Return tabled.

FEDERAL GRANTS TO UNITED AIRCRAFT OF CANADA

### Question No. 784-Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse):

- 1. Has United Aircraft of Canada received any grants from the government during the years 1968, 1969, 1970, 1971, 1972, 1973 and 1974 for (a) research (b) construction or enlarging its plant (c) creating jobs and, if so, what amount was granted and paid by different departments for each of these years?
- 2. Has the Company received any loans from Crown corporations?
- 3. What are the amounts, the interest rates and reimbursement conditions of these loans?

Return tabled.

#### LIP—GRANTS IN CONSTITUENCY OF CAPILANO

#### Question No. 1.036-Mr. Cossitt:

- 1. What was the total amount of money allocated under the Local Initiatives Programme for 1972-73 in the constituency of Capilano (a) what are the names of all projects involved along with their addresses and the names and addresses of those signing the applications (b) in each case what is a detailed description of the actual project, specifically including the functions performed under the project?
- 2. What are the names and addresses of all persons or organizations who recommended each project in any manner whatsoever to the Department?

Return tabled.

# DREE—ARDA PROGRAMME PROJECTS, REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN

#### Question No. 1,663—Mr. Hnatyshyn:

In connection with projects applied for in Saskatchewan under the special ARDA programme of the Department of Regional Economic Expansion from January 1971 to December 1974 (a) what was the name and location of the projects (b) what was the estimated number of jobs to be created and the number of jobs actually created for each (c) what was the total cost of each of the projects?

Return tabled.

# AIRPORTS—VACANCIES CREATED BY IN MAINTENANCE GROUP DURING 12 MONTH PERIOD

### Question No. 1,745-Mr. Forrestall:

- 1. For the most recent 12 month period, how many vacancies have been created at (a) Halifax (b) Toronto (c) Montreal (d) Vancouver (e) Winnipeg airports in the maintenance group by trade and classification?
- 2. How many such vacancies (a) have not been filled (b) have been filled (c) were filled after one month or more delay, by trade and classification?
  - 3. What is the general reason for the delays?

Return tabled.

Oral Questions

• (1420)

## **ORAL OUESTION PERIOD**

[English]

## TRANSPORT

"IRVING WHALE" SALVAGE OPERATION—REASON FOR DELAY IN INFORMING PRIME MINISTER OF ALLEGED BRIBERY ATTEMPT

Hon. Robert L. Stanfield (Leader of the Opposition): Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the Prime Minister, who indicated to the House that he first learned about possible difficulties connected with Hamilton Harbour following the election of 1972, but that he knew nothing about alleged bribery attempts connected with the *Irving Whale* salvage operation until recently, perhaps not until after he returned from his recent European trip. Has the Prime Minister inquired why he was not informed about the *Irving Whale* incident when he discussed the Hamilton Harbour incident with the appropriate minister, particularly as the inquiry into the *Irving Whale* incident resulted from initiatives taken by Mr. Michael Pitfield.

Mr. Trudeau: What were the hon. member's last words? By whom were the initiatives taken?

Mr. Stanfield: By Mr. Michael Pitfield, by way of letter to the Deputy Minister of Transport.

Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): Mr. Speaker, it appears that Mr. Pitfield acted on my assumed authority, and quite properly so. There is a standing instruction in my office that if there is some allegation of wrongdoing which can be properly referred to the police, it is to be referred in that way. That is exactly what Mr. Pitfield did. I am pleased with the role the Privy Council office played in that matter.

Mr. Stanfield: Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Prime Minister would direct his attention to the question I asked. Why was he not informed of alleged misconduct to do with the *Irving Whale* incident when he discussed with the appropriate minister the Hamilton Harbour incident, in view of the similarity of patterns.

Mr. Trudeau: Mr. Speaker, they were two completely unrelated questions. In one case, the proceedings, through the police, had gone very well and some people had been brought to trial. In the other case, as I understand the facts of it, the first allegations made by Mr. Kerr were proceeded upon when they were put in writing and the government of Nova Scotia decided there was no ground for taking the matter to court. I expect that the matter rested there at that point and there was no need to inform me about something that had been resolved.

Mr. Stanfield: With respect, Mr. Speaker, I wish to remind the Prime Minister that the *Irving Whale* case was not resolved until many months after the Prime Minister was first informed of the Hamilton Harbour charges. As far as we know, the government of Nova Scotia did not decide not to prosecute until many months after. In other words, the *Irving Whale* case was still current at that time. Why was the Prime Minister not informed of this investi-