned your money, here it is." The boy said: "I don't want your money, old fellow; you take it and have your hair cut," saying which he scampered off. The judge thought it so good a story that he told it himself.—*Augusta Chronicle.*