

WELDON CHAN—DEPORTATION ORDER

Question No. 967—Mr. Howard:

1. Under what statutory provision and for what reason, was the order for the deportation of Mr. Weldon Chan issued; when was it issued, and is it still in effect?
2. If the said order has not been executed, what is the reason therefor?

Mr. Bell (Carleton): 1. Section 19 (1) (e) (vi) of the Immigration Act for violation of conditions of admission as a non-immigrant. The order for deportation was issued on May 13, 1959 and, under section 33 (2) of the Immigration Act, is still in effect.

2. Prior to the proposed execution of the order for deportation Mr. Weldon Chan disappeared.

SALMON SPAWNING, QUEEN CHARLOTTE ISLANDS

Question No. 969—Mr. Howard:

1. Over the past 20 years, has any survey or surveys been conducted on the Queen Charlotte islands to determine the number of salmon spawning streams and rivers which have been destroyed as a result of (a) logging, and (b) the taking of gravel therefrom and, if so, how many such creeks, streams or rivers, by name, have been destroyed?

2. With respect to each such creek, stream or river, what is the actual or estimated decline in the number of mature fish, by species?

Mr. MacLean (Queens): 1. Each year fishery officers, patrolmen and guardians survey the streams in their area of responsibility. Debris is removed and obstructions reported for action by specialized crews. The autumn survey is especially detailed since an estimate of spawning escapement is required.

In 1961 and 1962 teams of trained biologists and technicians made detailed surveys of all salmon spawning streams on the Queen Charlotte islands, with the objective of outlining all possible improvement measures toward more efficient spawning of chum salmon, the catches of which species have continued to decline. The voluminous data from these surveys are now being compiled and correlated with all those available from previous years.

To date no stream has been found to have been destroyed by logging or gravel removal, but certain sections of some may be in need of improvement.

2. Spawning escapements are estimated according to the best means available but it has been found by using counting fences as checks that even now these estimates may vary up to 30 per cent from the actual numbers. Because of this possible error added to the known variation in success of runs from year to year and cycle to cycle, such minute comparison would be valueless and has not been carried out.

Questions

MAINTENANCE OF RIGHTS OF WAY,
HALTON CONSTITUENCY

Question No. 971—Mr. Harley:

1. Who is responsible for maintenance of railway cuts, abutments, embankments and subways on C.N.R. rights of way, and has the C.N.R. received any protests concerning their maintenance in Halton constituency?

2. If so, what were (a) the sites of such protested areas (b) the dates of protest (c) the steps taken by the C.N.R.?

Mr. McBain: The management of Canadian National Railways advise as follows:

1. The jurisdiction rests with the great lakes region of the railway at Toronto.

2. (a) As was pointed out in the answer given on October 24 to question No. 357 on the order paper, the existing main line through Burlington was constructed in 1855, and the planned set-off tracks are an essential part of the operations to serve the industrial complex between Burlington and Mimico and the connection with the line to the new hump yard. (b) Representations have been received and officers of the great lakes region have held meetings with the mayor and councillors in order to explain what is involved. (c) The railway officers have made an extensive examination of the situation as to the location of the set-off tracks and several sites were examined in the course of which many factors had to be taken into account such as operating conditions, and engineering limitations. Every effort has been made in the overall project in order that the minimum of disturbance would be caused to communities.

EARNINGS OF TAXPAYERS

Question No. 974—Mr. Scott:

In the years ended December 31, 1958, December 31, 1959, and December 31, 1960, how many Canadian taxpayers earned, per year, (a) between \$1,000-\$1,999 (b) between \$2,000-\$2,999 (c) between \$3,000-\$3,999 (d) between \$4,000-\$4,999 (e) between \$5,000-\$5,999 (f) between \$6,000-\$6,999 (g) between \$7,000-\$7,999 (h) between \$8,000-\$8,999 (i) between \$9,000-\$9,999 (j) over \$10,000?

Mr. Flemming (Victoria-Carleton): The earnings of Canadian taxpayers (individuals) by classes for the years ended December 31, 1958, December 31, 1959 and December 31, 1960, relating to the taxation years 1958, 1959 and 1960 respectively are detailed as follows:

Classes of Earnings (per year)	Yr. ended Dec. 31/58	Yr. ended Dec. 31/59	Yr. ended Dec. 31/60
\$1,000-\$1,999	629,651	639,725	629,747
\$2,000-\$2,999	893,868	886,585	876,235
\$3,000-\$3,999	1,016,281	1,012,718	998,741
\$4,000-\$4,999	695,875	756,219	805,264
\$5,000-\$5,999	340,429	397,403	451,522
\$6,000-\$6,999	165,242	195,740	225,279
\$7,000-\$7,999	88,901	106,225	122,162