

is mostly applicable to some certain Persons, and to some particular Occasion, and it is not founded upon any fair Ground of Complaint; it has in view Men, and not Principles, and thence it receives a Bias, which leads to Contradictions and Inconsistencies.

Mr. Newman and Mr. Ougier happened, through the Misconduct of their Agents (as I have before mentioned) to be Sufferers in the Court of Pleas; nothing therefore could in their Judgment be worse than the Court of Pleas. Some fair Pretence was to be found out for revenging themselves upon all the Judges and Officers of that Court. Hence the Attack upon the Sheriff, whom they think to disgrace by calling him an American. Hence the Attack upon Mr. Coke and Mr. Ogden, who, they think, may fairly be disqualified from acting as Justices of the Peace, because they are Officers of the Customs. In pursuit of their Object they run into a Round of Contradictions that are curious. First, they alledge that People were called from their Business to attend upon Juries in the Court of Common Pleas. This Objection was the Occasion of their being accommodated with a Court without Jury; they became dissatisfied with that Institution, and they were afterwards allowed a Jury in Causes above £. 10; they are now dissatisfied with this Concession, and want Juries in Causes not under Forty Shillings. To help these Claims they assert, that the Governors used to hear Causes with  
a Jury;